

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest Service

Pacific  
Northwest  
Region

R6-ECOL-230A-1986



# Plant Association and Management Guide for the Western Hemlock Zone

Gifford Pinchot National Forest



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest Service

Pacific  
Northwest  
Region

R6 - ECOL - 230A - 1966

June 1986



# Plant Association and Management Guide for the Western Hemlock Zone

## Gifford Pinchot National Forest

By Christopher Topik, Associate Ecologist  
Nancy M. Halverson, Area Ecologist  
Dale G. Brockway, Forest Ecologist

# CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	Introduction	
CHAPTER 2	The Western Hemlock Zone	13
CHAPTER 3	Keys to Forest Zones and Plant Associations	59
CHAPTER 4	Plant Association Descriptions	69
LITERATURE	CITED	107
APPENDIX 1	Plot Locations	114
APPENDIX 2	Timber Productivity by Species	117
APPENDIX 3	Plant Cover by Association	121
APPENDIX 4	Chart of Foliar Cover	130
APPENDIX 5	Relationship of Forest Zones to Planning Working Groups	131
KEY TO WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE		132
PLANT ASSOCIATIONS		

# CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

<b>What is the Western Hemlock Zone?</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>What is in this guide?</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Plant Association Names and Ecoclass Codes</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Plant Associations as Indicators of Environment</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Association Boundaries: In Space and Time</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Methods</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Uses of -Plant Associations in Forest Management</b>	<b>11</b>

## Introduction

Plant associations are groupings of plant species which reoccur on the landscape within particular environmental tolerances. Knowledge of plant associations can greatly aid land managers to **"read"** and understand environmental variability. This leads to more accurate treatment response prediction and analysis of resource potential.

**Associations** can serve as particularly useful tools for the land manager:

- (1) by indicating environmental features of sites;
- (2) by providing greater site specificity and applicability when communicating research results and management experience;
- (3) by predicting management response and better prescription of suitable activities;
- (4) by serving as a natural inventory system of land resources.

This guide presents the plant association **classification** for the Western Hemlock Zone of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. The bulk of the Forest below about **3000** feet in elevation is included in this zone, comprising about **one** half of the **entire** land base. Much of this area is blanketed-with productive stands of Douglas-fir.

## What is the Western Hemlock Zone?

The Western Hemlock Zone is biologically defined as those lands where western hemlock is expected to be the **dominant** tree species given an opportunity to achieve a long-term **stable** state. In practice, it includes areas where **western** hemlock is the primary regenerating tree species in mature stands. The Western Hemlock Zone is further delineated by the relative **lack** of regeneration by tree species **which** indicate harsher environments: Pacific silver fir, mountain hemlock and subalpine **fir** at higher elevations and Douglas-fir, grand fir and Oregon white oak on drier sites.

## What is in this guide?

This guide is designed to present and to document the properties of Western Hemlock Zone plant associations. This chapter first discusses classification concepts which help explain fundamental terminology and biological processes. We then outline our study methods and highlight some of the uses of this association classification. Chapter two provides an ecosystem perspective to the chief factors affecting the vegetation resource. This includes an overview of the entire Western Hemlock Zone, and more detailed presentations on physical (climate, geology, soils) and biological (forest floor, snag and fallen tree, forage, and timber) properties of the plant associations.

The dichotomous key to plant associations in Chapter 3 helps us determine the particular association present at any given site. Detailed descriptions form the body of this guide (Chapter 4). They are the basic reference to the classification system and should always be consulted before designating the association at a locale.

## Plant Association Names and Ecoclass Codes

Plant associations are complex groupings of plant species. We name associations after the more prominent tree, shrub or herb species present in mature stands. The named species are usually those characteristic of a particular environment: they need not be the most abundant species' present. We have tried to use common English names for all species. The associations are more conveniently referenced by the 4 letter computer codes derived from the Latin names (Garrison et al. 1976). This shorthand system is very useful for regular users. Casual users of the plant association classification system should not be scared off by this jargon; it can be avoided if you so wish. Major tree, shrub and herb species are listed in Table 17 by their common, Latin and code names.

Plant association designations are coordinated within the Pacific Northwest Region (R6) of the Forest Service by the Regional Ecologist. Associations are given specific Ecoclass codes (Hall 1984) which form the basis of documenting the land base with the Total Resource Information (TRI) systems on each National Forest.

The plant associations and their distributions are listed in Table 1. Throughout this guide the order of the associations follows an approximate moisture gradient from wet to dry.

Table 1. Names, abbreviations, Ecoclass codes and general geographic locations of the Western Hemlock Zone Plant Associations of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

RANT ASSOCIATION	SCIENTIFICNAME	ABBREVIATION	ECOCLASS CODE	GEOGRAPHICLOCATION
wet Group:				
Western hemlock/ Skunk-cabbage	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Lysichitum americanum</i>	TSHE/LYAM	CHM1-21	saturated sites, GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Ladyfern	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	TSHE/ATF1	CHF4-21	very moist sites, west and north ends of GP
Western hemlock/ Devil's Club/ Swordfern	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Opiopanax</i> <i>horridum</i> / <i>Polystichum munitum</i>	TSHE/OPHO/POMJ	CHS5-24	very moist sites, especially west side of GP NF
Moist group:				
Western hemlock/ Swordfern-Oregon Oxalis	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Polystichum</i> <i>munitum</i> - <i>Oxalis oregana</i>	TSHE/POMJ-OXOR	CHF1-24	moist sites, mostly lower elevations, GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/Oregon oxalis	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Vaccinium</i> <i>alaskaense</i> / <i>Oxalis oregana</i>	TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	CHS6-13	western edge of GP and mid elev of Bull Run, MH NF
Western hemlock/ Coolwort foamflower	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Tiarella trifoliata</i>	TSHE/TITR	CHF2-22	moist sites, higher elev TSHE zone, west side of GP NF
Western hemlock/ Swordfern	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Polystichum munitum</i>	TSHE/POMJ	CHF1-25	moist sites, lower slopes widespread; GP type
Mesic group:				
Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon grape/Swordfern	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> / <i>Berberis</i> <i>nervosa</i> / <i>Polystichum munitum</i>	TSHE/BENE/POMJ	CHS1-26	very widespread, mesic sites GP and MH NF's

PLANT ASSOCIATION	SCIENTIFICNAME	ABBREVIATION	ECOCLASS CODE	GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION
<b>Mesic group (cont.):</b>				
Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/dogwood bunchberry	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Vaccinium alaskaense/Cornus canadensis</i>	TSHE/VAAL/COCA	CHS6-15	higher elevations of TSHE zone GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry- Salal	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Vaccinium alaskaense-Gaultheria shallon</i>	TSHE/VAAL-GASH	CHS6-14	higher elevations of TSHE zone GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Vanilla-leaf	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Achiys triphylla</i>	TSHE/ACTR	CHF2-21	w   despread throughout TSHE zone, GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Dwarf Oregon grape	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Berberis nervosa</i>	TSHE/BENE	CHS1-25	higher elevations of TSHE zone upper slopes, GP and MH NF's
Western hemlock/ Dwarf Oregon grape-salal	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Berberis nervosa-Gaultheria shallon</i>	TSHE/BENE-GASH	CHS1-27	w   despread but. more on east GP ridges and upper slopes
<b>Dry group:</b>				
Western hemlock/ Salal	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Gaultheria shallon</i>	TSHE/GASH	CHS1-28	more on east TSHE zone, ridges and upper slopes
Western hemlock/ Dogwood/ Vanilla-leaf	<i>Tsuga heterophylla/Cornus nuttallii/Achiys triphylla</i>	TSHE/CONU/ACTR	CHS2-24	southern GP NF, south slopes lower elevations
Western hemlock-Douglas-fir/ Oceanspray	<i>Tsuga heterophylla-Pseudotsugamenziesii/Holodiscus discolor</i>	TSHE-PSME/HODI	CHC2-12	ridges, S slopes, SE GP TSHE zone, cliffs on MH NF
Western hemlock-Douglas-fir-Madrone	<i>Tsuga heterophylla-Pseudotsugamenziesii-Arbutus menziesii</i>	TSHE-PSME-ARME	CHC2-13	rock outcrops, especially above Cowitz River Valley

## Plant Associations as Indicators of Environment

A mountain ecosystem is a mosaic of different **environments**, each having its own unique physical and biotic characteristics. Plant **communities** that occupy these different sites are a function of the land's topography, geology, climate, herbivorous animals and those which disperse seeds, pathogens, and the habitat requirements of the plants available to vegetate the land.

In a sense, the environment acts as a screen (illustrated in Fig. 1) to prevent reproductive success of species unsuited to a given site. In a typical stream **drainage** for instance, seed from a wide variety of plants makes up the "seed rain" that falls on a given piece of ground. In extremely hot, cold, **wet**, dry or nutrient-poor sites, **only** those species that can tolerate such conditions survive to reproduce themselves. On the other hand, where more moderate conditions prevail, a larger number of species is able to reproduce, and competitive ability becomes **more** important in determining which species eventually **become** dominant.




Fig 1. Only species suited to extreme conditions **survive** and reproduce in environments at the ends of moisture and temperature gradients.

An extremely important concept follows from this perception of the environment as a screen to reproductive success: **Areas with an equivalent environment will, in general, eventually support roughly the same combination of plant species.** A corollary concept is that **the group of species that eventually becomes dominant on a site acts both as an indicator of environmental conditions, and as a means of comparing different sites to each other.** For these reasons, plant associations can be seen as one important tool in the prediction and control of effects of forest management activities.

**Association  
Boundaries:  
In Space and Time**

It's fairly easy to see that plant **associations** have boundaries in space, since soil characteristics, topography and climate vary across the landscape. In most forested areas boundaries between areas having different plant associations are quite gradual, because environmental conditions change slowly over a relatively long distance. This often makes mapping distinct lines between **communities** virtually impossible. This "continuum" nature of vegetation on the west slope of the Cascades must be recognized by anyone trying to use this guide. **There** are many stands where the vegetation is transitional between two or more plant **associations**, and a judgment must be made as to which description fits best. These sites may be treated by mixing the management recommendations of the different types.

It is also true that plant communities have boundaries in **time**. Groups of different plant species succeed each other over time on a particular piece of ground because the physical and **biological** conditions of the land change temporally as well as spatially.

For **example**, in managed forests there are many different-aged communities of herbs and shrubs **giving** way to new stands of trees. As a young stand of trees **grows**, the ground surface becomes increasingly shaded and many light-loving species are eliminated from the plant **community** because they cannot perpetuate themselves.

As this development of vegetation in a disturbed area progresses, eventually the **species composition** stabilizes into a community that reproduces itself, rather than **being** replaced by something else. This ultimate community, which prevails unless it is disturbed again, is called the **climax plant community**, or **plant association**, and the process of different **communities** replacing each other until the **climax**

community is reached is called **succession**. The plant **communities** that precede the climax association are called **seral stages**. ~~Seral stages~~ may be familiar with the term **habitat type** used to refer to the combination of a plant association and the physical/climatic habitat in which it occurs (Pfeister et al. 1977). A **zone** is the area within which a particular tree **species** is the stand dominant in the climax plant community. For example, the Western Hemlock Zone encompasses forests where western hemlock would eventually dominate the over-story (assuming no disturbance takes place). Forests that today have Douglas-fir in the overstory with western hemlock in the understory are considered to be within the Western Hemlock Zone because the Douglas-fir **is** not **reproducing** itself, while western hemlock is.

Plant **associations** for forested areas must initially be **identified** in mature stands, since that **is** where the vegetation has more or **less** **stabilized**. In many cases, however, the climax plant association for earlier seral stages can be inferred from the presence of indicator plants. By this means, environmentally equivalent areas can be identified even though they may be at different places on the **successional** route. Conversely, the composition of seral stages can often be predicted from the climax plant association, making it possible to know whether undesirable species are **likely** to be present following disturbance.

The complex of associations or **communities** that occur within a zone can be referred to as a **series**. Often we use the terms **zone** and **series** interchangeably, though "**series**" describes a group of associations and "**zone**" the land on which the associations occur. A **similar** relationship exists between the terms "habitat **type**" and "plant association" as exists between "**zone**" and "**series**".

Vegetation zones are of interest because they generally represent major large-scale climatic differences within a region. A discussion of the forest zones found on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest **is** presented in Chapter 3 of this guide.

Intergradation among **associations** **is** most pronounced in the transition area between the forest zones (i.e., Western Hemlock Zone/ **Pacific Silver Fir** Zone transition). We do not describe separate transition zones, as do **some** authors. The **simplicity** of our system requires **flexibility** by users working in the transition area between forest zones.

## Methods

The classification is based on a relatively standard vegetation analysis procedure of our study plots established throughout the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Our sampling scheme involves selecting undisturbed stands which include the natural vegetation variation found within the **Western Hemlock** Zone. These plots are in stands preferably, at least 60 years old so the understory vegetation has had some time in which to become established and reflect the future potential of the site. We **measured** percent cover of all vascular plant species within 500 m<sup>2</sup> plots. We also **collected** detailed information on timber, soils and **wildlife** features of the plot area; techniques for each are mentioned separately in Chapter 2. Figure 2 **displays** the distribution of our plots. Appendix 1 provides a **detailed** breakdown of plots in each association by Ranger Districts, township and range.

The association **classification** is the result of a dynamic **interaction** between subjective and **objective multivariate statistical** procedures. We tested initial plot ordering results (**Volland and Connelly 1978**) with results from detrended **correspondance** analysis (**DECORANA**) (**Gauch 1977** plus supplements; **Gauch 1982**). Two-step indicator species analysis (**TWINSpan**) was used to examine the **classification** value of various species and plot **groups**. Results were checked to re-order the subjective **association** groupings. Old-growth plots were more heavily weighted as they **better** reflect the eventual floristic composition which define associations. **Preliminary** keys were field-tested and the **final classification** modified. We **carefully** compared final association classifications of different National **Forests**. Nine associations were identical between the Gifford Pinchot and Mt. Hood National Forests, so combined **data** are presented for these types (see Halverson et al. 1986). These associations (**2** of which are very uncommon on the **G.P.**) are listed in Appendix 3.




