

East Texas Harvest and Utilization Study, 2014

Jason A. Cooper, James W. Bentley, James A. Gray,
and David J. Wall



The Authors:

Jason A. Cooper, Forester; **James W. Bentley**, Forester;
James A. Gray, Forester; and **David J. Wall**, Forester,
U.S. Forest Service, Southern Research Station,
Knoxville, TN 37919.

All photos by Tony G. Johnson (retired), U.S. Forest Service.



Thinned loblolly pine plantation.

Cover photo: Harvested and merchandized loblolly pine pulpwood on logging deck.

Product Disclaimer

The use of trade or firm names in this publication is for reader information and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service.

December 2017

Southern Research Station
200 W.T. Weaver Blvd.
Asheville, NC 28804



www.srs.fs.usda.gov

Foreword

This resource bulletin describes the principal findings of a harvest and utilization study conducted during the ninth inventory of eastern Texas' forest resources. Survey crews sampled and measured trees harvested in a variety of logging operations, and analysts calculated wood volume and percent of wood utilization. Harvest volume data and factors for growing-stock and nongrowing-stock logging residue are described and interpreted.

Annual surveys of America's forest resources are mandated by the Forest and Rangeland Act of 1978. Surveys and utilization studies are part of a continuing, nationwide undertaking by regional experiment stations of the Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Inventories and utilization studies of the 13 Southern States (Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia) and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico are conducted by the Southern Research Station, Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Research Work Unit headquartered in Knoxville, TN. The primary objective of these appraisals is to develop and maintain resource information needed to formulate sound forest policies and

programs. More information about Forest Service resource inventories is available in "The Enhanced Forest Inventory and Analysis Program—National Sampling Design and Estimation Procedures" (Bechtold and Patterson 2005).

Tabular data included in FIA resource bulletins present a comprehensive array of forest resource statistics, but additional information is available to those who require more specific information. Access to data for the Southern States can be found at: <http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us/data/index.shtml>.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Chris Brown and Aaron Stottlemeyer of the Texas A&M Forest Service for their review and comments; and the Southern Research Station (SRS) Technical Publications Team for technical edit, layout, and overall production of this report.

The SRS gratefully acknowledges the cooperation and assistance of the Texas A&M Forest Service in collecting harvest and utilization data. Also, appreciation is extended to the private landowners, forest industry, and loggers for allowing access to their land and logging operations.



Truck mounted knuckleboom loader merchandizing loblolly pine on logging deck.

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1
Methods	2
Site Stratification and Selection	2
Data Collection	2
Highlights	4
Characteristics of Harvested Trees in East Texas	4
Softwood Removals	5
Hardwood Removals	7
Literature Cited	8
Glossary	9
Appendix	13
Index of Tables	14
Tables A.1–A.17 ^a	15

^aAll tables in this report are available in Microsoft® Excel workbook files. Upon request, these files will be supplied in the format the customer requests.




Figure 1—Stem sections of poletimber and sawtimber trees.

East Texas Harvest and Utilization Study, 2014

Jason A. Cooper, James W. Bentley, James A. Gray,
and David J. Wall

Introduction

Forest planners and managers have a continuing need for information about the timber resource, and the general public is expressing increasing interest in the effects of logging. Therefore, up-to-date data on the Nation's forests—and how they are changing—are essential to well-informed decisionmaking. Information about the condition of and changes in the timber resource of Texas comes from three primary sources: (1) inventory plots, which describe current conditions and quantify changes due to mortality, growth, removals, and land use; (2) mill surveys, which quantify timber volume harvested and delivered to primary wood products facilities, i.e., sawmills, pulpmills, veneer mills, composite panel mills, and pole mills; and (3) logging utilization studies, which characterize harvest operations and quantify the timber volume that is cut and utilized, as well as that portion left in the forest.

This bulletin presents the findings of a 2014 harvest and utilization study conducted in eastern Texas. The study's main goal was to provide an estimate of softwood and hardwood volume used, and of volume left in the woods as logging residue. Survey crews randomly selected and measured felled trees on 68 active harvest operations throughout the eastern Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Research Work Unit regions of Texas. This bulletin also provides information on logging in east Texas and some general characteristics of trees harvested for various products, examples of which are average diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) by product, average bole length by product, average heights of residual stumps, and average diameter outside bark (d.o.b.) at the end of utilization.

Some standard FIA terms are used in this study. Two that are particularly important for understanding and interpreting study results are growing stock and nongrowing stock.



Logging residue in thinned loblolly pine plantation.

A growing-stock tree is a live tree of commercial species that either contains or is capable of producing at least one 12-foot or two 8-foot logs in the saw log portion of the bole. A nongrowing-stock tree is one that does not meet the requirements of growing stock due to poor form or rot. For growing-stock trees, the growing-stock portion of a tree (5 inches d.b.h. or larger) includes the volume of sound wood between a 1-foot stump and a 4-inch top, d.o.b. Volume in the 1-foot stump, volume in the main stem from 4 inches to the growing top of the tree, and the volume of any limbs 4 inches or larger with at least one 5-foot section are considered nongrowing-stock volume by FIA standards. Rough or rotten trees were also sampled and make up another piece of nongrowing stock (cull) volume. Figure 1 illustrates a poletimber and a sawtimber tree and the growing-stock section of each.

