

## Ten-Year Growth of Five Planted Hardwood Species With Mechanical Weed Control on Sharkey Clay Soil

Roger M. Krinard and Harvey E. Kennedy, Jr.

### SUMMARY

### METHODS

Five hardwood species planted on Sharkey clay soil showed little practical difference in growth whether plots were mowed or disked for weed control in years 6 to 10, although disking had given better growth in the first 5 years. After 10 years, cottonwood (*Populus deltoides* Bartr. ex Marsh.) stem volume was at least three times greater than other species. Changes in soil nutrient properties were similar for mowing and disking.

**Additional keywords:** *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*, *Liquidambar styraciflua*, *Platanus occidentalis*, *Populus deltoides*, *Quercus nuttallii*.

### INTRODUCTION

Clearing and planting hardwoods on slackwater sites in the Midsouth, though high in initial cost, is an alternative for rejuvenating cutover and depleted stands and for introducing desired species on given sites. As production on these sites is less than on medium-textured sites and offers poorer economic returns, practices leading to improvement in tree growth are desirable. Weed control, along with tree release by thinning, has been suggested. This note provides growth data on planted hardwood trees through 10 years where original planting spacing was doubled after 5 years by selective thinning and where some competition control was given yearly. Soil properties before planting were also compared with those after 5 and 10 years.

The study area was a flat site on the Delta Experimental Forest, a slackwater area near Stoneville, Mississippi. The site had been forested before clearing and planting in 1971. Soil is Sharkey clay.

Species planted were cottonwood (CW—*Populus deltoides* Bartr. ex Marsh.), sycamore (SY—*Platanus occidentalis* L.), green ash (GA—*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marsh.), sweetgum (SG—*Liquidambar styraciflua* L.), and Nuttall oak (NO—*Quercus nuttallii* Palmer). Estimated mid-range site index values for these species on Sharkey clay are 9.5 feet for CW (30 years), 90 feet for SY, SG, and NO, and 8.5 feet for GA (all 50 years) (Broadfoot 1976).

Trees were planted in a split-plot design with six blocks (replications). Species subplots consisted of a 6-by-4-row planting (24 trees) on a 10-foot spacing. Plots were mowed (M5) or disked (D5) three to five times annually the first 5 years.

After the 5th year, species subplots with 80 percent or more survival for trees more than 4.5 feet tall were thinned to six trees each, or an equivalent 20-by-20-foot spacing. Sweetgum and Nuttall oak were not considered in analysis of M5 plots as 5th-year survival was 50 percent or less. For thinning, subplots were subdivided into squares of four trees each and one tree per square was selected to maintain spacing over a subplot. Mowing (M10) or disking (D10) treatments, one to three times annually, for the next 5 years were randomly assigned to whole blocks. Diagrammatically, treatment and species for the first two blocks were as follows:

Block 1:	M10	D5	SG	GA	CW	SY	NO
		M5	<del>NO</del>	SY	<del>SG</del>	GA	CW
Block 2:	D10	M5	SY	<del>SG</del>	GA	CW	<del>NO</del>
		D5	CW	NO	GA	SG	SY

Thus, there were three replications of mowing or disking for years 6 through 10 for five species disked the first 5 years and for three species mowed the first 5 years.

Yearly height and dbh measurements were made. Soil moisture readings were taken several times each growing season from 12 soil moisture tubes-two per block or one per mowing/disking combination. A composited soil sample was also taken across each mowing/disking combination before planting and after the 5th and 10th years. Content of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), organic matter (OM), and pH were determined.

Tree volumes were calculated from measurements of samples of cut trees after the 5th year-30 to 37 trees per species-and from standing trees with a Barr and Stroud dendrometer<sup>1</sup> after the 10th year-20 trees each for CW, SY, and GA, and 10 trees each for SG and NO. Total stem volume outside bark (V) equations, based on dbh (D) and total height(H), were:

$$\text{CW: } V = 0.1856 + 0.002074 D^2 H, r^2 = 0.997, \\ S_{y,x} = 0.203, \bar{V} = 2.91$$

$$\text{SY: } V = 0.1046 + 0.002435 D^2 H, r^2 = 0.989, \\ S_{y,x} = 0.100, \bar{V} = 0.92$$

$$\text{GA: } V = 0.1120 + 0.002180 D^2 H, r^2 = 0.993, \\ S_{y,x} = 0.073, \bar{V} = 0.75$$

$$\text{SG: } V = 0.0791 + 0.002247 D^2 H, r^2 = 0.993, \\ S_{y,x} = 0.037, \bar{V} = 0.29$$

$$\text{NO: } V = 0.0616 + 0.002452 D^2 H, r^2 = 0.987, \\ S_{y,x} = 0.045, \bar{V} = 0.28$$

Analyses of variance were tested at the 0.05 level. Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare means.