Figure 2. Western Hemlock Zone plot locations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest

**Uses of Plant  
Associations in  
Forest Management**

The underlying value of plant association guides is that, because plant associations are indicators of their environment, they allow one to make inferences about a wide range of ecosystem factors (i.e., moisture, temperature, soil and hydrologic condition, wildlife, etc.). The association concept helps reduce complex vegetation patterns to an understandable and manageable set of types. This **helps users** to more easily **"read"** the landscape, and **communicate** that information to others in an **organized** fashion.

This association **classification** should be widely **used** on the Forest. Engineers can use plant associations to locate high water **table** areas. Recreation planners can locate campsites in plant associations that quickly recover from **trampling** and resist soil compaction. Silviculturists can use them to help decide where shelter-wood harvest **rather** than clearcutting will produce the best results, where severe brush competition may follow broadcast burning, or where cold-tolerant species should be used in reforestation. Plant associations differ in their ability to provide forage and hiding cover for **wildlife**, an important consideration in managing big game. Some associations may be particularly prone to development of damage through disease or windthrow. Fuels managers can infer site moisture gradients useful to area fuel management plans.

At a broader level, plant associations provide a framework for storing and retrieving data on response of different kinds of sites to different forms of management, and for applying research results or recommendations to actual land areas. As our knowledge about plant associations increases, their value as tools for management will increase as well. The patterns of associations we see in nature are the result of the year-in and year-out struggle of plants with their **environment**, responding to far more physical and biological variables than we could ever hope to accurately **measure**. And it is just this resource (the vegetation) that we, as land managers, are largely interested in, both for its own merits and its enormous effects on most other valued attributes of a National Forest.



# CHAPTER 2 THE WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE

OVERVIEW OF ASSOCIATIONS	14
THE IMPORTANCE OF FIRE	18
CLIMATE	19
GEOLOGY	22
SOILS	26
THE FOREST FLOOR	31
SNAGS AND FALLEN TREES	35
FORAGE PRODUCTION	45
THE TIMBER RESOURCE	49

## OVERVIEW OF THE ASSOCIATIONS

The dominant environmental features which affect the distribution and appearance of plant associations in the western Cascades are **effective moisture** and temperature. Figure 3 displays an idealized interpretation of the **distribution** of the plant associations described in this guide along temperature and effective moisture axes. Temperature is largely a function of **elevation**, but topographic position relative to cold air drainages can also be important. **Effective** moisture measures the relative amount of soil water available to plants. This is just as much a **function of soil** water holding and sub-irrigation processes as it is a function of **incident** precipitation. Physiographic features of Western Hemlock Zone associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest are summarized in Table 2.

### Wet-site Plant Associations

The wettest areas in the Western Hemlock Zone include forested wetlands **characterized** by skunk cabbage (**TSHE/LYAM**). The other very moist forested associations are Western **hemlock/Ladyfern (TSHE/ATF 1)** and Western **hemlock/devil's club/swordfern (TSHE/OPHO/POMU)**. The former occupies very moist and shaded lower slopes and **bottomlands** whereas the latter includes a variety of very moist forests from **riparian** to near-riparian to excessively wet areas prevalent on the western slopes of the Randle Ranger District.

### Moist-site Plant Associations

Four associations indicate moist (not wet) conditions. Two are characterized by the presence of Oregon oxalis: Western hemlock/ swordfern- Oregon oxalis (**TSHE/POMU-OXOR**) (at warm sites in the western portions of Wind River and Randle **RD's** and St. Helens **N.V.M.**), and Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/ Oregon oxalis (**TSHE/VAAL/OXOR**) (**rare**, restricted to the western tip of the G.P. ). The other two moist-site **associations** are more widespread: Western Hemlock/swordfern (**TSHE/POMU**) and Western hemlock/ foamflower (**TSHE/TITR**). These have a rich herbaceous flora and high timber **productive** potential, though moist soils can limit management activities.




Figure 3. Idealized environmental relationships of the plant associations of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest Western Hemlock Zone. Abbreviations are described in Table 1. The grand fir (ABGR) and oak (QUGA) types will be described in future publications.

Table 2. Mean elevation and slope, and percent of study plots on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest of each Western Hemlock Zone association by elevation, slope, aspect and topographic microposition classes.

Association:	TSHE/ LYAM	TSHE/ ATFI	TSHE/ OPHO/ POMU	TSHE/ VAAL/ OXOR	TSHE/ POMU- OXOR	TSHE/ TITR	TSHE/ POMU	TSHE/ BENE/ POMU
<b>Elevation (ft.)</b>								
Mean	2200	<b>1785</b>	1876	1a30	1606	1788	1639	1794
% < 1500		<b>38</b>	24		43	37	50	25
% 1500-1999		13	<b>47</b>	50	43	<b>31</b>	25	44
% 2000-2499	<b>100</b>	50	<b>24</b>	50	14	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	19
% 2500-2999							<b>13</b>	13
% > 3000			6			<b>8</b>		
<b>Slope (%)</b>								
Mean	<b>0</b>	34	<b>35</b>	40	33	27	40	39
% 0-15%	<b>100</b>	25			<b>21</b>	44	13	13
% 16-30%		13	18	50	<b>29</b>	19	<b>31</b>	13
% > 30%		63	47	50	50	37	<b>56</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Aspect</b>								
% North (316°-45°)		<b>50</b>	35	50	50	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>38</b>
% East (46°-135°)		13	12		7	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	25
% South (136°-225°)		36	<b>29</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>41</b>	31	19
% West (226°-315°)	<b>100</b>		<b>24</b>	50	<b>36</b>	<b>22</b>	19	19
<b>Topographic Microposition</b>								
% Ridgetops			6					<b>6</b>
% Slope upper 1/3			<b>25</b>				20	<b>13</b>
% Slope mid 1/3		14	<b>38</b>		43	<b>26</b>	40	36
% Slope lower 1/3		57	6	50	14	<b>39</b>	<b>27</b>	25
% Bench				50	7	<b>22</b>		6
% Toe of Slope					<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>6</b>
% Bottom	<b>100</b>	29	1f		<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>

Association:	TSHE/ VAAL/ COCA	TSHE/ VAAL/ GASH	TSHE/ ACTR	TSHE/ BENE	TSHE/ BENE/ GASH	TSHE/ GASH	TSHE/ COBU/ ACTR	TSHE/ PSME/ HODI	TSHE/ PSME/ ARME
<b>Elevation (ft.)</b>									
Mean	2341	2151	2024	2552	19%	<b>2110</b>	<b>1934</b>	2173	1940
% < 1500	15	13	<b>22</b>	3	21	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>		
% 1500-1999	15	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>
% 2000-2499	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	26	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>
% 2500-2999	<b>38</b>	25	14	34	10	<b>30</b>	<b>10</b>		
% > 3000	<b>8</b>		9	20	2	<b>5</b>			
<b>Slop. (%)</b>									
Mean	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	35	49	3.9	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	42	44
% 0-15%	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	28	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>		
% 16-30%	<b>31</b>	38	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	
% > 30%	<b>31</b>	25	<b>51</b>	<b>86</b>	62	<b>67</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Aspect</b>									
% North (316°-45°)		13	26	26	19	43	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	
% East (46°-135°)	<b>46</b>	63	15	6	10	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	
% South (136°-225°)	<b>31</b>	<b>13</b>	29	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>19</b>	20	<b>50</b>	
% West (226°-315°)	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	30	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	24	40	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Topographic Microposition</b>									
% Ridgetops		13	4		<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>			<b>50</b>
% Slope upper 1/3	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	50	63	<b>50</b>
% Slope mid 1/3	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>		
% Slope lower 1/3	31	38	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>20</b>	38	
% Bench	31		5	3	10	10	10		
% Toe of Slope	<b>15</b>		<b>6</b>		<b>2</b>		10		
% Bottom		13	<b>12</b>	3	<b>5</b>	10			

## Intermediate (mesic) Plant Associations

The greatest area of the Western Hemlock Zone on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest has **intermediate** moisture **availability**, and is occupied by **associations** indicative of "mesic" (or moderate) conditions. These are quite **productive** and fairly robust with respect to harvest **activities**. The Western hemlock/dwarf Oregon grape/swordfern type (**TSHE/BENE/POMU**) has abundant herbs and shrubs, but lower productivity than the similar, but more moist and herb-rich, **TSHE/POMU** association. The most widespread association in this zone is Western hemlock/vanilla-leaf (**TSHE/ACTR**). This association is quite productive and its abundance is a substantial reason for the fame of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest as a timber producing area.

**TSHE/BENE** is characterized by an absence of herbs and a sparse shrub layer, except for the dwarf Oregon grape, and fairly low timber **productivity** for this zone. It may be an intergrade association to the Pacific silver fir series. **TSHE/BENE-GASH** is a very widespread type which indicates fairly dry conditions, typically occurring on upper slopes and in areas away from the very rainy western portion of the G.P. NF.

Two Alaska huckleberry associations complete the mesic portion of the environmental **grid** (see **Figure 3**): Western hemlock/Alaska huckleberry/dogwood bunchberry (**TSHE/VAAL/COCA**) and Western hemlock/Alaska **huckleberry-salal** (**TSHE/VAAL-GASH**). These associations are restricted to cool areas, either close to the Pacific **silver** fir zone or on benches where cold air may accumulate. They are somewhat less **productive** than most other Western Hemlock Zone plant associations.

## Dry-site Plant Associations

The Western **hemlock/Salal** (**TSHE/GASH**) association is fairly **common** on the dry portions of the **Packwood** Ranger District, especially on steep slopes where shallow, coarse **soils** predominate. On rock outcrops near the **Cowlitz** valley, the presence of **madrone** indicates the Western **hemlock-Douglas-fir-madrone** (**TSHE-PSME-ARME**) association. Western hemlock-**Douglas-fir/Oceanspray** (**TSHE-PSME/HODI**) is a very dry association characterized by rocky soils, upper slope or **ridge** positions, and low **precipitation**. Near the Columbia River hot and dry sites may exhibit the Western hemlock/Dogwood/Vanilla-leaf (**TSHE/CONU/ACTR**) association. Though difficult to reforest, it has fairly deep **soils** and good timber productivity.

We have depicted Oregon white oak woodlands (**QUGA**) on the environmental grid (Figure **3**) though they have not yet been **sampl ed**. These are very dry and grassy areas on steep, south-facing slopes, primarily near the Columbia River. Some big **reforestation** headaches have been created in the past by the inclusion of these oak woodlands within harvest units, because they are so **extremel y** dry. These areas should be avoided when designing **timber** sales and left to preserve their high wildlife and scenic values. The Bear Creek area of the Wind River Ranger **District** also includes small areas of the dry Grand Fir Zone within the context of the Western Hemlock Zone.

## THE IMPORTANCE OF FIRE

Plant communities across the Forest are greatly affected by the vast forest fires which have burned **substantial** areas, especial ly during the early part of this century. Historically known large wildfires have usually resulted from strong east winds following periods of summer drought (**Hogfoss** 1985). in 1902, 480,000 acres on and near the Gifford Pinchot National Forest burned. Half was the Yacouit Burn, but other major fires included the Lewis River Burn (30,000 acres), **Stouxon** Fire (**30,000+** acres) and the Cispus Burn (50,000 **acres**) (**Hogfoss** 1985). Other large fires occurred this century including reburns in the Yacouit and Cispus Burns. Similar large-scale fires no doubt occurred in the past and have been of primary importance in creating opportunities for extensive Douglas-fir forests to become established.

This plant association classification works where the dominant forest is about **50** years or older. Careful observation of regeneration tree species is important in these areas to properly determine the **corect** series: whether it be western hemlock, grand fir, Pacific silver fir or mountain hemlock.

## CLIMATE

The Western Hemlock Zone includes the lower elevation, **moist** forests of the Western Cascade **portion** of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Precipitation falls primarily as rain although snow occurs throughout the zone. **Yearly** totals range from about 60 inches near the Columbia River and by Packwood, to over 110 inches on the west slopes of the Forest. Precipitation is greatest on the southwest sides of Mt. St. Helens and Mt. Rainier. The upper elevation boundary of the Western Hemlock Zone occurs where long-term snow-packs become the rule. A snow transition zone seems to exist between 3000-3500 feet in elevation, where snowpacks can be eliminated by warm rainfall events at any time during winter. The boundary between the **Pacific** Silver Fir Zone and the Western Hemlock Zone varies in elevation from about 3000 feet to as low as 2500 feet near Mt. Rainier. Only a few warm and moist sites east of the Cascades crest on the Mt. Adams Ranger District are classified **as being** in the Western Hemlock Zone.

The great productivity of these forest-lands is due to the abundant moisture as well as the moderate temperature regime. Particularly at lower elevations, trees actively photosynthesize most of the year (**Lassole 1982**), so biochemical growth occurs for much greater periods, than merely the time of shoot elongation. It is likely that at low elevations half of the total photosynthate in Douglas-fir is produced between October and **May**. Summer drought is the rule in the Western Cascades. This accentuates the importance of the water-holding and supplying **capacity** of **soils**.

Rainfall patterns across the Forest are only generally understood. Figure 4 depicts rainfall **predicted** by U.S. Weather Bureau models. This figure is a general guide, but vegetation seems to be a much better way of understanding site-specific moisture status. The rainfall map **appears** to be most inaccurate in its depiction of equal rainfall for the west slopes of the Forest and the low elevation eastern portions of the **Cowlitz** valley.

The primary factors influencing climate are latitude, topography and continental versus marine influence (Johnson and Dart 1982). In general, there is a tendency for rainfall to increase with latitude, and for the rainy season to begin earlier in the year to the north. To the south summers are drier and there is more **variability** in precipitation from year to year. The eastern portion of the Western Hemlock Zone **still** has predominantly oceanic climatic influences, but there is a slight tendency towards **continental** characteristics: colder and drier.




Figure 4. Annual precipitation for the Gifford Pinchot National Forest (U. S. Weather Bureau 1965)

Highly dissected topography is typical of the Western Hemlock Zone. Numerous major ridges have substantial effects on local precipitation. Heavy rain results from moisture laden marine air masses moving inland from the southwest. As storms hit the Western Cascades, the air rises and cools, producing rain. The west slopes of the **Gifford Pinchot National Forest** are thus extremely moist. As storms pass towards the northeast, they repeatedly must rise over the major north-south ridges, creating a slight rain-shadow effect which increases to the east. This is illustrated on the Randle Ranger **District** where the Western Hemlock Zone gradually becomes drier with eastward movement. The lower slopes of **Vanson Peak** on the western edge of the Forest are extremely moist. Major ridges separate Quartz, Iron, Yellowjacket, and McCoy Creeks and the Cispus River, which causes a gradual trend to drier, slightly **continental climates** for the latter drainages.