Methods

Site Stratification and Selection

Producing a complete list of timber-harvesting operations and ownerships in a region such as east Texas is problematic. Because the industry is so complex, it is impossible to list the names and locations of all during the timeframe considered in this resource bulletin. Many uncontrolled factors affect how, when, and where harvesting operations will take place, but the most common events that affect harvesting operations are weather and timber markets. A random sample provides a reasonably accurate estimate of utilization.

The sites selected for study were stratified by species group and product using the most recent data available from the Texas A&M Forest Service publication “Harvest Trends 2009” (Li and Others 2010), which provides county-level output of timber products harvested in Texas by species group. Using those proportions, we designated 54 of the 68 selected sites as softwood operations and the remaining 14 as hardwood operations. Harvest operations by product were based along these same general guidelines, although some flexibility was given to field crews for substitution due to the difficulty of locating harvesting operations for some specific products. Table 1 shows the final breakdown number of harvest operations, total trees, trees planted, and percent of trees planted by product and species group.

After the harvest operations were stratified by major species group and product, the operations were placed in the appropriate region and county in the State. Using county-level product output data from the “Harvest Trends 2009” report (Li and Others 2010), prospective utilization sites were selected based on a high probability of being able to locate a harvesting operation for the particular product and species group assigned.

Data Collection

In August 2012, field crews were trained to collect data on felled trees at harvest locations. Using the list of operations and a map of sites, they began collecting data by county for the particular species group and designated product(s). Data were collected from August 2012 to July 2014 on active harvest operations. Field crews visited local mills and talked to county personnel to locate active harvest sites.

Table 1—Number of operations, total trees, planted trees, and percent planted by product and species group, east Texas, 2014

Product and species group	Operations	Trees		
		Total	Planted	
		number		percent
Saw logs				
Softwood	23	465	348	75
Hardwood	5	82	1	1
Total	28	547	349	64
Veneer logs				
Softwood	5	98	40	41
Hardwood	0	0	0	—
Total	5	98	40	41
Composite panels				
Softwood	5	103	103	100
Hardwood	0	1	0	0
Total	5	104	103	99
Pulpwood				
Softwood	20	457	343	75
Hardwood	7	186	23	12
Total	27	643	366	57
Fuelwood				
Softwood	1	26	26	100
Hardwood	2	46	25	54
Total	3	72	51	71
All products				
Softwood	54	1,149	860	75
Hardwood	14	315	49	16
Total	68	1,464	909	62

— = negligible.

At each harvest operation site, the crew members talked to the logger or the person in charge of operations. Those contacts provided vital information about product(s) utilized, specific diameters, and log lengths the receiving mill(s) would accept, along with minimum diameters at the cutoff points for specific products. Crews also noted the type of logging equipment that was being used. This information was used to determine the level of mechanization for each harvesting operation.



Representation of residual stump height using mechanized felling equipment.

On each harvest operation site, the crew's goal was to measure 25 to 30 trees for each product to ensure an adequate representation of overutilization and underutilization for a given type of harvest operation. Trees were randomly selected and had to be at least 5 inches d.b.h. and alive prior to harvest. Although they often had been bucked, limbed, and topped, the main bole of each tree selected for measurement had to be intact to be measured for utilization. The State, unit, county, and location number were recorded for each site. Each tree was assigned a number and identified by species, d.b.h., tree class, product, and bole length, as well as percent cull if rot was detected. Each tree was measured from the top of the cut stump to the end of utilization. Measurements were made along the main stem in sections no longer than 16 feet until the end of utilization. The end of utilization usually is determined by the sawyer, according to particular specifications set by the receiving mill(s). Again, FIA merchantability standards for growing-stock volume are defined as the volume in the main stem of the tree from a 1-foot stump to a 4-inch top.

However, most trees are not cut exactly at a 1-foot stump, nor are they cut off at exactly 4 inches. For example, trees that are cut off above a 1-foot stump and below 4 inches would be considered underutilized, and that volume not utilized would be considered growing-stock residue. On the other hand, by FIA standards, trees cut below a 1-foot stump and above a 4-inch top are considered 100 percent utilized, and those portions below and above are considered overutilization. A myriad of combinations actually occurs on active harvest operations. The aggregated volume from measured trees has provided overutilization and underutilization factors that can be applied to statewide inventory results for an estimate of growing-stock and nongrowing-stock logging residues. Other required measurements, besides d.b.h. and end of utilization, are the top of the sawtimber portion (7.0 inches in softwoods and 9.0 inches in hardwoods). Those measurements allow calculation of the sawtimber and poletimber portion of the growing-stock section.