## RESULTS

### Tree Growth

At age 5, disked CW was significantly larger in height, dbh, and volume than all other species-treatment combinations. Mowed CW and disked SY and GA were similar, as were mowed SY and GA and disked SG and NO.

<sup>1</sup>Mention of a trade name does not constitute a warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or an endorsement by the Department over other products not mentioned.

Roger M. Krinard is Mensurationist and Harvey E. Kennedy, Jr., is Principal Silviculturist at the Southern Hardwoods Laboratory, maintained at Stoneville, Mississippi, by the Southern Forest Experiment Station, Forest Service-USDA, in cooperation with the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station and the Southern Hardwood Forest Research Group.

For the 6th- through 10th-year period, height and dbh growth was greatest with CW-M5, (table 1). Averaged over all species, disking in years 6 through 10 provided significant though very slight increases in height and diameter growth but did not affect volume growth.

At age 10, CW did not differ in height, dbh, or volume regardless of weed control treatment, and was greater than all other species. Generally, SY was equal to GA and SG to NO in height and dbh.

Overall height growth through 10 years was from 1.7 to 4.9 feet per year, depending on species-treatment combination. The annual height growth values are comparable to or slightly greater than the mean annual height increment obtained from the estimated site index values.

For volume production, CW is still far ahead of the other species. After 5 years, whether mowed or disked, CW produced two to three times the volume of the next fastest growing species, and this difference increased to three to four times after 10 years.

### Soil Moisture

Moisture tube readings nearest the middle of each month from May to September of each year were used to characterize growing season moisture conditions. Yearly rainfall, as a factor in tree growth, was considered from January through September.

Cultural treatments from the 6th through 10th years had no effects on soil moisture; the same trend in years 1 through 4 was reported earlier (Kennedy 1981). Averaged over years, moisture was highest in May and became progressively lower, with lowest measurements usually recorded in September. From May to September, average moisture values decreased from 41 to 32 percent on the surface, 51 to 41 percent at 1- and 2-foot depths, and 50 to 44 percent at 3- and 4-foot depths. Yearly rainfall was above average 4 of the first 5 years and 3 of the second 5 years.

### Soil Properties

After 5 years there was no difference in N, K, and Ca levels, compared to values before planting, whether plots were mowed or disked (table 2). Both treatments significantly reduced Mg and lowered pH, whereas disking increased P but lessened OM.

The only significant difference between 5- and 10-year soil values was an increase in Mg, but there was no difference within 5-year values and no difference within 10-year values by treatments.

Table 1.—Growth of five species in 6th through 10th year compared with 5th-year average, by cultural treatment

Species and treatment <sup>1</sup>	Fifth year			Sixth/tenth year growth		
	Height	Dbh	Volume	Height	Dbh	Volume
	ft	in	ft <sup>3</sup>	ft	in	ft <sup>3</sup>
All species, avg.						
D5 and M5, D10	16.6	2.3	0.4	15.2a <sup>2</sup>	3.4a	2.6
D5 and M5, M10	16.8	2.2	0.4	13.9b	2.8b	2.1
-----						
Cottonwood						
D5				<b>22.1</b> b	4.2b	6.5a
D5, D10	26.9a	4.3a	1.2a	21.5b	4.0bc	6.1a
D5, M10						
Sycamore						
D5				10.6cd	2.8efg	2.1b
D5, D10	20.6b	2.9b	0.5bc	9.0d	2.0gh	1.4b
D5, M10						
Green ash						
D5				13.0cd	3.2cde	2.1b
D5, D10	17.9b	2.5b	0.4cd	10.5cd	2.2fgh	1.1b
D5, M10						
Sweetgum						
D5				11.6cd	2.6efgh	0.7b
D5, D10	10.4d	1.2d	0.1e	11.0cd	2.2fgh	0.5b
D5, M10						
Nuttall oak						
D5				9.4cd	2.5efgh	0.8b
D5, D10	8.9d	1.1d	0.1e	8.4d	1.9h	0.3b
D5, M10						
Cottonwood						
M5				27.8a	5.1a	5.9a
M5, D10	19.9b	2.9b	0.6b	25.2ab	4.3b	5.1a
M5, M10						
Sycamore						
M5				13.0cd	3.3cde	1.4b
M5, D10	13.1c	1.4cd	0.2de	13.0cd	2.8efg	1.0b
M5, M10						
Green ash						
M5				13.8c	3.6bcd	1.6b
M5, D10	14.7c	1.8c	0.2de	12.5cd	3.0def	1.4b
M5, M10						

<sup>1</sup>Treatments were disk or mow in years 1 to 5 (D5 or M5) and years 6 to 10 (D10 or M10).