The **Cowlitz Valley** has a unique rainfall pattern because it is a low elevation penetration into the central Cascades and because it is surrounded by such high ridges. Rainfall decreases **substantially** moving east from Glenoma, even though elevation changes little. By the time storms get past **Packwood** to the Ohanapecosh area they have lost much of their moisture. Yet, as air masses rise and cool on the slopes above Packwood, **precipitation** increases significantly above the Western Hemlock Zone.

The area south of Mt. Rainier exhibits a similar pattern but on a grander scale. There are few mountains to the west to intercept incoming moisture. **This**, coupled with the massive size of Mt. Rainier itself, creates the potential for extremely high rainfall amounts. The greatest total snowfall ever recorded in the United States, 1122 inches (water year 19721, was at Paradise on the southwestern flank (**elev.** 5247 ft.) of Mt. Rainier. The portion of the Gifford Pinchot NF near Mt. Rainier has a much colder general climate than elsewhere on the Forest. The upper boundary of the Western Hemlock Zone is as low as 2500 feet in this area. Lower **elevations** are very moist west of Mt. Rainier, becoming gradually drier to the east in the Ohanapecosh drainage. Soil and air temperatures for two Western Hemlock Zone habitats in Mt. Rainier National Park are **summarized** by Greene and Klopsch (1985).

Mt. St. Helens is another major feature which channels incoming storms, thereby affecting the local climate. Storms are deflected up the **Lewis River**, causing the moister environments to extend farther east than elsewhere on the

Forest. Mt. St. Helens also affects the region to its northeast by intercepting some moisture **which** otherwise would fall there.

The effective moisture concept integrates the factors which affect water **availability** for plant growth and evaporative demand. Though incident moisture varies by a factor of 2-2.5 within the Forest, effective moisture is much more variable, and is affected by very **local** soil, topographic and micro-site characteristics. **Bedrock** fracture, slope, **soil**-depth, stoniness, texture, structure and **organic** matter content can all affect soil water infiltration, **holding** capacity and **releasing capacity**. For instance, stony **soils** with abundant sand have little moisture-holding capacity and so are occupied by **dry-site** plant associations. Volcanic ash, though coarse textured, has a fairly good moisture holding ability. Sub-irrigation may confound the observed plant-to-soil property relationships. Such confounded situations are very widespread in this region because of the steep mountainous terrain and the various **glacial**, volcanic and **coluvial** processes which dominate **soil** formation.

When we discuss wet- or dry-site plant associations, we are referring to the effective moisture of the site which affects vegetation. Plant associations can serve as very useful tools to estimate **climate**, when **properly** calibrated and interpreted.

## **GEOLOGY**

The geologic materials of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest are dominated by **volcanics**, with sedimentary and metamorphic rocks occurring only in isolated areas. Five aspects of local geology help understand the patterns of vegetation we see on the landscape: **(1)** material type; **(2)** age; **(3)** tectonic displacement and deformation; **(4)** glaciation; **(5)** recent geomorphological processes. This discussion is based on the geologic map by Hammond **(1980)** and papers by Walker and Griggs **(1979)**, Swanson **(1979)** and Franklin and Dyrness **(1973)** and the conceptual framework of Jenny **(1980)**.

## Types of Parent Materials

An important dichotomy in types of volcanic geological materials is between hard, **fine** to medium **grained** igneous rocks such as basalts, andesites and dacites, and softer, volcanic **pyroclastics** such as breccias and tuffs. Throughout the last 50 million years this region has experienced numerous **volcanic** episodes which have resulted in vast deposits of **extrusive**, hard lava rocks of basalt and **andesite**. These layers are usually **interbedded with** tuffs and breccias resulting from pyroclastic flows and consolidated tephra **deposits**. There are also numerous hard rock intrusions (dikes and **plutons**). The lavas and intrusive rocks are all **resistant** to weathering and result in major **ridges** and relatively shallow, stony **soils**. Some breccias are **also quite** hard and function like andesites and basalts. Most tuffs and **breccias** are **easily** weathered and if not eroded, form deep **soils** able to hold considerable moisture. They also may lead to landflows because they are **non-cohesive** and are heavy and slippery when saturated.

Whether igneous or **pyroclastic**, the **chemical composition** of the material is also important. The more **basic** rocks (**basalts, andesites** and their associated **pyroclastics**) have **higher** calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus contents than is typically found in **acidic** igneous rocks like rhyolite or granite. The prevalence of the **basic** volcanics on the G. P. is partially responsible for the **high productivity** of this area.

Most of the Forest north of the **Lewis** River has also been affected by the very recent deposits of pumice and ash from Mt. St. Helens and **Mt. Rainier**. These materials are porous and have moderate **moisture holding capacity**. However, they are **easily** penetrated by roots. The multiple **pumice** layers often include **semi-impermeable** layers where soil water accumulates and is readily available for plant use. In the very **high rainfall** areas on the western part of the Forest these **drainage and soil aeration** properties may aid plant growth. In the **drier**, eastern part of the Forest this material is not a particularly good growth medium unless it is mixed into the **soil** by local **luvial** processes.

The Mineral Block in the **Randle** Ranger District is **geologically distinct**. It is affiliated with the Puget Trough geological province. It is here as well as in parts of the Cowlitz Valley that **sedimentary rocks** may be found.

## Age of Parent Materials

The volcanic **materials** can be categorized as either recent (less than 5 million years, defining the High Cascades Province), or old (**5-50** million years, the Western Cascades Province). Very old materials (**> 70** million years) are very rarely absent from the Western Hemlock Zone and occupy only tiny isolated areas near White Pass elsewhere on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Age determines the extent of weathering **which** may have taken place and the cumulative impact of various tectonic and glaciation events. The older materials are more fractured and porous and, other things being equal, may support deeper soils with greater weathering and moisture-holding **capacity**.

Recent surficial deposits are common because of volcanism, occasional mass **movement**, and glacial deposits. Where recent volcanic, land flow or glacial deposits have not, due to **their** young age, formed suitable soils, special environments are found. Although within the greater geographic Western Hemlock Zone, they may not support western hemlock. Examples include the lower Kalama River lahar and the Big Lava Bed (Wise **1970**) which are dominated by lodgepole pine.

## Tectonic Processes

The predominant tectonic processes in the Western Hemlock Zone have been folding and faulting in the older Western Cascades province. The northwest/southeast ridges are the result of folding which occurred before the recent emergence of the huge volcanos which comprise the High Cascades province. These ridges cause changes in vegetation over short distances from west to east by interception of incoming moisture from east-bound **marine** storm systems. Faulting at various time periods has led to **additional complexity**. The overall elevation which limits the Western Hemlock Zone has been greatly increased by a general **uplifting of** the Western Cascades which may have begun 20 million years ago.

The recent volcanism which formed the High Cascades and deposited abundant tephra **in** the Western Hemlock Zone **is** thought to be a result of plate **tectonics**. The Gorda deep-sea plate appears to be sliding beneath the North America continental plate with the resulting **friction producing the wring of fire** which includes our volcanos.

## Glaciation

The **Gifford** Pinchot National Forest experienced only alpine glaciation during the ice ages, and the Western Hemlock Zone was only partially affected (Hammond 1980). Major glaciers extended down the Nisqually, **Cowlitz**, Cispus, Lewis and Wind Rivers. The northern **val** leys were **decidedl**y more affected than the southern and western drainages. Glaciation lead to broad, U-shaped **val** leys with coarse glacial till as parent material. Below the extent of the glaciation, such as In the Cowlitz and Lewis basins, **val** leys are more **V-shaped** from typical fluvial processes. Glacial till is not widespread in the Western Hemlock Zone, but where found it results in relatively unproductive, young soils which show the effects of compaction by the heavy ice.

## Recent Geomorphic Processes

**Geomorphology** acts as a critical link to understanding the affect of geological processes on the distribution and productivity of vegetation. Available rooting volume is key. The steep terrain common to the Western Hemlock Zone leads to extensive mixing of soils and parent materials through **col**luviation. Lower and mid slope positions in this zone frequently have a mixture of rock types from upslope. Colluvial mixing serves to bring fresh, unweathered rock up into the rooting zone which partially counteracts the constant downward movement of nutrients by leaching. **Soil** horizon development is greatly reduced by this soil churning, which is enhanced by uprooting of wind-thrown trees. Upper slopes and ridges usually have shallow, stony soils with poor nutritional and moisture holding properties.

Landslides and landflows are occasional events which add complexity to soils. Landslides have **hummocky** terrain and very rapid vegetation changes over short distances. A spotty distribution of wet-site plant **associations** is a hint that a landslide may exist and particular management strategies are requ | red.

## Relationship of Plant Associations to Parent Material

Within the Western Hemlock Zone, there appears to be only a general relation between the **major** types of geologic parent materials and plant associations. This may be partly due to the difficulty in determining true parent materials. However it is more likely that site moisture conditions are of overriding importance to the vegetation in this forest **zone**.

Table 3 presents a percentage breakdown of our plots **within** each association by parent material. Some of the **ev 1 dent** pattern **is** more **likely** due to the geographical distribution of the parent materials rather than the **direct** affect of the geology on plant associations. For instance, **82%** of the plots in the Western hemlock/ **Swordfern-oxalis association (TSHE/POMU-OXOR)** occur on igneous rocks and no plots were found on pyroclastics. This may be due to the prevalence of basalts and andesites on the western fringe of the Forest where the climatic **conditions required** by this association are found. Most of the plots **in** the Western hemlock/ dwarf Oregon grape association (**TSHE/BENE**) were on igneous materials. **In this** case the geology probably is meaningful because **this** association seems to require sites with more stony, shallow soils. Western hemlock/ foamflower (**TSHE/TITR**) and Western hemlock/ lady fern (**TSHE/ATFI**) were most commonly found on tephra. This reflects the dominance of this parent **material in** the northern portions of the G. P. Western Hemlock Zone where the extensive cool and moist environments which these associations favor are common.

## SOILS

Soils are the **basic** resource upon, which all vegetation depends for sustained production. At the extremes, soil properties create very obvious **limits** to the distribution and productive capacity of plants. The key **soil** ingredients which are required for plant growth are **moisture**, nutrients and oxygen. The latter **is** only **limiting** in areas of sustained high water tables such as may be found in Western hemlock/ skunk cabbage and Western hemlock/ devil's club/ swordfern associations. The moisture holding and releasing capacity of soils appears to be most important **in** determining the composition and distribution of plant **associations** in the Western Hemlock Zone. **It is** this relationship which makes knowledge of plant associations valuable to the land manager. **Nutritional** aspects of soil-plant, association relationships are much more subtle, though general trends are noteworthy. Soil organic matter **is** the most critical **single** attribute **which** land managers can affect. **Organic** matter provides much of the soil nutrition through nutrient **cycling** processes as well as valuable moisture **holding capacity**. In addition, **organic** matter fosters the growth of mycorrhizae which are **required** for conifer growth.

Table 3. Per Cent of plots in each association on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest Western Hemlock Zone having particular geologic parent materials.

Association	Basalts & Andesites	Breccias & Tuffs	Tephra	Mixed
TSHE/LYAM	100			
TSHE/ATFI	43		57	
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	69		31	
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR			100	
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	82		18	
TSHE/TITR	25	17	58	
TSHE/POMU	47	7	33	13
TSHE/BENE/POMU	43	29	29	
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	70	20	10	
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	63	13	13	13
TSHE/ACTR	46	21	28	5
TSHE/BENE	82	7	11	
TSHE/BENE-GASH	54	24	19	3
TSHE/GASH	63	11	26	
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	44	44	11	
TSHE-PSME/HODI	43	57		
TSHE-PSME-ARME	100			
OVERALL TOTAL %	53	19	26	3

Table 4. Forest floor and soil depth of Gifford Pinchot National Forest Western Hemlock Zone plots by plant association. The forest floor is divided into L (fresh litter), F (fragmentation layer) and H (humus layer). Total soil depth is the depth to bedrock or crumbling regolith up to 100 cm maximum. Rooting depth is the depth of soil penetrated by 90% of the roots. Effective soil depth is the total soil depth discounted by the per cent coarse soil fragments (> 2mm) throughout the profile. A horizon thickness is pedogenetically defined and is virtually always an "A1" type.

ASSOCIATION	FOREST FLOOR THICKNESS IN MM				SOIL DEPTH IN CM				# SOIL PITS
	L	F	H	TOTAL	TOTAL SOIL DEPTH	ROOTING DEPTH	EFFECTIVE SOIL DEPTH	A HORZ THICK	
<b>TSHE/LYAM</b>									
MEAN	25	25	0	50	65	37	7.5	48	1
<b>TSHE/ATFI</b>									
MEAN	15	29	0	44	100	85	30	51	7
STDEV	7	24	0	28	0	13	13	48	
<b>TSHE/OPHO/POMU</b>									
MEAN	15	57	3	74	98	84	34	20	16
STDEV	7	33	6	34	6	13	14	9	
<b>TSHE/POMU-OXOR</b>									
MEAN	19	33	4	56	95	76	38	28	13
STDEV	12	30	3	37	18	18	20	29	
<b>TSHE/VAAL/OXOR</b>									
MEAN	20	40	10	70	100	75	40	26	1
<b>TSHE/TITR</b>									
MEAN	15	22	10	47	100	84	32	23	25
STDEV	7	19	18	32	0	13	13	13	
<b>TSHE/POMU</b>									
MEAN	13	31	4	47	100	86	29	46	16
STDEV	6	24	8	27	.5	14	16	49	
<b>TSHE/BENE/POMU</b>									
MEAN	13	34	5	52	94	90	40	36	14
STDEV	6	23	5	27	15	17	22	26	
<b>TSHE/VAAL/COCA</b>									
MEAN	13	47	8	68	99	86	28	27	11
STDEV	7	29	9	34	2	15	14	20	

Table 4 continued.