Highlights

Characteristics of Harvested Trees in East Texas

Results of this study have identified several key characteristics of trees harvested, which cannot be obtained from a typical field inventory or a forest industry study that supplies product output data only. Characteristics such as average d.b.h. by product, average bole length by product, average residual stump height, and average d.o.b. at the end of utilization constitute important information that can help us more fully understand the complex nature of removals. Averages discussed in this section are based on the measurement of 1,464 trees. Of those, 1,149 (78 percent) were softwood, and 315 (22 percent) were hardwood.

According to the Texas “Harvest Trends 2009” report (Li and Others 2010), softwood and hardwood saw-log volume together accounted for 42 percent of the total product output for the State. This study classified 465 trees as having softwood saw logs averaging 12.6 inches d.b.h. Seventy-five percent, or 348 trees, were classified as planted softwood saw logs averaging 12.3 inches d.b.h. while natural softwood saw logs averaged 13.6 inches d.b.h. In addition, the study also classified 82 hardwood trees as having saw logs averaging 14.4 inches d.b.h. Veneer and plywood constitute another component of the product mix for east Texas. Based on 98 trees measured for softwood veneer, the average d.b.h. was 16.4 inches. Advances in lathe technology at softwood plywood mills are resulting in a drop of the average d.b.h. of peeler logs across the South. As expected, the d.b.h. of trees measured for pulpwood and composite panels was significantly smaller. Of the 457 softwood pulpwood trees measured, the average d.b.h. was 7.3 inches, while the 186 trees measured for hardwood pulpwood averaged 7.5 inches d.b.h. Seventy-five percent, or 343 of the softwood pulpwood trees, were planted, averaging 7.5 inches d.b.h., slightly larger than trees that come from natural stands. One hundred-three trees were measured for softwood composite panels and averaged 7.0 inches d.b.h. Table 2 shows the breakdown of average d.b.h. for each product by species group and stand origin.

Bole length is the distance between a 1-foot stump and a 4-inch top. As expected, trees harvested for solid wood products tended to have longer average bole lengths than trees harvested for pulpwood or composite panel products. The average bole length for softwood trees measured for saw logs was 57 feet, while trees measured for hardwood saw logs had an average bole length of 51 feet. In comparison, trees measured for pulpwood had an

Table 2—Average diameter at breast height by species group, stand origin, and product, east Texas, 2014

Species group and stand origin	Product				
	Saw logs	Veneer logs	Composite panels	Pulpwood	Fuelwood
<i>inches</i>					
Softwood					
Natural	13.60	17.72	—	6.88	—
Planted	12.25	14.37	7.01	7.50	7.77
Total	12.59	16.35	7.01	7.34	7.77
Hardwood					
Natural	14.41	—	7.50	7.44	8.50
Planted	11.10	—	—	7.54	8.40
Total	14.37	—	7.50	7.46	8.44

— = no sample for the cell.

average bole length of 29 feet for softwood and 28 feet for hardwood. Softwood veneer trees had an average bole length of 60 feet, while trees measured for softwood composite panels had an average bole length of 29 feet. Trees harvested for a fuelwood product averaged 43 feet for hardwood species and 39 feet for softwood species. Trees measured in planted stands tended to have shorter bole lengths than those measured in the natural stands for softwood species. Table 3 shows the average bole length by species group, stand origin, and product.

Table 3—Average bole length by species group, stand origin, and product, east Texas, 2014

Species group and stand origin	Product				
	Saw logs	Veneer logs	Composite panels	Pulpwood	Fuelwood
<i>feet</i>					
Softwood					
Natural	60.41	61.93	—	28.77	—
Planted	56.49	56.45	29.26	29.10	38.62
Total	57.48	59.69	29.26	29.02	38.62
Hardwood					
Natural	50.95	—	38.00	27.93	38.38
Planted	54.00	—	—	30.83	46.44
Total	50.99	—	38.00	28.29	42.76

— = no sample for the cell.

Residual stump height is a key component in determining utilization rates for harvested trees. By FIA standards, the stump is that portion of the tree measured at ground level from the uphill side of the tree to 1 foot up the bole. Loggers try to maximize volume harvested by cutting the tree as close to the ground as possible. Residual stump heights across the products ranged from 0.32 to 0.90 feet; however, softwood trees harvested had an average residual stump height across all products of 0.54 foot, while hardwood trees harvested averaged 0.71 foot. In softwoods and across all products, this accounted for about 46 percent of the stump volume used. In hardwoods and across all products, about 29 percent of stump volume was used. Stump volume for both hardwood and softwood contributed to utilization of the nongrowing-stock portion of trees, i.e., overutilization. Table 4 shows the average residual stump heights for each product by species group.

The final component we used to determine use rates was d.o.b. at the end of utilization. Tops and limbs constitute most of the nongrowing-stock volume, although they accounted for only 24 percent of the nongrowing-stock portion that was utilized. The average end of utilization for softwood saw logs was 6.0 inches, and for hardwood saw logs it was 8.7 inches. The average end of utilization for softwood and hardwood pulpwood was 3.4 and 3.7 inches, respectively. Table 5 shows the average end of utilization by the different products and species group.