<sup>2</sup>Means in same part of same column (that is, above or below dashed line) followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other (P = 0.05) by Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 2.—Preplant, 5th-year and 10th-year soil chemical levels, pH, and organic matter (OM) by cultural treatment

Year and Treatment <sup>1</sup>	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	pH	OM
	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm		%
Preplant	0.172	46	439	5697	1316	6.0	3.6
5th year							
M5	.188	53	412	5642	1079	5.6	3.2
D5	.162	61	432	5692	1120	5.6	2.7
10th year							
M5-M10	.179	43	404	5857	1213	5.4	3.4
M5-D10	.203	47	433	5920	1280	5.5	3.1
D5-M10	.153	46	420	5963	1322	5.5	3.0
D5-D10	.173	53	423	5949	1244	5.5	2.9

<sup>1</sup>Treatments were disk or mow in years 1 to 5 (D5 or M5) and years 6 to 10 (D10 or M10).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Height and diameter growth differences between mowing and disking for weed control in the 6th through 10th year were minimal. Growth of species in years 6 to 10, with disking or mowing, suggested that disking neither injured tree roots in a fashion to hinder growth nor was especially beneficial in increasing growth. As mowing showed no advantage over no weed control for the first 4 years (Kennedy 1981), and there was so little difference between mowing and disking the second 5 years, weed control beyond the 5th year does not seem warranted on these soils.

If weed control is not needed beyond 5 years, how

long should it be maintained for individual species, and what are the differences between disking and mowing? Answers are not readily discernible in this study. Elsewhere, for CW plantations, intensive first-year cultivation on good sites is recommended (McKnight 1970), while on old-field clay soils disking gave better survival and growth than mowing (Krinard 1964). Also for CW plantings, summer fallowing before planting on medium-textured, old-field sites-which reduced the johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers.) component-increased 1st-year survival, but 2nd-year cultivation provided no long-term benefits (Francis 1982). Sycamore plantations with high survival have been established without weed control measures, but clean cultivation gave most consistent high survival and best height growth (Briscoe 1969).

A cultural treatment guideline inferred from this study for hardwood plantation establishment on clay sites would be to expend the greatest effort in the 1st year for weed control by disking for greatest survival and to give the plantation the best possible start. Second- and 3rd-year disking would tend to optimize early growth, but, practically, would obtain only another 1 to 1½ feet a year in height. Growing conditions and weed competition would be influencing factors.

Considering costs of plantation establishment and the growth obtained, hardwood planting on heavy clay soils may be a disappointing investment if timber growth is the only consideration. Expenditures on better sites are a much better investment, as the 10-year-old CW and SY are only about 55 to 60 percent as tall as plantings on medium-textured sites (Krinard and Johnson 1975, Schlaegel 1981). Even dominant trees in a nearby 11-year-old natural stand on clay soil (Krinard and Johnson 1981) were 70 percent (GA) to 90 percent (NO) as tall as the planted trees.

The main benefit from planting on Sharkey clay soils may well be in putting given species where they are wanted. An alternative to planting other than natural regeneration would be direct seeding, but only NO of the five species in this study is a likely candidate (Johnson 1981).

#### LITERATURE CITED

Briscoe, C. B. Establishment and early care of sycamore plantations. Res. Pap. SO-50, New Orleans, LA: US.

- Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1969. 18 p.
- Broadfoot, Walter M. Hardwood suitability for and properties of important Midsouth soils. Res. Pap. SO-127, New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1976.84 p.
- Francis, John K. Fallowing of cottonwood plantations: Benefits carry to rotation's end. in: Zavitkovski, Jerry, and Hansen, Edward A., eds. Proc., 19th annual North American Poplar Council meeting; 1982 July 20 – 22; Rhinelander, WI. [Sponsored by Poplar Council of North America and North Central Forest Experiment Station.] Manhattan, KS: Kansas State University; 1982: 1 – 7.
- Johnson, R. L. Oak seeding-it can work. South. J. Appl. For. 5(1): 28 – 33; 1981.
- Kennedy, Harvey E., Jr. Foliar nutrient concentrations and hardwood growth influenced by cultural treatments. Plant and Soil 63: 307 – 316; 1981.
- Krinard, R. M. Weed control trials in cottonwood plantations. Inf. Sheet 854, Mississippi State, MS: Miss. Agric. and For. Exp. Stn., Mississippi State University; 1964. 2 p.
- Krinard, R. M.; Johnson, R. L. Description and yields of an 11-year-old hardwood stand on Sharkey clay soil. Res. Note SO-265, New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1981. 2 p.
- Krinard, R. M.; Johnson, R. L. Ten-year results in a cottonwood plantation spacing study. Res. Pap. SO-106, New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1975.10 p.
- McKnight, J. S. Planting cottonwood cuttings for timber production in the South. Res. Pap. SO-60, New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1970. 17 p.
- Schlaegel, Bryce E. Sycamore plantation growth: 10-year results of spacing trials. In: Barnett, James P., ed. Proc., first biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1980 Nov. 6 – 7; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-34. New Orleans, LA: US. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1981. 149 – 155.