ASSOCIATION	FOREST FLOOR THICKNESS IN MM				SOIL DEPTH IN CM				# SOIL PITS
	L	F	H	TOTAL	TOTAL SOIL DEPTH	ROOTING DEPTH	EFFECTIVE SOIL DEPTH	A HORZ THICK	
<b>TSHE/VAAL-GASH</b>									
MEAN	13	31	8	52	82	<b>68</b>	32	<b>29</b>	8
STDEV	3	25	5	28	24	20	18	20	
<b>TSHE/ACTR</b>									
MEAN	16	31	<b>4</b>	51	95	84	28	25	87
STDEV	9	24	<b>12</b>	28	11	15	16	19	
<b>TSHE/BENE</b>									
MEAN	15	41	0	55	96	89	27	23	28
STDEV	5	30	0	31	17	19	14	35	
<b>TSHE/BENE-GASH</b>									
MEAN	14	32	<b>.5</b>	46	96	85	29	33	37
STDEV	6	18	2	20	13	<b>16</b>	17	36	
<b>TSHE/GASH</b>									
MEAN	15	27	0	42	97	84	30	25	19
STDEV	6	17	0	20	9	16	<b>18</b>	27	
<b>TSHE/CONU/ACTR</b>									
MEAN	10	21	4	35	99	89	53	47	9
STDEV	6	9	6	15	2	13	23	24	
<b>TSHE-PSME/HODI</b>									
MEAN	10	16	4	30	<b>67</b>	57	19	33	7
STDEV	5	13	10	15	<b>28</b>	18	10	17	
<b>TSHE-PSME-ARME</b>									
MEAN	13	5	0	18	90	84	19	70	2
STDEV	4	7	0	3	13	22	7	90	

## Classification of Western Hemlock Zone Soils

Most of this zone includes relatively young and undifferentiated soils which are classified as Inceptisols, either Umbrepts (having dark surface horizons), Ochrepts (having pale brown upper horizons) or Andepts (dark soils of volcanic ash) (Dawson et al. 1972). These soils are generally well drained and are dominated by silty to sandy-loam textures with little clay accumulation. Soils on the softer and older parent materials may exhibit more horizontal development and appear as Ultisols or Alfisols. Spodosols (soils having pale, leached A2 horizons) are virtually absent from the Western Hemlock Zone, though the podsolization process of downward migration of iron and clays occurs. The soil classification reflects the moderate temperature regimes and generally moist conditions found in this zone compared with higher elevation forest zones or coniferous forests elsewhere in the world.

## Relationship of Plant Associations to Soil Depth

The volume of soil material capable of holding moisture depends on particle size and type, pore space and soil structure. Soil organic matter and clay size particles hold the most water, though clay soils can be inefficient at releasing moisture to roots. Gravel and stones reduce the rooting volume of soils. Table 4 presents four measures of soil depth by plant association. The total soil depth variable is meaningful where it is less than the 100 cm maximum depth of our soil pits. Most areas in the Western Hemlock Zone have soils this deep, but shallower depths indicate particularly poor potential for forest productivity. Associations with such shallow soils are Western hemlock- Douglas-fir/ oceanspray (TSHE-PSME/HODI), Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/ salal (TSHE/VAAL-GASH), and Western Hemlock/ skunk cabbage (TSHE/LYAM). The former types have rocky soils and the latter exists in standing water. Rooting depth is the depth penetrated by 90% of the roots. The associations have a similar pattern as for total soil depth. Noteworthy is the fact that rooting depth is at most 90 cm. This points out that the upper portion of the soil is critical for vegetation and for sustained productivity. The upper soil is most easily damaged by erosion, skidding, and compaction by heavy machinery.

The effective soil depth variable (Table 4) is a simple index of the total soil volume useable by roots. It is calculated by discounting each soil layer described in the field by an estimate of its coarse fragment (particles >

2mm) content. Thus, a deep, stony soil may have a smaller effective soil depth than a more shallow soil which lacks coarse fragments. Plant associations with the **rockiest soils** and the poorest **moisture** regimes for plant growth are Western hemlock- **Douglas-fir/ oceanspray (TSHE-PSME/HODI)** and Western hemlock- Douglas-fir- **madrone (TSHE-PSME-ARME)**. Other associations with lower values for effective rooting depth have moderately rocky, but **excessively** drained soils, and frequently occupy upper and mid-slope **positions**. These dry to **mesic** associations include: Western hemlock/ dwarf Oregon grape (**TSHE/BENE**), Western hemlock/ dwarf Oregon **grape-salal (TSHE/BENE-GASH)**, Western hemlock/ **vanillaleaf (TSHE/ACTR)** and Western hemlock/ **salal (TSHE/GASH)**. Some associations with moist environments also may have fairly small effective soil depths due to high water tables. These associations occupy sites **with** either high incident **precipitation** or sub-surface drainage. Western hemlock/ **ladyfern (TSHE/ATFI)**, Western hemlock/ swordfern (**TSHE/POMU**), and Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry (**TSHE/VAAL/COCA**) fit this category. Pumice dominated soils may be **comprised** mainly of large soil particles but are not **necessarily** unfavorable to plant growth, **since** the porous pumice retains a significant amount of plant-available moisture.

## THE FOREST FLOOR

The forest floor is the surface organic **material containing** less than **50%** mineral constituents. It is important to the forest because it is the most **active** site of nutrient cycling processes and it serves important **insulative** and anti-erosion functions. Much of the soil **organic** matter passes through the forest floor and it can be the **site** of **considerable** rooting. The appearance of the forest floor can tell a lot about functional processes of **nutrient cycling**. The forest floor **is** also the most sensitive part of the soil system. Removal by **fire**, machinery or erosion is unfavorable to long term sustained forest productivity, especially where it comprises a large portion of the **soil's** organic matter.

The great dichotomy of forest floor types found in coniferous forests **is** between mor and mull. The difference is the extent to which surface organic matter is fragmented and mixed into the mineral **soil**. Mor forest floor types have little mixing. They are characterized by **sometimes** massive surface organic accumulations sitting on top of

**mineral** soil. These layers are susceptible to loss from fire. Mull forest floors have significant mixing of organic material into the mineral soil where it is readily available to roots and soil organisms for nutrition and water holding functions. Mulls generally have thinner surface organic accumulations than do mor forest floors. Between this **mor-mul** dichotomy exists a gradient of forest floor types with the Intermediate types called moders (or duff mulls).

For convenience we usually divide the forest floor into 3 sublayers, L, F, and H. These have different functional roles as well as different physical appearances. L layers include the fairly fresh and unconsolidated needles and twigs on the surface. F layers are the site of initial fragmentation and may be the site of intense soil animal activity. H layers are organic layers in which the **original plant** parts are not **discernible** without magnification. H layers are absent when organic matter is rapidly mixed into the mineral soil, yet when present, they are important substrates for roots. The thicknesses of these layers on our study plots are presented by association in Table 4.

### Classification of Forest Floors

We have **utilized** the British Columbia Province system of humus form classification to further categorize the appearance of forest floors in the Western Hemlock Zone (Klinka et al. 1981). Table 5 presents seven groups found on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest plots. The only mor type found is a hemimor. It has a pronounced H layer, but the H layer is smaller than the L+F layers. **Moder** forest floor types are prevalent in the Western Hemlock Zone. They usually include an H layer, but it is relatively thin and is accompanied by substantial mixing of organic material into the upper mineral soil. Four groups of moders were included in our samples. **Velomoders** are unusual; they have massive L layers and are **typical** in densely stocked young stands. The other three groups **comprise a gradient** from **mor-like** to mull-like **conditions**. Mormoders have substantial, **fungi** dominated H layers. Leptomoders **display** prominent H layers with some soil animal activity. Mullmoders have thin H layers and extensive mixing of organic matter into the mineral soil. Mull types indicate rapid decomposition and nutrient cycling; they lack H layers. The two groups are rhizomulls, which are dominated by roots, and vermimulls, which are dominated by earthworms and soil animals.

## Relationship of Plant Associations to Forest Floors

The appearance and mass of the forest floor reflects a balance between the input of organic matter (litter production) and its decomposition. Decomposition rates are **determined** by temperature, moisture and the quality of the litter. Higher elevation forest zones (**Pacific silver fir** and mountain hemlock) are dominated by moderate and moist forest floors and management must be especially careful of this resource in those areas (**Brockway et al. 1983**). Within the Western Hemlock Zone there exist much smaller extremes than in the Forest as a whole. Most forest floor types are most common in the warmer associations where decomposition is rapid and snow accumulations are probably sporadic. These associations include Western hemlock/ dogwood/ vanillaleaf (**TSHE/CONU/ACTR**), Western hemlock-Douglas-fir/ oceanspray (**TSHE-PSME/HODI**), and Western hemlock/ swordfern (**TSHE/POMU**) (Table 5). The higher elevation and cooler associations tend to have thicker forest floors tending to the moist side of the moderate category. Managers should attempt to conserve the forest floor in these associations: Western hemlock/ dwarf Oregon grape (**TSHE/BENE**), Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/ dogwood bunchberry (**TSHE/VAAL/COCA**), Western hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry-salal (**TSHE/VAAL-GASH**), and Western hemlock/ foamflower (**TSHE/TITR**).

Table 5. Percent of plots on Gifford Pinchot National Forest within each association exhibiting particular forest floor forms. The form groupings are defined in the text.

ASSOCIATION	MOR TYPE		MODER TYPES			MULL TYPES	
	HEMIMOR	VELONOOER	MORMODER	LEPTOMODER	MULLMODER	RHIZOMULL	VERMIMULL
TSHE/LYAM					100		
TSHE/ATFI				20	60		20
TSHE/OPHO/POMU				46	46		8
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR					100		
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	9		18	36	27		9
TSHE/TITR			7	53	20		20
TSHE/POMU			8	17	33	25	17
TSHE/BENE/POMU	8			8	33	17	33
TSHE/VAAL/COCA			20	20	60		
TSHE/VAAL-GASH			29		57		14
TSHE/ACTR	1		8	31	25	7	25
TSHE/BENE				65	35		
TSHE/BENE-GASH			11	46	14	14	14
TSHE/GASH				27	60	7	7
TSHE/CONU/ACTR					50	13	38
TSHE-PSME/HODI		17		17			66
TSHE-PSME-ARME					100		
OVERALL TOTAL	1	1	7	33	33	7	18

## SNAGS AND FALLEN TREES

Natural forests include a large amount of dead wood. Dead wood is a very important part of forest ecosystems which only recently is beginning to be appreciated for its many varied roles. These roles include providing a tremendous variety of habitat for wildlife and plants, and important **functions** relating to **fire** danger, nutrient cycling, and stream and landscape shaping processes (Harmon et al. 1986). Standing dead trees (snags) provide essential habitat for many bird **species** which nest in tree **cavities** (Neltro et al. 1985). Down woody **debris** can provide **special** habitats and safe sites for a vast array of **animals** (Maser and Trappe 1984). Down logs may **persist** for centuries as huge boles which slowly decompose into large spongy masses and have a variety of habitats favorable to microbes and plant roots. Snags and down wood have long been recognized for **their** functions as forest fuels which enhance wildfire spread. Streams and **rivers** in forested areas owe their pool and riffle structure **largely to** gravel beds shaped by logs from neighboring stands. Upland slopes may be churned by the root wads of fallen trees. Soil creep and surface **erosion** may be slowed by logs lying across steep slopes. Regeneration of clear-cuts may be aided by the shade **provided** to small **seedlings** by down woody debris. Clearly, these and other functions of dead wood are complex and **interrelated**.

Land managers have recognized the importance of snags and down logs. The proposed standards and **guidelines** for forest management call for maintaining **some** of this material in harvested areas and areas where vegetative **manipulation** occurs (Gifford Pinchot National Forest 1986). Protected snags **would number** two per acre and be evenly **distributed**. Large trees (> 40 feet tall and >21 inch **DBH**) of slowly decomposing **species**, such as Douglas-fir, larch or ponderosa **pine** are preferred. The proposal also calls for the protection of at least 3 down logs per acre which are preferably at least 21 inches in diameter and 16 feet long.

Currently there are **relatively** few data available concerning the abundance of snags and down woody material in natural forests. Our sampling included an assessment of snag abundance and **condition**, as well as measurements of down logs and **fine** fuels. Our data provide a useful baseline **determination** of **conditions** occurring in unmanaged stands of a **variety** of ages and species **composition**. An overview of this **information** is provided below.

The amount and type of snags and down logs in a forest is a product of a variety of stand history factors. Because of the long period required for the decomposition of large

logs, this **material** may outlast the live trees in the forest. This carry-over from stand to stand **is** an important feature of larger logs which **provide special** habitats. The site characteristics which influence productivity and plant association composition may not be the dominant factors affecting the presence or condition of snags and down logs. We do not expect that knowledge of a **site's** plant association should be **sufficient** information to predict snag or down wood abundance. In the Western Hemlock Zone, fire history, disease patterns and tree **species** composition are more likely to determine patterns of dead wood distribution.

Several recent publications discuss in detail the role of snags and down woody debris in forests of the Pacific Northwest. The west-side wildlife habitat book includes very useful **discussions** of management for snags (Neitro et al. 1985) and down, dead wood (Bartels et al. 1985). Maser and Trappe (1984) published a delightful summary of the **special** world inhabiting down logs. Old-growth Douglas-fir forests are partially characterized by their special components of large down logs and snags (Franklin et al. 1981). The technical attributes and scientific **literature** regarding down woody debris have recently been reviewed in great detail by Harmon et al. (1986).

## Snags

In the Western Cascades of Washington and Oregon there are at least 100 animal species which use snags and at least 53 species which depend on tree **cavities** for critical **habitat** (Neitro et al. 1985). At least 34 bird and one mammal species depend on snags for essential habitat on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Snags are also important as resting and feeding areas and provide structural heterogeneity to the forest canopy. The particular **characteristics** of snags depends on **their** species, **decomposition status** and size. The exact sequence which an **individual** snag follows depends a great deal on the agents causing its death and the animal excavations **within** the wood. Snag deterioration has been usefully summarized with a five-stage **condition classification** going from recently dead trees (**condition 1**) to thoroughly rotted standing snags (**condition 5**) (Cline et al. 1980).