Table 4—Average residual stump height by species group, stand origin, and product, east Texas, 2014

Species group and stand origin	Product				
	Saw logs	Veneer logs	Composite panels	Pulp-wood	Fuel-wood
<i>feet</i>					
Softwood					
Natural	0.62	0.46	—	0.42	—
Planted	0.62	0.32	0.44	0.53	0.74
Total	0.62	0.40	0.44	0.50	0.74
Hardwood					
Natural	0.61	—	0.90	0.50	0.78
Planted	0.70	—	—	0.77	0.78
Total	0.61	—	0.90	0.53	0.78

— = no sample for the cell.

Table 5—Average end of utilization by species group, stand origin, and product, east Texas, 2014

Species group and stand origin	Product				
	Saw logs	Veneer logs	Composite panels	Pulp-wood	Fuel-wood
<i>inches</i>					
Softwood					
Natural	7.11	8.22	—	3.05	—
Planted	5.58	4.05	4.16	3.57	4.00
Total	5.96	6.52	4.16	3.44	4.00
Hardwood					
Natural	8.77	—	4.00	3.69	4.00
Planted	4.00	—	—	3.92	4.00
Total	8.71	—	4.00	3.72	4.00

— = no sample for the cell.

Softwood Removals

Results from this study document 23,508 cubic feet of softwood volume, of which 19,092 cubic feet, or 81 percent, was used for product(s). Nineteen percent, or 4,416 cubic feet, was left onsite as logging residue (fig. 2). Forty-three percent of the residue volume came from the growing-stock portion of the tree, while 57 percent came from the nongrowing-stock portion (stumps, tops, and limbs) (fig. 3) (table A.1).




Figure 2—Disposition of total softwood harvest volume, east Texas, 2014.




Figure 3—Softwood residue by volume type, east Texas, 2014.

The total softwood growing-stock volume measured was 20,551 cubic feet. Of that total, 91 percent was utilized, and 9 percent was logging residue (fig. 4). By FIA merchantability standards, the logging residue portion of growing-stock trees is underutilized volume. Of the total utilized volume, 449 cubic feet, or 2.4 percent was from the nongrowing-stock portion of trees. By the same merchantability standards, that volume is considered overutilization (tables A.2 and A.3).

Softwood volumes and percentages are broken down further by poletimber and sawtimber, and by the various products measured (tables A.2 through A.7). By product, trees harvested for pulpwood and composite panels had above-average rates of utilization for the merchantable portion of the tree (96.3 and 97.9 percent, respectively) and the highest rates of overutilization (7.2 and 3.4 percent, respectively). This means that more of the nongrowing-stock portion of the tree was being used for product(s) and less was left as logging residue.




Figure 4—Disposition of softwood growing-stock volume, east Texas, 2014.



Residual logging residue after a total harvest.

Hardwood Removals

Results from this study document 4,231 cubic feet of hardwood volume, of which 3,114 cubic feet, or 74 percent, was utilized for product(s). Twenty-six percent, or 1,117 cubic feet, was left onsite as logging residue (fig. 5). Thirty-eight percent of residue volume came from the growing-stock portion of trees, and 62 percent came from the nongrowing-stock portion (stumps, tops, and limbs) (fig. 6) (table A.1).

The total hardwood growing-stock volume measured was 3,421 cubic feet. Of that total, 87 percent was used, and 13 percent was logging residue (fig. 7). By FIA merchantability standards, the logging residue portion is underutilized volume. Of the total utilized volume, 122 cubic feet, or 3.9 percent, was from




Figure 7—Disposition of hardwood growing-stock volume, east Texas, 2014.




Figure 5—Disposition of total hardwood harvest volume, east Texas, 2014.

the nongrowing-stock portion of trees. By the same merchantability standards, that volume is considered overutilization (tables A.8 and A.9).

Hardwood volumes and percentages also were measured for poletimber and sawtimber, and differentiated by the various products they provided (tables A.10 through A.17). At 95 and 96 percent, however, those trees measured for pulpwood and fuelwood were more fully utilized. The composite panels product showed a higher percentage of utilization, but was not chosen due to the small sample size of one hardwood measured (table 1). Also, more of the nongrowing-stock portion was used for pulpwood and composite panels. Trees measured for hardwood fuelwood were the least utilized of all, although they have the most nongrowing-stock material.




Figure 6—Hardwood residue by volume type, east Texas, 2014.



Knuckleboom loader with pull-through delimber merchandizing loblolly pine.

Literature Cited

- Bechtold, W.A.; Patterson, P.L., eds. 2005. The enhanced forest inventory and analysis program—national sampling design and estimation procedures. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-80. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 85 p.
- Li, Y.; Caraway, B.; VanderSchaaf, C. 2010. Harvest trends 2009. College Station, TX: Texas A&M Forest Service. 24 p.

Glossary

Board foot. Unit of measure applied to roundwood. It relates to lumber that is 1-foot long, 1-foot wide, and 1-inch thick (or its equivalent).

Composite products. Roundwood products manufactured into chips, wafers, strands, flakes, shavings, or sawdust and then reconstituted into a variety of panel and engineered lumber products.

Drain. The volume of roundwood removed from any geographic area where timber is grown.

Growing-stock removals. The growing-stock volume removed from poletimber and sawtimber trees in the timberland inventory. (Note: Includes volume removed for roundwood products, logging residues, and other removals.)

Growing-stock trees. Living trees of commercial species classified as sawtimber, poletimber, saplings, and seedlings. Growing-stock trees must contain at least one 12-foot or two 8-foot logs in the saw-log portion, currently or potentially (if too small to qualify). The log(s) must meet dimension and merchantability standards and have, currently or potentially, one-third of the gross board-foot volume in sound wood.

Growing-stock volume. The cubic-foot volume of sound wood in growing-stock trees at least 5.0 inches d.b.h. from a 1-foot stump to a minimum 4.0-inch top d.o.b. of the central stem.

Hardwoods. Dicotyledonous trees, usually broadleaf and deciduous.

Soft hardwoods. Hardwood species with an average specific gravity ≤ 0.50 , such as gums, yellow-poplar, cottonwoods, red maple, basswoods, and willows.

Hard hardwoods. Hardwood species with an average specific gravity > 0.50 , such as oaks, hard maples, hickories, and beech.

Industrial roundwood products. Any primary use of the main stem of a tree, such as saw logs, pulpwood, and veneer logs, intended to be processed into primary wood products, such as lumber, wood pulp, and sheathing, at primary wood-using mills.

International 1/4-inch rule. A log rule or formula for estimating the board-foot volume of logs, allowing 1/2-inch of taper for each 4-foot length. The rule appears in a number of forms that allow for kerf. In the form used by FIA, a

1/4-inch of kerf is assumed. This rule is used as the USDA Forest Service standard log rule in the Eastern United States.

Log. A primary forest product harvested in long, primarily 8-, 12-, and 16-foot lengths.

Logging residues. The unused portion of trees cut or destroyed during logging operations.

Merchantable portion. That portion of live trees 5.0 inches d.b.h. and larger between a 1-foot stump and a minimum 4.0-inch top d.o.b. on the central stem. That portion of primary forks from the point of occurrence to a minimum 4.0-inch top d.o.b. is included.

Merchantable volume. Solid-wood volume in the merchantable portion of live trees.

Noncommercial species. Tree species of typically small size, poor form, or inferior quality that normally do not develop into trees suitable for industrial wood products.

Nonforest land. Land that has never supported forests and land formerly forested where timber production is precluded by development for other uses.

Nongrowing-stock sources. The net volume removed from the nongrowing-stock portions of poletimber and sawtimber trees (stumps, tops, limbs, cull sections of central stem) and from any portion of a rough, rotten, sapling, dead, or nonforest tree.

Other forest land. Forest land other than timberland and productive reserved forest land. It includes available and reserved forest land that is incapable of producing annually 20 cubic feet per acre of industrial wood under natural conditions because of adverse site conditions such as sterile soils, dry climate, poor drainage, high elevation, steepness, or rockiness.

Other products. A Fuelwood category of roundwood products, e.g., cooperage, excelsior, shingles, and mill residue byproducts (charcoal, bedding, mulch, etc.).

Other removals. The growing-stock volume of trees removed from the inventory by cultural operations such as timber stand improvement, land clearing, and other changes in land use, resulting in the removal of the trees from timberland.

Other sources. (See: Nongrowing-stock sources.)

Poletimber-size trees. Softwoods 5.0 to 8.9 inches d.b.h. and hardwoods 5.0 to 10.9 inches d.b.h.

Posts, poles, and pilings. Roundwood products milled (cut or peeled) into standard sizes (lengths and circumferences) to be put in the ground to provide vertical and lateral support in buildings, foundations, utility lines, and fences. May also include nonindustrial (unmilled) products.

Primary wood-using plants. Industries that convert roundwood products (saw logs, veneer logs, pulpwood, etc.) into primary wood products, such as lumber, veneer or sheathing, and wood pulp.

Pulpwood. A roundwood product that will be reduced to individual wood fibers by chemical or mechanical means. The fibers are used to make a broad generic group of pulp products that includes paper products, as well as chipboard, fiberboard, insulating board, and paperboard.

Rotten trees. Live trees of commercial species not containing at least one 12-foot saw log, or two noncontiguous saw logs, each 8 feet or longer, now or prospectively, primarily because of rot or missing sections, and with less than one-third of the gross board-foot tree volume in sound material.

Rough trees. Live trees of commercial species not containing at least one 12-foot saw log, or two noncontiguous saw logs, each 8 feet or longer, now or prospectively, primarily because of roughness, poor form, splits, and cracks, and with less than one-third of the gross board-foot tree volume in sound material; and live trees of noncommercial species.

Roundwood (roundwood logs). Logs, bolts, or other round sections cut from trees for industrial manufacture or consumer uses.