Our sample plots included tallies of snags. Our plots are normally in undisturbed stands where snag tallies should be close to representing the natural, unmanaged forest condition. Sped: **ies**, diameter at breast height (**DBH**), height class (**10-30 ft.**, **30-50 ft.** and **>50 ft.**), cavity presence and deterioration condition class were recorded for each

snag tallied (Wind River RD plots lacked height or condition classes). Minimum tally size was 10 Inch DBH and 10 feet tall. We used condition classes similar to those of Cline et al. (1980); these are also utilized in the Western Washington and Oregon wildlife habitat management book (Neitro et al. 1985):

### Snag Condition Classes

- Condition 1 - Fine branches and bark intact.
- Condition 2 - A few larger limbs present, bark present
- Condition 3 - Limb stubs may be present, bark only partially intact.
- Condition 4 - Bark nearly gone; solid buckskin.
- Condition 5 - Rotted, soft and crumbly

Table 6 separates the number of snags per acre by the seral stage of the site, either early (< 100 yrs), mid (100-200) or late (> 200 yrs). In general, there are many snags in unmanaged stands, averaging 46 per acre for all plots in the Western Hemlock Zone. Tall snags are most important for wildlife use; their abundance was relatively constant across the stand age gradient, though in the late seral stands, the tall snags represent a greater proportion of the total. The late seral stage had a greater proportion of snags in the more rotted conditions and these snags were larger and contained more cavities. The total number of snags per acre was lowest in the late seral stands. This is likely due to the demise of smaller snags (suppression mortality) abundant in the earlier seral stages. Our values for cavities per acre should only be considered a rough index of actual values because of our difficulty in accurately assessing cavities at ground level. Nevertheless, there were more cavities found in the older forests. Most of the cavities in the younger forests were in carry-over snags from earlier stands. These snags are predominantly short and provide fewer perching or feeding opportunities for birds.

Plant associations do not appear to be very good predictors of snag abundance or characteristics. There are too many specific stand history factors which affect the supply of snags and their ability to persist over time. Table 7 summarizes the snag data by association. These values demonstrate the overall variability of snag occurrence. These data should not be used to predict snag densities. It is noteworthy that the more moist associations have generally larger diameter snags.

Table 6. Average weight and number of snags' and fallen trees by seral stage, Western Hemlock Zone, Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

	Tots I		Early Seral (30-100 yrs)		Mid-Seral (100-200 yrs)		Late Seral (> 200 yrs)	
	tons/ac	#/ac	tons/ac	#/ac	tons/ac	#/ac	tons/ac	#/ac
<b>SNAGS</b>								
Condition <b>1</b> <sup>2</sup>		<b>5</b>		7		3		4
2		<b>18</b>		18		25		8
3		9		8		12		6
4		6		<b>6</b>		5		7
5		<b>8</b>		<b>8</b>		7		9
Height <b>10-30'</b>		20		24		26		17
30-50'		<b>10</b>		10		<b>12</b>		<b>9</b>
>50'		<b>14</b>		17		<b>14</b>		<b>11</b>
AYE DBH (in)		32		32		29		<b>38</b>
# cavities/ac <sup>3</sup>		11		<b>8</b>		<b>11</b>		16
TOTAL SNAGS		46		<b>48</b>		52		35
# plots		294		106		111		77
<b>FALLEN TREES</b>								
Condition <b>1</b> <sup>2</sup>	2.26	10	1.47	<b>8</b>	2.90	14	2.49	<b>8</b>
2	24.49	152	23.75	<b>167</b>	23.97	169	26.45	<b>104</b>
3	21.50	203	21.39	207	16.11	237	30.02	145
size <b>1</b> <sup>4</sup>	1.91	193	2.14	232	2.27	212	1.00	104
6	4.39	98	4.53	92	5.34	123	2.68	70
12	9.13	<b>50</b>	6.67	35	11.12	<b>64</b>	9.79	<b>50</b>
20	32.84	25	33.28	24	24.25	<b>21</b>	45.49	<b>33</b>
TOTAL FALLEN TREES	48.26	366	46.61	382	42.99	420	58.96	257
# plots		192		72		73		47

1. Standing dead trees  $\geq 10''$  DBH and  $\geq 10'$  tail.
2. See text for description of condition classes for snags and fallen trees.
3. Cavities are openings usable by birds or small mammals
4. See text for description of size classes for fallen trees.

Table 7. Features of snags on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest by plant association. Mean and standard deviation (in parentheses) of snag number per acre by height and decay condition classes (defined in text) and snag diameter at breast height (DBH) and number of cavities potential ly usable by wildl ife.

ASSOCIATION	# PLOTS	TOTAL SNAGS /ACRE	CAV' S PER ACRE	SNAG AVE DBH	SNAGS/AC BY HT(ft)			SNAGS/ACRE BY CONDITION CLASSES				
					10-30	30-50	> 50	1	2	3	4	5
TSHE/LYAM	1	11	4	45	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
TSHE/ATFI	7	28 (26)	5 (6)	40 (9)	21 (22)	0	6 (7)	0	18 (26)	6 (7)	2 (3)	2 (4)
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	16	34 (38)	36 (99)	39 (10)	12 (12)	5 (9)	17 (23)	0 (1)	17 (35)	4 (9)	5 (8)	8 (10)
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	1	20	22	46	9	0	12	0	0	0	12	9
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	13	33 (39)	39 (109)	35 (17)	18 (30)	6 (9)	9 (13)	0 (0)	7 (11)	3 (9)	5 (8)	11 (16)
TSHE/TITR	26	99 (128)	9 (10)	38 (10)	41 (60)	32 (62)	25 (40)	6 (16)	44 (66)	16 (40)	14 (31)	19 (27)
TSHE/POMU	16	38 (42)	4 (4)	42 (16)	23 (37)	5 (9)	6 (12)	2 (8)	12 (29)	10 (15)	6 (12)	7 (12)
TSHE/BENE/POMU	13	37 (29)	6 (8)	35 (18)	20 (19)	4 (6)	14 (24)	0 (0)	6 (14)	10 (20)	11 (16)	11 (16)
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	11	34 (30)	4 (5)	40 (8)	13 (16)	9 (8)	12 (13)	4 (6)	8 (8)	5 (6)	5 (7)	13 (13) 14
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	8	24 (19)	2 (5)	30 (5)	5 (14)	11 (4)	10 (10)	2 (6)	4 (5)	5 (9)	2 (6)	10 (17)
TSHE/ACTR	86	44 (56)	9 (18)	30 (13)	17 (28)	9 (18)	18 (41)	8 (25)	20 (39)	9 (19)	6 (13)	4 (8)
TSHE/BENE	29	60 (45)	8 (7)	29 (12)	27 (27)	13 (19)	20 (33)	2 (7)	20 (37)	20 (25)	7 (14)	10 (13)
TSHE/BENE-GASH	35	40 (44)	5 (7)	29 (12)	23 (28)	8 (20)	10 (19)	7 (21)	17 (34)	8 (15)	4 (7)	16 (16) 7
TSHE/GASH	18	55 (68)	7 (11)	23 (8)	35 (54)	13 (22)	7 (12)	1 (4)	16 (26)	13 (47)	12 (21)	12 (17)
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	9	34 (57)	47 (100)	23 (0)	26 (65)	8 (4)	3 (8)	1 (1)	21 (47)	5 (9)	2 (3)	4 (8)
TSHE-PSME/HODI	7	24 (28)	9 (16)	18 (0)	7 (11)	21 (21)	0 (0)	1 (3)	7 (19)	11 (23)	1 (3)	3 (9)
TSHE-PSME-AWE	2	0										

## Snag Management Considerations

Neitro et al. (1985, p 163) list fifteen very useful specific recommendations for snag management. Land managers should consult this valuable report. It is clear that we are only beginning to catalog wildlife needs. Our data provide a baseline of relatively undisturbed stands spread across the entire Western Hemlock Zone for future comparison of managed stand properties and wildlife utilization studies. Two conclusions should be emphasized. First, there are many more snags in natural stands than would occur in intensively managed forest lands lacking snag management policies. Second, most snags lack cavities. This could indicate that only a proportion of the available snags are suitable for wildlife use or perhaps that there is an oversupply of snags for existing population use. Better information regarding wildlife habitat needs and the habitat availability in managed stands will allow land managers to assess the impact of our snag management policies.

## Fallen Trees

Down, dead trees form a very conspicuous part of the forest throughout the Western Hemlock Zone. This material includes all sorts of tree parts, ranging from twigs, broken branches, tree boles, stumps and root wads. Besides representing fuel for wildfires, this woody debris has a number of important ecosystem functions. Down logs may provide the primary and secondary habitat for 150 wildlife species in the western Cascades of Washington and Oregon (Bartals et al. 1985). Some of these species have ecosystem roles critical to forest productivity, such as spreading essential mycorrhizal fungi or feeding on insect pests. Woody debris also is home to many invertebrates and even nitrogen fixing microorganisms which can help enrich the ecosystem with this critically limiting element (Maser and Trappe 1984). Large down logs may be essential seedbeds in excessively moist areas where soils are unsuitable for many conifers. Tree rooting in woody debris may provide nutrients and even essential moisture during periods of summer drought when the spongy tissue of well-rotted logs may yet be moist long after the mineral soil has dried. Upland slopes may be partially stabilized by down logs. Woody debris is also critical to the structure of streams flowing through forested areas. Our understanding of the roles of fallen trees in the ecosystem has only just begun.

We utilized the plane intersect method of Brown (1974) to measure down woody debris on our plots (except Wind River

RD). We measured fine fuels (< 3 inch diameter) and larger pieces separately. The fine fuels are categorized as 1-hour (< 1/4 inch diameter), 10-hour (1/4 to 1 inch diam.) and 100-hour (1-3 inch diam.) fuels (Deeming et al. 1978). This material ignites quickly so its abundance indicates wildfire danger.

Larger pieces of down wood (> 3 inch diameter) were tallied according to size and deterioration condition classes. Diameter and length were also recorded, thus allowing us to calculate total volume and weight. The size classes used in this study are:

#### Fallen Tree Size Classes

- Size 1 - Piece does not contain a segment which is at least 6 inches in diameter for a length of at least 5 ft.
- Size 6 - Piece contains a segment which is 6 inches in diameter or larger for a length of at least 5 ft.
- Size 12 - Piece contains a segment which is 12 inches in diameter or larger for a length of at least 5 ft.
- size 20 - Piece contains a segment which is 20 inches in diameter or larger for a length of at least 5 ft.

Condition classes indicate relative states of decomposition, and are modified from Maser et al. (1979), as follows:

#### Fallen Tree Condition Classes

- Condition 2 - Intact bark and wood. Fine branches present. (Maser et al. condition class 1)
- Condition 2 - Bark loose, fine branches absent, wood intact or partly soft, slightly sagging. (Maser et al. condition class 2)
- Condition 3 - Bark usually absent, no fine branches, wood soft to powdery, may be somewhat oval in cross-section, all of piece is on ground. (Maser et al. condition classes 3 and 4)

We did not tally highly decomposed pieces (Maser et al. (1979) condition class 5) in this study; this should be kept in mind in comparing our data with those from other studies (see review by Jew by Harmon et al. 1986).

The average weight of down woody debris greater than 3 inches in diameter for all plots was 48.26 tons/acre (1 ton/acre=2242 kg/hectare) (Table 6). Most of this biomass was of the largest size category. Relatively little woody debris-mass is small pieces or pieces exhibiting little decay (decay condition one). The number of pieces per acre

is very high for the smaller size classes. Overall, only 10 pieces per acre were found in the least rotted condition class.

The distribution of down woody debris by the seral stage (either < 100 yrs, 100-200, or >200 yrs) of the stand illustrates that the younger stands have a much greater contribution of both biomass and piece density in the small size classes than in the later seral stage (Table 6). The weight and density of large pieces is highest in the late seral stands. These large pieces are the ones which have great value for wildlife and plant rooting. Most of these late seral stands can be considered to be old-growth (Franklin et al. 1981). It is interesting to note that on average the early seral stands had considerably more of the largest debris than did the mid-seral stands. Much of this is probably carry-over material from the forest antecedent to the young seral stands. Our future managed stands will only have that biomass of large woody debris which we leave behind, hence the need for careful evaluation of management practices on this important but poorly understood ecosystem component.

The distribution of different sized down woody debris by plant association illustrates how variable this information is (Table 8). Overall, the dry associations appear to have smaller amounts, perhaps because of greater intensity or frequency of wildfire before the stands were initiated. The dryer associations are also less productive, so larger trees take longer to grow and are thus less likely to contribute to the debris layer. These data are presented merely to indicate the range occurring in natural Western Hemlock Zone stands. They should not be used for predictive purposes. Site specific factors of each stand are more important in determining woody debris values than is the plant association.

The tabulation of down woody material by condition class also illustrates the high degree of variability in this information (Table 9). There is generally a fifth to a tenth the material in condition class 1 as in class 2. Classes 2 and 3 have similar amounts, though the moist-site indicating associations (such as Western hemlock/devil's club/swordfern, Western hemlock/swordfern-oxalis, Western hemlock/foamflower) generally have much more condition 3 than condition 2 woody debris. The condition 1 materials have all been recently dropped and they decay rather rapidly to condition class 2. The condition class 2 materials decay more slowly into class 3. Some of the class 3 materials may be remnants of the previous stands. Note that the very

Table 8. Fine fuels and down woody material (DWM) weights by **association** on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of **tons(English)/acre** and the number of large logs (>20 inch diam.) per acre.