Roundwood chipped. Any timber cut primarily for industrial manufacture, delivered to nonpulpmills, chipped, and then sold to pulpmills for use as fiber. Includes tops, jump sections, whole trees, and pulpwood sticks.

Roundwood product drain. That portion of total drain used for a product.

Roundwood products. Any primary product, such as lumber, poles, pilings, pulp, or fuelwood that is produced from roundwood.

Salvable dead trees. Standing or downed dead trees that were formerly growing stock and considered merchantable. Trees must be at least 5.0 inches d.b.h. to qualify.

Saplings. Live trees 1.0 to 5.0 inches d.b.h.

Saw log. A roundwood product, usually 8 feet in length or longer, processed into a variety of sawn products such as lumber, cants, pallets, railroad ties, and timbers.

Saw-log portion. The part of the bole of sawtimber trees between a 1-foot stump and the saw-log top.

Saw-log top. The point on the bole of sawtimber trees above which a conventional saw log cannot be produced. The minimum saw-log top is 7.0 inches d.o.b. for softwoods and 9.0 inches d.o.b. for hardwoods.

Sawtimber-size trees. Softwoods 9.0 inches d.b.h. and larger and hardwoods 11.0 inches d.b.h. and larger.

Sawtimber volume. Growing-stock volume in the saw-log portion of sawtimber-sized trees in board feet (International 1/4-inch rule).

Seedlings. Trees <1.0 inch d.b.h. and >1 foot tall for hardwoods, >6 inches tall for softwood, and >0.5 inch in diameter at ground level for longleaf pine.

Softwoods. Coniferous trees, usually evergreen, having leaves that are needles or scalelike.

Standard cord. A unit of measure applied to roundwood, usually bolts or split wood. It is a stack of wood 4 feet high, 4 feet wide, and 8 feet long encompassing 128 cubic feet of wood, bark, and air space. This usually translates to approximately 75.0 to 81.0 cubic feet of solid wood for pulpwood, because pulpwood is more uniform.

Standard unit. A unit measure applied to roundwood timber products. Board feet (International 1/4-inch rule) is the standard unit used for saw logs and veneer; cords are used for pulpwood, composite panel, and fuelwood; hundred pieces for poles; thousand pieces for posts; and thousand cubic feet for all other Fuelwood forest products.

Timberland. Forest land capable of producing 20 cubic feet of industrial wood per acre per year and not withdrawn from timber utilization.



Grapple skidder pulling felled loblolly pine to logging deck.

Timber product output. The total volume of roundwood products from all sources plus the volume of byproducts recovered from mill residues (equals roundwood product drain).

Timber products. Roundwood products and byproducts.

Timber removals. The total volume of trees removed from the timberland inventory by harvesting, cultural operations such as stand improvement, land clearing, or changes in land use. (Note: Includes roundwood products, logging residues, and other removals.)

Tree. Woody plant having one erect perennial stem or trunk at least 3 inches d.b.h., a more or less definitely formed crown of foliage, and a height of at least 13 feet (at maturity).

Upper-stem portion. The part of the main stem of sawtimber trees above the saw-log top and the minimum top diameter of 4.0 inches outside bark, or to the point where the main stem breaks into limbs.

Utilization studies. Studies conducted on active logging operations to develop factors for merchantable portions of trees left in the woods (logging residues), logging damage, and utilization of the unmerchantable portion of growing-stock trees and nongrowing-stock trees.

Veneer log. A roundwood product either rotary cut, sliced, stamped, or sawn into a variety of veneer products such as plywood, finished panels, veneer sheets, or sheathing.

Weight. A unit of measure for mill residues, expressed as oven-dry tons (2,000 oven-dry pounds).

Appendix

Index of Tables

Table A.1—Harvest and utilization volume by species group, source, and volume type, east Texas, 2014

Table A.2—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.3—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.4—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.5—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.6—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.7—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.8—Volume of softwood cull by product and utilization, east Texas, 2014

Table A.9—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood cull by product, east Texas, 2014

Table A.10—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.11—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.12—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.13—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.14—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.15—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Table A.16—Volume of hardwood cull by product and utilization, east Texas, 2014

Table A.17—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood cull by product, east Texas, 2014

Table A.1—Harvest and utilization volume by species group, source, and volume type, east Texas, 2014

Species group and source	Total tree volume	Growing stock					Nongrowing stock				
		Total	Saw log		Upper stem		Total	Stumps		Tops/limbs	
			Utilized	Not utilized	Utilized	Not utilized		Utilized	Not utilized	Utilized	Not utilized
cubic feet											
Softwood											
Sawtimber	20,530.88	18,134.64	15,528.25	1,294.11	725.57	586.71	2,396.24	265.40	554.42	4.68	1,571.74
Poletimber	2,977.08	2,416.55	—	—	2,389.02	27.53	560.53	82.17	103.70	96.57	278.09
Total	23,507.96	20,551.19	15,528.25	1,294.11	3,114.59	614.24	2,956.77	347.57	658.12	101.25	1,849.83
Hardwood											
Sawtimber	2,970.92	2,436.42	1,929.34	183.53	142.01	181.54	534.50	44.19	120.81	0.40	369.10
Poletimber	1,260.46	984.58	—	—	920.60	63.98	275.88	40.33	49.92	37.51	148.12
Total	4,231.38	3,421.00	1,929.34	183.53	1,062.61	245.52	810.38	84.52	170.73	37.91	517.22