ASSOCIATION	# PLOTS	TWIGS <1/4" TON/AC	TWIGS 1/4-1" TON/AC	TWIGS 1-2.9" TON/AC	DWM 3-5.9" TON/AC	DWM 6-11.9" TON/AC	DWM 12-19.9 TON/AC	DWM > 20" TON/AC	DWM > 20" #/ACRE
TSHE/LYAM	1	.9	.7	.8	1.0	6.0	14.0	39.0	17
TSHE/ATFI	6	.7 (.2)	.7 (.2)	.8 (1.0)	1.0 (1.2)	4.8 (2.5)	17.8 (6.1)	18.8 (11.5)	18 (13)
TSHE/OPHO/ POMJ	14	.8 .50	.9 .49	1.4 1.09	4.1 10.43	3.2 3.30	5.1 5.14	50.8 (53.5)	37 (26)
TSHE/POMJ- OXOR	9	.7 (.4)	1.0 (.4)	2.1 (.9)	1.8 (1.2)	5.3 (3.6)	9.4 (7.3)	31.7 (22.3)	26 (20)
TSHE/YAAL/ OXOR	1	.4	.2	.8	0	1.0	12.0	44.0	15
TSHE/TITR	19	.7 (.3)	.8 (.2)	1.6 (1.5)	1.7 (1.9)	4.6 (4.0)	12.3 (9.3)	45.8 (57.5)	29 (30)
TSHE/POMJ	11	.9 (.5)	.9 (.4)	1.8 (1.3)	1.7 (3.0)	5.6 (2.6)	12.4 (7.5)	49.7 (32.0)	50 (44)
TSHE/BENE/ POMJ	5	.8 (.6)	1.0 (.6)	1.4 (.9)	1.4 (.6)	7.6 (5.2)	7.8 (6.0)	40.2 (37.4)	29 (29)
TSHE/YAAL/ COCA	5	.8 (.5)	.6 (.3)	1.0 (1.1)	0 0	2.8 (3.3)	9.0 (6.4)	49.0 (24.1)	63 (36)
TSHE/YAAL- GASH	3	.7 (.3)	.8 (.2)	3.5 (4.5)	1.7 (1.5)	3.0 (1.7)	19.3 (11.0)	43.7 (42.0)	20 (23)
TSHE/ACTR	47	.9 (.5)	1.1 (.7)	1.7 (1.3)	1.7 (2.1)	3.7 (3.5)	10.4 (9.51)	41.3 (76.7)	26 (36)
TSHVBENE	30	.8 (.4)	1.2 (.6)	2.0 (1.5)	2.5 (3.5)	4.5 (3.7)	6.5 (6.81)	21.8 (26.8)	27 (36)
TSHVBENE- GASH	26	.8 (.4)	.9 (.5)	1.4 (1.2)	2.3 (2.5)	4.8 (5.2)	5.7 (5.6)	21.5 (26.0)	15 (20)
TSHE/GASH	16	.9 (.4)	1.1 (.7)	1.4 (1.5)	1.2 (1.8)	4.1 (4.9)	8.0 (8.0)	5.1 (13.9)	5 (14)
TSHE/CONJ/ ACTR	1	.7	.7	.8	1.0	5.0	7.0	0	0
TSHE-PSME/ HODI	1	.8	.6	1.9	1.0	13.0	9.0	28.0	10
TSHE-PSME- ARME	2	.5 (.1)	.6 (.2)	1.3 (.8)	.5 (.7)	0 (0)	2.0 (2.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table 9. Down woody **material** by decay condition class (**defined** in text) for Western Hemlock Zone plant associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Means and standard deviations (**in** parentheses) of **weight**, volume and number of **pieces** per acre.

ASSOCIATION	# PLOTS	WEIGHT IN TONS/ACRE			VOLUME IN CUBIC FEET/ACRE			# PIECES/ACRE		
		CONDITION CLASS			CONDITION CLASS			CONDITION CLASS		
		1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
TSHE/LYAM	1	0	0	60	0	0	6317	0	0	206
TSHE/ATFI	5	7 (8)	24 (10)	11 (4)	593 (640)	2153 (842)	1178 (528)	19 (19)	180 (154)	168 (91)
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	12	2 (4)	20 (20)	42 (42)	159 (367)	1799 (1839)	4431 (4519)	4 (9)	182 (349)	214 (188)
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	9	4 (10)	14 (16)	31 (18)	303 (873)	1257 (1470)	3265 (1921)	17 (41)	181 (126)	312 (270)
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	1	0	12	45	0	1175	4781	0	104	111
TSHE/TITR	19	.3 (1)	35 (43)	29 (27)	30 (95)	3210 (3928)	3077 (2843)	6 (9)	160 (194)	283 (320)
TSHE/POMU	11	5 (10)	32 (22)	33 (25)	397 (854)	2926 (2030)	3482 (2664)	12 (23)	175 (152)	242 (118)
TSHE/BENE/POMU	5	.4 (.5)	40 (32)	17 (11)	42 (58)	3640 (2936)	1759 (1187)	2 (3)	184 (126)	195 (191)
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	5	0 (0)	22 (19)	39 (31)	0 (0)	2009 (1714)	4164 (3321)	0 (0)	65 (65)	131 (131)
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	3	0 (0)	41 (22)	27 (16)	0 (0)	3711 (2048)	2855 (1720)	0 (0)	111 (76)	127 (46)
TSHE/ACTR	47	2 (8)	32 (63)	23 (30)	191 (660)	2882 (5767)	2463 (3221)	8 (23)	145 (130)	157 (117)
TSHE/BENE	30	2 (7)	19 (20)	14 (15)	179 (568)	1729 (1848)	1541 (1576)	10 (35)	179 (185)	281 (275)
TSHE/BENE-GASH	26	3 (6)	22 (23)	10 (11)	219 (511)	2007 (2100)	1017 (1205)	8 (9)	177 (170)	170 (248)
TSHE/GASH	16	2 (4)	7 (8)	10 (10)	122 (321)	657 (678)	1015 (1078)	9 (21)	78 (74)	131 (132)
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	1	2	6	5	151	525	642	3	143	234
TSHE-PSME/HODI	1	3	27	21	242	2397	2257	9	72	266
TSHE-PSME-AWE	2	0 (0)	2 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	233 (315)	0 (0)	0 (0)	76 (107)	0 (0)

decomposed **materials** (class 5 of Maser et al. 1979) were not sampled. **Weight**, volume and pieces per acre values illustrate the relationships among these different ways of **measuring** woody **debris**.

Despite the variability **displayed** in our samples, there clearly is an abundance of down woody debris in most Western Hemlock Zone stands. The association averages for greater than 20 inch materials generally compare **with** the levels 4-6 of the photo **series** forest **residue** levels for larger Douglas-fir stands (Maxwell and Ward 1980). **Quantities** of total debris average overall in the 4-DF-4 class of Maxwell and Ward (1980). Though the plant **associations** are not in and of themselves good predictors of fuel loading, they may be helpful to fuel managers to evaluate fire danger. The **moist-site associations** have low fire **ignition** potential. Fuels **specialists** should consider using plant **associations** as one more tool in evaluating treatment needs. It is clear that forest managers will need to carefully weigh the risks and **potential benefits** of **leaving** residues on **site** as more information accumulates **concerning** the ecosystem roles played by dead and down woody debris. **Bartels et al. (1985)** have provided a useful summary of management considerations **concerning** dead and down woody material **appropriate** for the Western Hemlock Zone. **This** report should be consulted by **land** and managers.

## FORAGE PRODUCTION

Plant **associations** in the Western Hemlock Zone differ widely in their potential for forage production. We sampled fresh growth of forage on **227 intensive** plots north of the Lewis **River**. We weighed all herb species as a sample and the current **year's** growth of **individual** shrub **species** up to a **height** of **six** feet. Three clip plots were done on each study plot and averaged. Table 10 displays the amount of forage by plant **association**. Note that the values are fresh, green weights. Dry **weights** would be approximately 5-20 per cent of these wet weights. The herb **productivity** of the very moist and **mesic associations** is **striking**. Western **hemlock/Ladyfern** averaged 1701 pounds per acre of all herb species. Western **hemlock/Devil's club /Swordfern** and Western **hemlock/Swordfern-Oregon oxalis** also had very **high** herb forage **production**. Two of the **driest associations** (**TSHE/CONU/ACTR** and **TSHE-PSME/HODI**) are found almost exclusively on the southern **portion** of the Wind River Ranger District where we have not yet sampled forage **production**.

Herb species differ **widely in** their value as **forage** for different species of **wildlife**. Most herb species are **utilized** to **some** extent by deer; swordfern and bracken fern being important exceptions (Crouch 1981).

Production of shrub forage is highly variable among the associations. Many shrub **species** of highest forage value for ungulates are not included in Table 10 because they occur in very small amounts in the closed, undisturbed forests which dominate our sample. Various species, such as elderberries, salmonberry and alders, primarily occupy riparian zones. Shrub browse **likely** forms the greatest portion of the diet of deer on the forest. Deer are **likely** to utilize many species and have seasonal preferences (Crouch 1981). Some species, such as **salal**, are generally not preferred by deer as forage, but are **nevertheless** important browse because of their abundance and evergreen character. The **drier** plant associations, such as Western Hemlock/Dwarf Oregongrape-**salal** and Western **hemlock/Salal**, have large amounts of available browse. These sites provide important thermal protection and winter forage for deer and elk. The moist associations also produce large amounts of forage and are most critical as winter range. Dwarf Oregon grape **is** one of the few abundant shrub species in the Western Hemlock Zone which **is** seldomly used by deer (Crouch 1981).

Each association has particular **attributes** important to understanding **its** value as big-game habitat. The dry associations, especially Western **hemlock/dogwood/vanillaleaf** (TSHE/CONU/ACTR), Western hemlock-Douglas-fir/oceanspray (TSHE-PSME/HODI), and Western **hemlock/Salal** (TSHE/GASH), are shrub rich. These associations often occur near small natural oak woodlands on the southern portion of the Forest. Small prairies and woodlands within a coniferous forest context are of very high value to deer (Crouch 1981). These areas stay snow-free for most of the year and there **is** a valuable juxtaposition of forage-rich **openings** with thermal and hiding cover. In addition, oak acorns are preferred by deer. The moist-site associations (TSHE/LYAM, TSHE/ATFI, TSHE/OPHO/POMU, TSHE/VAAL/OXOR and TSHE/TITR) have very large amounts of available forage, **particularly** herbs. These associations are also extremely important to big-game as winter range because of their prevalence in lower slope positions. Sites **occupied** by these associations are also commonly near heavily **utilized riparian** areas, and so can provide valuable thermal and hiding cover.

Table 10. Forage production of all herbs and selected shrub species In Western Hemlock Zone plant associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Mean weight (and standard error of the mean In parentheses) of the fresh, green vegetation (current **year's** growth) In pounds/acre. See Table 17 for **definitions** of shrub species codes.

ASSOCIATION	# PLOTS	ALL HERBS	SHRUBS							
			ACCI	GASH	VAPA	VAAL	ROGY	COCO	OPHO	RUUR
TSHE/LYAM	1	770	80	0	0	0	0	0	167	0
TSHE/ATFI	6	1701 (459)	22 (22)	6 (6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17 (6)
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	13	1317 (379)	72 (33)	7 (7)	1 (.6)	6 (6)	5 (11)	0 (0)	168 (62)	27 (18)
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	11	1061 (346)	71 (36)	4 (4)	11 (9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17 (17)	4 (2)
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	1	1313	397	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TSHE/TITR	22	620 (115)	51 (21)	.1 (.1)	10 (5)	19 (12)	2 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)	14 (8)
TSHE/POMU	12	633 (146)	73 (69)	25 (17)	12 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	26 (26)	5 (3)
TSHE/BENE/POMU	9	584 (276)	39 (26)	69 (52)	53 (52)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	11 (8)
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	7	278 (70)	15 (10)	39 (24)	65 (60)	298 (223)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	19 (7)
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	3	308 (145)	0 (0)	122 (80)	42 (9)	29 (23)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (6)
TSHE/ACTR	56	335 (44)	27 (7)	45 (18)	7 (3)	4 (2)	6 (2)	0 (0)	.2 (.3)	16 (4)
TSHE/BENE	32	91 (25)	10 (3)	23 (14)	12 (6)	4 (4)	3 (3)	.3 (.3)	0 (0)	3 (2)
TSHE/BENE-GASH	32	162 (45)	18 (10)	114 (23)	18 (6)	6 (6)	10 (7)	3 (2)	0 (0)	26 (12)
TSHE/GASH	18	274 (69)	36 (18)	352 (75)	20 (10)	6 (6)	10 (7)	2 (2)	0 (0)	16 (7)
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	1	1120	107	38	0	0	0	53	0	37
TSHE-PSME/HODI	1	560	300	0	0	0	183	0	0	97
TSHE-PSME-ARME	2	125 (108)	100 (100)	16 (16)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (4)	33 (33)	0 (0)	0 (0)

The major factor affecting forage production in managed forests is the impact of timber harvest. Pioneer vegetation which follows timber harvest is very productive. The relationship between plant associations and pioneer vegetation is poorly known: our current samples have trees at least 50 years old. The overall forage production in stands of three different serai stages is summarized in Table 11. The middle stage (100-200 years) generally has the least forage. The late (> 200 years, primarily old-growth) stage has fairly high production of both herbs and shrubs. In addition, these sites have more production of foliose lichens (Franklin et al. 1981) which may be important winter browse in some instances (Hanley 1984).

Table 11. Forage production of all herbs and selected herb species by stand seral stage. Mean (and standard error of the mean in parentheses) weight of fresh, green vegetation (current year's growth) in pounds per acre. See Table 17 for definition of shrub species codes.

SERAL STAGE	# PLOTS	ALL HERDS	SHRUB SPECIES							
			ACCI	GASH	VAPA	VAAL	ROGY	COC02	OPHO	RUUR
EARLY (30-100 yr)	86	483 65	41 (9)	104 (22)	17 (6)	3 (2)	9 (3)	2 (1)	5 (4)	26 (5)
MID (100-200)	85	330 58	26 (6)	46 (11)	7 (2)	4 (2)	4 (2)	1 (1)	17 (10)	3 (1)
LATE (>200 yrs)	54	629 122	50 (19)*	37 (16)	22 (9)	50 (30)	.4 (.3)	.3 (.3)	19 (9)	16 (6)

## THE TIMBER RESOURCE

The Western Hemlock Zone of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest includes some of the finest timber growing lands in the National Forest system. The combination of abundant rainfall, a temperate climate and generally deep soils is nearly ideal for conifer growth. Because of the accessibility of these lower elevation lands, this zone has historically been heavily utilized for timber production. Standing volumes and productive capacity are still high within the Western Hemlock Zone, so this area will continue to be of greatest importance for timber harvest in the future. Intensive forestry practices should be of greatest economic value within this Zone. Major challenges for timber management primarily revolve around integrating harvest activities into the multiple use program of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. The bulk of the Western Hemlock Zone is easily managed; the extreme environments indicated by the very wet and dry plant associations are exceptions.

The productive potential of lands within the Western Hemlock Zone is affected by the same phenomena which are largely responsible for the distribution and abundance of understory vegetation which define plant associations. Careful use of this plant association system affords the land manager an effective and systematic means of understanding site potential.

### Tree Species Distributions

Although plant associations are defined on the basis of climax or long-term stable state vegetation, they tell us much about long-lived seral tree species such as Douglas-fir. Table 12 summarizes the major distribution of tree species throughout the Western Hemlock Zone. Western hemlock is the major climax species and Douglas-fir the major seral species in all cases. Western redcedar is prominent in all but the driest associations, though it occurs even there. All other tree species have more restricted distributions and importance within this Zone. Red alder is an important seral species in the moist associations, such as Western Hemlock/Swordfern-Oregon oxalis (TSHE/POMU-OROR) and Western Hemlock/Swordfern (TSHE/POMU). Big-leaf maple is widespread, and though of low commercial value, is an important member of most associations because it is very different from conifers in its wildlife use and nutrient cycling functions. Noble and grand fir are two minor species which may be of considerable economic value in specific plant associations. Noble fir is uncommon within the Western Hemlock Zone, but is a useful species, for regeneration in

Table 12. Distribution of tree species by association. C=Major climax species, c=minor climax species; S=Major seral species, s=minor seral species. See Table 17 for definitions of species codes.

Association	TSHE	PSK	THPL	ALUR	ACMA	ABAM	ABPR	ABGR	ARK	POTR2	LAOC	PIMO	TABR	QUGA
<b>Wet Group:</b>														
TSHE/LYAM	C	S	C	S	C	c			c			c		
TSHE/ATFI	C	S	C	S	C	c			c			c		
TSHE/OPHO/POMJ	c	S	C	S	c									
<b>Moist Group:</b>														
TSHE/POMJ-OXOR	C	S	C	S	C	c	S	c						C
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	C	S	C	S		c	S							C
TSHE/TITR	C	S	C	S	c	c	S							C
TSHE/POMJ	C	S	C	S	C									
<b>Mesic Group:</b>														
TSHE/BENE/POMJ	C	S	C	S	C	c								C
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	C	S	C	S		c	S			S	S			C
TSHVVAAL-GASH	C	S	C	S		c	S			S	S			C
TSHE/ACTR	C	S	C	S	c	c			C					C
TSHE/BENE	C	S	C	S	c	c	S					S		C
TSHE/BENE-GASH	C	S	C	S	c								S	C
<b>Dry Group:</b>														
TSHVGASH	C	S	C	S	c		S					S		
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	C	S	C	S	c				c					
TSHE-PSME/HODI	C	C	C	S	c				C				C	S
TSHE-PSME-ARME	C	C	C	S	c									

cold spots because of its cold tolerance and its very high productive potential. Grand fir may add considerable volume to stands in the dry associations: Western hemlock/Dogwood/ Vanillal eaf (TSHE/CONU/ACTR), and Western hemlock-Douglas-fir/Cean spray (TSHE-PSME/HODI).

### Plant Associations and Timber Management

The key silvicultural decision, that of which species to manage for, is generally quite straight-forward within the Western Hemlock Zone. Douglas-fir is the preferred commercial species in most associations. The regeneration characteristics of major tree species within this zone are summarized in Table 13. Use of genetically selected Douglas-fir stock should be the general practice. Plant associations within the Western Hemlock Zone differ in their timber management characteristics (see Table 14). The very wet associations: Western hemlock/Skunk cabbage (TSHE/LYAM), Western hemlock/Ladyfern (TSHE/ATFI), and Western hemlock/Devils club/Swordfern, may often be inappropriate for Douglas-fir. The use of western redcedar and western hemlock following selective harvest is preferred in these wet associations. Douglas-fir may be planted in the dry associations (listed in Table 14), but special precautions are needed for drought avoidance. These include shelterwood harvest, shade provision either

Table 13. Regeneration characteristics of major tree species in the Western Hemlock Zone (WHZ).

<u>Species</u>	<u>Suitable Associations</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Remarks</u>
Douglas-fir	all except TSHE/LYAM	simply stated: "the best of the west" excellent growth, high value; possible frost damage in coldest parts of WHZ; shade intolerant
West. hemlock	all except dry group	good growth, higher stand volumes in mixed species stands. good advance regeneration and natural seeding; heavy seed producer shade tolerant; seedlings may be damaged by heavy snow.
W. redcedar	all except dry group, plant only in wet and moist group assoc's	valuable natural addition to wide variety of environments. shade tolerant. fast growth in wet and moist assoc's but slow in dry sites. very useful to rehabilitate very moist sites.
Red alder	wet and moist groups	valuable addition to wettest sites, short-lived; heavy nitrogen fixer; out-competes conifers on wet associations
Noble fir	TSHE/VAAL/COCA, TSHE/VAAL-GASH, TSHE/VAAL/OXOR, TSHE/TITR	excellent growth, useful in potential frost pockets or frost-prone assoc's. good in mixed stands. in cool and moist assoc's in WHZ.
Pacific silver fir	TSHE/VAAL/COCA, TSHE/VAAL-GASH, TSHE/VAAL/OXOR, TSHE/TITR	useful as advance regeneration or natural seeded in cool/moist assoc's in WHZ. Plant only in special circumstances; shade and cold tolerant.
Cottonwood	wet: TSHE/LYAM, TSHE/ATF I, TSHE/OPHO/POMU	main use for riparian area protection & rehabilitation; useful mixed in wet areas. cold tolerant; may help reduce moisture on very moist soils
Grand fir	dry group and gravelly glacial outwash areas near rivers.	useful natural addition to dry assoc's near Columbia River. shade and drought tolerant; low value but heavy volume producer. also valuable natural addition to river-bottom areas
West. white pine	TSHE/VAAL-GASH, TSHE/VAAL/COCA, TSHE/BENE, TSHE/ACTR	frost tolerant, rapid early growth; use rust resistant stock; useful in higher elevations in WHZ

1. see Table 14 for association moisture group definitions.

Table 14. Timber management characteristics of Western Hemlock Zone associations of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

Group Association	Regeneration					Soil Compaction Hazard	Opportunity for Intensive Management
	Relative Hazards		Suitable Species				
	Brush Competition	Drought Hazard	Slash Disposal	Planted	Natural Regen.		
<b>Wet</b>							
TSHE/LYAM	severe	<b>excessive</b> moisture	avoid heavy machines	THPL, POTR2, ALRU, TSHE	THPL, POTR2, ALRU, TSHE	very severe	<b>very poor</b>
TSHE/ATFI	severe	<b>excessive</b> moisture	avoid heavy machines	TSHE, THPL, POTR2, ALRU, PSME	TSHE, THPL, POTR2, ALRU	severe	<b>poor</b>
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	<b>high</b>	<b>excessive</b> moisture	avoid heavy machines	TSHE, THPL, POTR2, ALRU, PSME	TSHE, THR, POTR2, ALRU	severe	<b>poor</b>
<b>Moist</b>							
TSHE/POMU-OXOR	<b>high</b>	<b>low</b>	as needed	PSME, TSHE, THPL	TSHE, THPL, ALRU	<b>fairly high</b>	<b>good</b>
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	<b>high</b>	<b>low</b>	as needed	PSME, TSHE, THPL, ABPR	TSHE, THPL, ALRU	<b>fairly high</b>	<b>good</b>
TSHE/TITR	moderate	<b>low</b>	as needed	PSME, ABPR, TSHE	TSHE, THPL, ALRU	moderate	<b>excellent</b>
TSHE/POMU	moderate	<b>fairly low</b>	as needed	PSME, TSHE, THR	TSHE, THPL	moderate	<b>excellent</b>
<b>Mesic</b>							
TSHE/BENE/POMU	moderate	<b>fairly low</b>	avoid hot burn	PSM, TSHE	TSHE, THPL	<b>fairly low</b>	<b>excellent</b>
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	moderate	<b>fairly low</b>	as needed	PSME, ABPR, TSHE	TSHE, THR	moderate	<b>good</b>
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	moderate	moderate	avoid hot burn	PSME, ABPR, PIMO	TSHE, THPL	<b>low</b>	<b>good</b>
TSHE/ACTR	high if burned hot	moderate	keep burn cool	PSME, TSHE	TSHE, THPL, ABGR	<b>low</b>	<b>excellent</b>
TSHE/BENE	high if burned hot	moderate	<b>minimize</b> burning	PSME, ABPR, PIMO	TSHE, THPL	<b>low</b>	<b>good</b>
TSHE/BENE-GASH	high if burned hot	<b>substantial</b>	<b>minimize</b> burning	PSME, TSHE	TSHE, THPL	<b>low</b>	9 - J
<b>Dry</b>							
TSHE/GASH	moderate	substantial	<b>minimize</b> burning	PSME, TSHE	TSHE, THPL, PIMO	<b>low</b>	<b>fair</b>
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	<b>high</b>	<b>high</b>	careful burning	PSME, (ABGR)	ABGR, THPL, TSHE	<b>low</b>	good, with care
TSHE-PSME/HODI	<b>high</b>	severe	careful burning	PSM, (ABGR)	ABGR, THPL, TSHE, QUGA	<b>low</b>	<b>poor</b>
TSHE-PSME-ARME	moderate	severe	careful burning	PSM	ARME	<b>low</b>	<b>poor</b>

with **on-site** slash or shade cards, and the use of planting **stock** from dry sites or **at** least **from** lower elevations **within** the seed zone.

Slash burning is a proven method of **reducing** fire hazard and of **providing planting** sites following clear-cutting. On the mesic and dry plant associations hot slash burning will often **lead** to invasion by snowbrush ceanothus (see Table 14). These sites also may suffer from nutrient depletion **from** hot slash burning. Regeneration of logged areas in the dry and mesic associations may also be aided by slash shading young seedlings.

Soil compaction is another hazard of intensive management. The moist and wet associations are particularly susceptible to long-term soil damage by heavy **machinery** operating on wet soils (see Table 14). Repeated travel by logging equipment over the same paths will cause **soil** compaction throughout the Western Hemlock Zone.

There are a variety of reasons for avoiding monoculture in our forests. These range from land stewardship obligations of public lands to wildlife needs to the greater **productivity** and disease avoidance of mixed species forests. Fortunately, several **species** are **effective** pioneer colonizers (such as red alder and cottonwood) or effective colonizers in shady, established stands (such as western hemlock and western redcedar). Precommercial thinning activities should not unduly destroy these lower value tree species because the overall forest value may be greatest in the long-run with sane diversity. Relative productive potential is an important component of the plant association concept. Our sample plots included considerable data collection on tree heights, volumes and ages. Timber stocking data were collected from 5 variable radius plots where diameters of all count trees were measured, allowing the calculation of Stand Density Index (**Reineke** 1933) and stand tables. In addition, usually 5 site trees (canopy dominants) of each major species present were measured for height, age, diameter, crown ratio, **sapwood** thickness and surrounding basal area (for Growth Basal Area calculation, Hall 1985). These data characterize site indices and serve as inputs for various methods of stand volume and productivity computation. Our samples should be considered to be best case productivity estimates for unmanaged forests. This is because we carefully selected undisturbed sample stands and site trees were among the best on the plots.

## Timber Productivity

The timber stand characteristics of our sample plots are displayed in Table 15. The values presented are based on plot averages. Thus, the site trees of each species are averaged for the plot and then the plots for each association are averaged: the standard deviation of these values is provided. Our plots represent unmanaged stand conditions; future managed stands may have different characteristics, but the relative relationships of productivity among the associations should hold true.

Stand volumes and production are highly dependent on tree age. We used several indices to compare the stocking and productivity of different aged stands (see Table 16). The first index is derived from normal yield tables that are adjusted for stocking density by their proportion of normal stand density index (SDI). This is called the SDI-based index: it reflects a very approximate estimate of the site's capability to produce timber at culmination of mean annual increment. Another index is the yield capacity at culmination of mean annual increment. This index is based on site index and normal yield tables (Tepley 1985). The other estimate of productivity is an Ingrowth model of productivity during the last ten years of the stand based on our stocking and tree core data (Hemstrom 1983). This Ingrowth model uses individual tree volume equations for each species. We substituted tree volume equations appropriate for the Western Hemlock Zone. Volume equations used for Douglas-fir and western hemlock came from C. Chambers (personal communication, Washington State Department of Natural Resources). This current volume increment index of productivity refers to cubic volume of total stem, and is highly dependent on stand age as well as stocking and inherent site productive potential. Growth basal area (GBA) is an index of stockability corresponding to that basal area at which trees would be expected to grow 10/20 inch in radial increment per decade at age 100 (Hall 1983). Site indices are also presented: Douglas-fir and western hemlock (base age 100) in Table 16 and all species in Appendix 2. Appendix 2 also presents species specific age, stocking and volume values for all associations and lists references to all site indices used in this paper.

The various timber indices demonstrate that the Western Hemlock Zone is indeed very productive across a wide array of associations. The excessively moist Western hemlock/Skunk cabbage (TSHE/LYAM) is an exception to the rule of production being moisture related. Similarly, the cooler

Table 15. Current timber stand characteristics of Western Hem lock Zone plant associations. The associations are listed in order, roughly, from wet- to dry-site indicators.