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.2—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized	Saw-log portion			
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized		Total	Utilized	Cull utilized	Not utilized
cubic feet									
Saw logs	11,853.04	13,247.58	11,684.98	1,562.60	168.06	12,010.34	10,917.92	990.39	102.04
Veneer logs	4,155.40	4,315.84	4,065.76	250.08	89.64	4,147.08	3,989.73	157.35	—
Composite panels	494.16	487.39	477.33	10.06	16.83	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	2,409.28	2,321.97	2,237.03	84.94	172.25	630.36	586.11	44.24	—
Fuelwood	179.76	178.40	177.73	0.67	2.03	34.58	34.48	0.10	—
Total	19,091.64	20,551.18	18,642.83	1,908.35	448.81	16,822.36	15,528.24	1,192.08	102.04

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.3—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization		Saw-log portion		
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume	Saw log utilized/total saw-log volume	Cull utilized/ total saw-log volume	Saw log not utilized/ total saw-log volume
<i>percent</i>							
Saw logs	98.58	1.42	88.20	11.80	90.90	8.25	0.85
Veneer logs	97.84	2.16	94.21	5.79	96.21	3.79	—
Composite panes	96.59	3.41	97.94	2.06	—	—	—
Pulpwood	92.85	7.15	96.34	3.66	92.98	7.02	—
Fuelwood	98.87	1.13	99.62	0.38	99.71	0.29	—
All products	97.65	2.35	90.71	9.29	92.31	7.09	0.61

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.4—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized	Saw-log portion			
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized		Total	Utilized	Cull utilized	Not utilized
cubic feet									
Saw logs	11,580.14	12,975.21	11,420.96	1,554.25	159.18	12,010.34	10,917.92	990.39	102.04
Veneer logs	4,155.40	4,315.84	4,065.76	250.08	89.64	4,147.08	3,989.73	157.35	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	744.33	799.26	723.44	75.82	20.89	630.36	586.11	44.24	—
Fuelwood	44.02	44.32	43.65	0.67	0.37	34.58	34.48	0.10	—
Total	16,523.89	18,134.63	16,253.81	1,880.82	270.08	16,822.36	15,528.24	1,192.08	102.04

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.5—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization		Saw-log portion		
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume	Saw log utilized/total saw-log volume	Cull utilized/ total saw-log volume	Saw log not utilized/ total saw-log volume
<i>percent</i>							
Saw logs	98.63	1.37	88.02	11.98	90.90	8.25	0.85
Veneer logs	97.84	2.16	94.21	5.79	96.21	3.79	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	97.19	2.81	90.51	9.49	92.98	7.02	—
Fuelwood	99.16	0.84	98.49	1.51	99.71	0.29	—
All products	98.37	1.63	89.63	10.37	92.31	7.09	0.61

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.6—Volume of softwood growing stock by product and utilization for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized	
cubic feet					
Saw logs	272.90	272.37	264.02	8.35	8.88
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	494.16	487.39	477.33	10.06	16.83
Pulpwood	1,664.95	1,522.71	1,513.59	9.12	151.36
Fuelwood	135.74	134.08	134.08	—	1.66
Total	2,567.75	2,416.55	2,389.02	27.53	178.73

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.7—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood growing stock by product for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization	
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume
<i>percent</i>				
Saw logs	96.75	3.25	96.93	3.07
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	96.59	3.41	97.94	2.06
Pulpwood	90.91	9.09	99.40	0.60
Fuelwood	98.78	1.22	100.00	—
All products	93.04	6.96	98.86	1.14

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.8—Volume of softwood cull by product and utilization, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock			
		Merchantable			Unmerchantable utilized
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized	
<i>cubic feet</i>					
Saw logs	38.97	41.29	38.01	3.28	0.96
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	233.96	232.45	230.62	1.83	3.34
Fuelwood	22.03	21.79	21.79	—	0.24
Total	294.96	295.53	290.42	5.11	4.54

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.9—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for softwood cull by product, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization	
	Merchantable utilized/ total volume utilized	Unmerchantable utilized/ total volume utilized	Merchantable utilized/total merchantable volume	Merchantable not utilized/ total merchantable volume
<i>percent</i>				
Saw logs	97.54	2.46	92.06	7.94
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	98.57	1.43	99.21	0.79
Fuelwood	98.91	1.09	100.00	—
All products	98.46	1.54	98.27	1.73

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.10—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized	Saw-log portion			
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized		Total	Utilized	Cull utilized	Not utilized
		cubic feet							
Saw logs	2,190.36	2,526.46	2,139.41	387.05	50.95	2,048.21	1,869.90	50.94	127.37
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	6.56	6.53	6.53	—	0.03	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	820.21	788.03	749.69	38.34	70.52	64.66	59.44	5.23	—
Fuelwood	97.26	99.99	96.33	3.66	0.93	—	—	—	—
Total	3,114.39	3,421.01	2,991.96	429.05	122.43	2,112.87	1,929.34	56.17	127.37

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.11—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for sawtimber and poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization		Saw-log portion		
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume	Saw log utilized/ total saw-log volume	Cull utilized/ total saw-log volume	Saw log not utilized/ total saw-log volume
<i>percent</i>							
Saw logs	97.67	2.33	84.68	15.32	91.29	2.49	6.22
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	99.54	0.46	100.00	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	91.40	8.60	95.13	4.87	91.93	8.09	—
Fuelwood	99.04	0.96	96.34	3.66	—	—	—
All products	96.07	3.93	87.46	12.54	91.31	2.66	6.03

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.12—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized	Saw-log portion			
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized		Total	Utilized	Cull utilized	Not utilized
cubic feet									
Saw logs	2,027.62	2,343.46	1,985.62	357.84	42.00	2,048.21	1,869.90	50.94	127.37
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	88.32	92.96	85.73	7.23	2.59	64.66	59.44	5.23	—
Fuelwood	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2,115.94	2,436.42	2,071.35	365.07	44.59	2,112.87	1,929.34	56.17	127.37

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.13—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for sawtimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization		Saw-log portion		
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume	Saw log utilized/ total saw-log volume	Cull utilized/ total saw-log volume	Saw log not utilized/ total saw-log volume
<i>percent</i>							
Saw logs	97.93	2.07	84.73	15.27	91.29	2.49	6.22
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	97.07	2.93	92.22	7.78	91.93	8.09	—
Fuelwood	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
All products	97.89	2.11	85.02	14.98	91.31	2.66	6.03

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.14—Volume of hardwood growing stock by product and utilization for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Growing stock			Nongrowing stock utilized
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized	
<i>cubic feet</i>					
Saw logs	162.74	183.00	153.79	29.21	8.95
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	6.56	6.53	6.53	—	0.03
Pulpwood	731.89	695.07	663.96	31.11	67.93
Fuelwood	97.26	99.99	96.33	3.66	0.93
Total	998.45	984.59	920.61	63.98	77.84

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.15—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood growing stock by product for poletimber, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization	
	Growing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock utilized/ total volume utilized	Growing stock utilized/total growing-stock volume	Growing stock not utilized/ total growing-stock volume
<i>percent</i>				
Saw logs	94.50	5.50	84.04	15.96
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	99.54	0.46	100.00	—
Pulpwood	90.72	9.28	95.52	4.48
Fuelwood	99.04	0.96	96.34	3.66
All products	92.20	7.80	93.50	6.50

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.16—Volume of hardwood cull by product and utilization, east Texas, 2014

Product	Total volume utilized	Nongrowing stock			
		Merchantable			Unmerchantable utilized
		Total	Utilized	Not utilized	
cubic feet					
Saw logs	—	—	—	—	—
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	262.46	276.84	257.82	19.02	4.64
Fuelwood	323.67	330.09	320.30	9.79	3.37
Total	586.13	606.93	578.12	28.81	8.01

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

— = no sample for the cell.

Table A.17—Percent of overutilization and underutilization for hardwood cull by product, east Texas, 2014

Product	Overutilization		Underutilization	
	Merchantable utilized/ total volume utilized	Unmerchantable utilized/ total volume utilized	Merchantable utilized/total merchantable volume	Merchantable not utilized/total merchantable volume
<i>percent</i>				
Saw logs	—	—	—	—
Veneer logs	—	—	—	—
Composite panels	—	—	—	—
Pulpwood	98.23	1.77	93.13	6.87
Fuelwood	98.96	1.04	97.03	2.97
All products	98.63	1.37	95.25	4.75

— = no sample for the cell.

Cooper, Jason A.; Bentley, James W.; Gray, James A.; Wall, David J. 2017. East Texas harvest and utilization study, 2014. e-Resour. Bull. SRS-214. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 23 p.

In 2014, a harvest and utilization study was completed on 68 logging operations throughout eastern Texas. There were 1,464 total trees measured: 1,149 or 78 percent were softwood, while 315 or 22 percent were hardwood. Results from this study showed that 81 percent of the total softwood volume measured was utilized for a product, and 19 percent was left as logging residue. Eighty-seven percent of the total hardwood volume measured was utilized for a product, while 13 percent was left as logging residue.

Keywords: FIA, growing stock, logging residue, nongrowing stock, overutilization, product, removals, underutilization.



Log truck with pole trailer loaded with tree-length loblolly pine pulpwood.



How do you rate this publication?
Scan this code to submit your feedback or go to
www.srs.fs.usda.gov/pubeval.



In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.