ASSOCIATION	# PLOTS	AVE TREE AGE	MAX TREE AGE	TOT BASAL AREA	TREES PER ACRE	TREES/ACRE >7"DBH	QUAD. MEAN DIAM.	STAND DENSITY INDEX	TOTAL STAND VOLUME
TSHE/LYAM	MEAN 1	<b>104</b>	138	<b>200</b>	146	146	15.8	306	6091
TSHE/ATFI	MEAN 7 STDEV	130 98	181 125	271 81	182 88	126 25	17.6 5.2	387 89	10940 3578
TSHE/OPHO/POMU	MEAN 16 STDEV	<b>209</b> 173	284 247	320 98	157 128	115 66	22.3 7.7	415 103	14421 6576
TSHVPOMU- OXOR	<b>MEAN 48</b> <b>STDEV</b>	150 119	180 179	303 61	185 117	153 75	20.4 8.4	417 76	12489 3878
TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	MEAN 15 STDEV	131 132		283 58	252 146	198 103	16.9 6.9	428 88	9928 3512
TSHE/TITR	MEAN 27 STDEV	<b>209</b> 142	269 198	370 82	182 108	134 50	21.9 7.8	490 101	16314 4974
TSHE/POMU	MEAN 16 STDEV	165 113	415 787	322 77	192 96	153 85	19.8 7.5	442 79	13674 5007
TSHE/BENE/POMU	MEAN 25 STDEV	165 107	227 200	289 72	245 194	158 57	17.1 6.4	426 101	10983 4022
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	MEAN 18 STDEV	328 185	630 229	283 79	<b>205</b> 217	127 95	<b>19.0</b> 6.4	399 116	11569 5031
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	MEAN 10 STDEV	274 120	366 270	258 61	132 72	112 56	20.8 6.0	351 72	11672 4534
TSHE/ACTR	MEAN 114 STDEV	155 105	192 164	305 73	229 146	168 82	17.9 6.3	439 92	12219 4290
TSHE/BENE	<b>MEAN 38</b> <b>STDEV</b>	171 112	254 230	312 68	301 168	217 98	15.0 4.2	483 93	11549 3716
TSHE/BENE-GASH	<b>MEAN 38</b> <b>STDEV</b>	165 117	240 209	283 75	241 149	167 76	16.7 5.7	420 95	10780 4051
TSHE/GASH	MEAN 19 STDEV	135 103	162 142	259 76	307 166	216 99	13.7 4.7	416 99	8970 3953
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	MEAN 9 STDEV	161 81	269 228	275 47	118 93	96 64	24.5 8.9	348 72	12814 2922
TSHE-PSME/HODI	MEAN 10 STDEV	130 68	122 33	274 72	188 104	151 80	17.5 3.6	398 120	10333 3282
TSHE-PSME-ARME	MEAN 2 STDEV	279 59	368 36	284 <b>107</b>	138 22	72 14	19.5 5.2	382 100	11839 8276

Table 16. Current and potential timber **productivity** and current site **quality** indices for Western Hemlock Zone plant associations. SD is standard deviation. See text for description of these indices. **McArdle et al. (1949)** and **Barnes (1962)** are references for Douglas-fir and western hemlock site indices.

ASSOCIATION	SDI-BASED VOLM INDEX	CURRENT VOLUME INCREMENT	MEAN ANNUAL INCREMENT @ CULMINATION	GROWTH BASAL AREA DOUGLAS-FIR	DDOUGLAS-FIR SITE INDEX AGE 100	W. HEMLOCK SITE INDEX AGE 100
<b>TSHE/LYAM</b>	MEAN 82	<b>114</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>120</b>	
<b>TSHE/ATFI</b>	MEAN 177 SD 47	121 44	99 26	601 224	166 19	124
<b>TSHE/OPHO/POMU</b>	MEAN 192 SD 64	118 67	94 46	556 133	172 21	126 5
<b>TSHE/POMU-OXOR</b>	MEAN 176 SD 40	158 93	113 51	463 127	157 18	145 18
<b>TSHE/VAAL/OXOR</b>	MEAN 147 SD 30	<b>140</b> 61	117 51	437 114	136 13	121 17
<b>TSHE/TITR</b>	MEAN 215 SD 50	121 52	99 40	564 157	163 15	127 17
<b>TSHE/POMU</b>	MEAN 191 SD 53	129 62	<b>107</b> 47	504 161	161 21	<b>137</b> 19
<b>TSHE/BENE/POMU</b>	MEAN 162 SD 45	103 40	<b>84</b> <b>36</b>	401 120	142 18	128 15
<b>TSHE/VAAL/COCA</b>	MEAN 130 SD 34	<b>66</b> <b>41</b>	<b>52</b> <b>37</b>	349 113	135 22	125 24
<b>TSHE/VAAL-GASH</b>	MEAN 113 SD 39	67 55	57 50	396 116	123 23	117 19
<b>TSHE/ACTR</b>	MEAN 162 SD 50	116 59	<b>104</b> 48	402 136	139 21	120 19
<b>TSHE/BENE</b>	MEAN 152 SD 48	110 51	87 42	380 116	125 21	118 18
<b>TSHE/BENE-GASH</b>	MEAN 134 SD 48	99 52	84 39	381 137	127 21	117 16
<b>TSHE/GASH</b>	MEAN 118 SD 46	87 50	85 42	317 137	117 17	<b>100</b> 24
<b>TSHE/CONU/ACTR</b>	MEAN <b>123</b> SD 32	99 27	<b>90</b> <b>32</b>	420 91	135 12	129
<b>TSHE-PSME/HODI</b>	MEAN 107 SD 61	113 78	<b>100</b> 58	372 158	113 22	
<b>TSHE-PSME-AWE</b>	MEAN 92 SD 42	56 43	40 21	385 203	105 13	

Alaska huckleberry associations (**TSHE/VAAL/COCA** and **TSHE/VAAL-GASH**) display lower production than the other moist associations. These lower values may be due to the great age of our samples in these two types. At the other end of the moisture gradient lies another exception, Western **hemlock/Dogwood/Vanilla leaf (TSHE/CONU/ACTR)**. This association is quite dry and hot making regeneration difficult. However, once **established**, stands exhibit high productivity and stocking.

The geographically more widespread associations, Western **hemlock/Vanilla leaf (TSHE/ACTR)**, Western **hemlock/Foamflower (TSHE/TITR)**, and Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon **grape/Swordfern (TSHE/BENE/POMU)**, all have fairly high productive potential. The other two widespread **associations** are drier and less productive: Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon **grape-salal (TSHE/BENE-GASH)** and Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon grape (**TSHE/BENE**).

The more productive associations are generally those with a greater component of moist-site herbs and a lesser component of evergreen shrubs. These distributions are also related to soil depth and water-holding capacity. The upper slope positions are generally more rocky and do not receive sub-surface irrigation as do the lower slopes. Perhaps in deep soils tree roots spread out down in the soil allowing the surface soil to be utilized by herbs. Rocky soils have little usable soil volume so root competition is intense and the benefits of being evergreen (drought resistance and maximum utilization of nutrients) determine vegetation dominance.



# CHAPTER 3 KEYS TO FOREST ZONES AND PLANT ASSOCIATIONS

HOW TO USE THE KEYS	60
KEY TO FOREST ZONES	61
FOREST ZONE DESCRIPTIONS	62
KEY TO WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE ASSOCIATIONS	64
LIST OF PLANT SPECIES (TABLE 17)	66

**HOW TO USE  
THE KEYS**

The keys below are for use in relatively undisturbed, mature forest stands. A fairly homogeneous area should be used to determine plant associations, and care should be taken to avoid locating the area too close to a road, stand edge or other artificial phenomenon that would influence the species present. A good plot configuration for this purpose would be a roughly circular area between 40 and 50 feet in radius.

After selecting the plot area, a list of all species present (including trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants) should be made, and their percent cover recorded. Table 17 presents a list of all the plant species mentioned in this guide. Percent cover is determined by projecting the total crown perimeter for a species to a plane surface, then estimating the percent of the plot area it constitutes. Appendix 4 includes a helpful guide for visualizing percent cover.

After the plot area has been thoroughly examined, the results may be run through the keys that follow. In some stands, the canopy may be so dense that the understory may be severely limited. In such cases, relative dominance rather than actual cover percentages may be used to determine association.

A general key to forest zones of the entire Gifford Pinchot National Forest is provided. Brief descriptions of the Forest Zones is provided. See the plant association and management guide for the Pacific silver fir zone when you are in or near that zone (Brockway et. al 1983).

Appendix 5 includes a discussion of the relationship between Forest Zones and the working groups utilized in the current Gifford Pinchot National Forest comprehensive management planning process.

```
*****
*
* NOTE: ME KEY IS NOT ME CLASSIFICATION!!! *
*
* Before accepting the results of keying out *
* an association, be sure the vegetation de- *
* scription fits. If in doubt, consult *
* the species tables found in Appendix 3. *
*
*****
```

## KEY TO FOREST ZONES

- 1a Subalpine fir  $\geq 2\%$  cover in understory and  $\geq 5\%$  cover in canopy, discontinuous forest cover . . . . . Subalpine Fir Zone
- 1b Subalpine fir  $\leq 2\%$  cover in understory and  $< 5\%$  cover in canopy, continuous forest cover . . . . . 2
- 2a Mtn. hemlock  $\geq 2\%$  cover in understory or  $\geq 10\%$  cover in canopy, has continuous forest canopy . . . . . Mountain Hemlock Zone<sup>1</sup>
- 2b Mtn. hemlock  $< 2\%$  in understory and  $< 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . 3
- 3a Pacific silver fir  $\geq 2\%$  in understory or  $\geq 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . Pacific Silver Fir Zone<sup>1</sup>
- 3b Pacific silver fir  $< 2\%$  in understory and  $< 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . 4
- 4a Grand fir  $\geq 2\%$  cover in understory and  $\geq 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . 5
- 4b Grand fir  $< 2\%$  cover in understory and  $< 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . 6
- 5a West of Cascade crest, alluvial terrace: Western Hemlock Zone
- 5b East of Cascade crest or dry upland site on Wind River District: Grand Fir Zone<sup>2</sup>
- 6a Lodgepole pine  $\geq 2\%$  in understory and  $\geq 10\%$  in canopy, Lodgepole Pine Zone
- 6b Lodgepole pine  $< 2\%$  in understory and  $< 10\%$  in canopy . . . . . 7
- 7a western hemlock present . . . **Western Hemlock Zone: see page 64**
- 7b western hemlock absent: go to 1 and try key again with relaxed % values.  
If Douglas-fir cover is  $> 10\%$ ,  
try Western Hemlock Zone Key

1. See Brockway et. al 1983, Plant association and management guide for the Pacific Silver Fir Zone, Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

2. See Mt. Adams Ranger District Grand Fir Zone plant association draft guide (in preparation).

## FORESTZONE DESCRIPTIONS

### Western Hemlock Zone

This zone is moist and warm. It is ideal for the growth of trees. Dense stands of Douglas-fir invade following **catastroph**es. These stands include lesser amounts of red alder, western redcedar, **bigleaf** maple and western hemlock. Without further disturbance the Douglas-fir is replaced by western hemlock after many **centuries**. This zone responds most favorably to most management activities. It provides considerable **quantities** of timber and is of vital importance for many **wildlife** species and for high quality watersheds.

### Pacific Silver Fir Zone

Persistent winter snow packs help delimit this zone. It spans the gradient between the warm, moist Western Hemlock Zone and the very cold, moist Mountain Hemlock Zone. The forests are dominated by Douglas-fir and noble fir **following** large fires, but these species are eventually replaced by **Pacific** silver fir. This zone provides high values of many resources, but the prevailing cold climates dictate the type of management activities.

### Grand Fir Zone

This zone reflects **dry**, continental climates with extremes in temperature and moisture. It is highly productive **and** offers many opportunities for **wildlife**, recreation and timber utilization. The relatively dry climates dictate **different** management strategies than in the Western Cascade areas under the maritime climatic influence.

### Mountain Hemlock Zone

The harsh, high elevations include this zone. Most of the year snow-packs **prevail** and frost can occur at any time of the year. The forest canopy provides a general **ly** a **continuous** cover. **Biological** processes are slow and result in fragile ecosystems. The proximity to spectacular **alpine** areas and the relatively open understory characteristics of the forests make this zone a favorite for many recreationists.

### **Subalpine Fir Zone**

This zone includes the coldest and harshest forested sites near and at **tree line**. Snow and ice dominate the **climate** for much of the year. Trees generally exist in a **discontinuous distribution** of ribbons and patches interlaced with alpine meadows.

### **Lodgepole Pine Zone**

Many very different situations lead to the hostile environments for plant growth **which** characterize the Lodgepole Pine Zone. This zone is not at all widespread, but it offers special problems. It is found **in** sites which are either very frosty year-around, very droughty and nutrient poor (such as the Kaiama Mudflow and various recent lava flows) or very moist and cold (such as high elevation bogs).

## KEY TO WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE ASSOCIATIONS

See Table 1 and 17 for English names of plant association species codes.  
See Halverson et al. 1986 for photos and descriptions of these species.

1a Skunk-cabbage (LYAM) cover $\geq$ 2%	TSHE/LYAM	(p 70)
1b Skunk-cabbage cover < 2%		2
2a Devil's club (OPHO) cover $\geq$ 3%	TSHE/OPHO/POMU	(p 74)
2b Devil's club cover < 3%		.3
3a Lady fern (ATFI) cover $\geq$ 5%	TSHE/ATFI	(p 72)
3b Lady fern cover < 5%		4
4a Oregon oxalis (OXOR) cover $\geq$ 5%		5
4b Oregon oxalis cover < 5%		.6
5a Alaska huckleberry (VAAL) cover $\geq$ 3%	TSHE/VAAL/OXOR	(p 78)
5b Alaska huckleberry cover < 3%	TSHE/POMU-OXOR	(p 76)
6a Coolwort foamflower (TITR,=TIUN) plus inside-out flower (VAHE) cover $\geq$ 5%	TSHE/TITR	(p 80)
6b Coolwort foamflower & VAHE COVER < 5%		7
7a Alaska huckleberry (VAAL) cover $\geq$ 5%		8
7b Alaska huckleberry cover < 5%		9
8a Salal (GASH) cover $\geq$ 5%	TSHE/VAAL-GASH	(p 88)
8b Salal cover < 5%	TSHE/VAAL/COCA	(p 86)
9a Swordfern (POMU) cover $\geq$ 10%		10
9b Swordfern cover < 10%		11
10a Dwarf Oregon grape (BENE) cover $\geq$ 10%	TSHE/BENE/POMU	(p 84)
10b Dwarf Oregon grape cover < 10%	TSHE/POMU	(p 82)
11a Madrone (ARME) cover $\geq$ 2%	TSHE-PSME-ARME	(p 105)
11b Madrone cover < 2%		12
12a Oceanspray (HODI) cover $\geq$ 3%	TSHE-PSME/HODI	(p 102)
12b Oceanspray cover < 3%		13

KEY TO WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE ASSOCIATIONS (con't)

13a	Vanillaleaf (ACTR) cover $\geq$ 10%	14
13b	Vanillaleaf cover < 10%	15
14a	Dogwood (CONU) cover $\geq$ 10%	TSHE/CONU/ACTR (p 100)
14b	Dogwood cover < 10%	TSHE/ACTR (p 90)
15a	Salal (GASH) cover $\geq$ 10%	16
15b	Salal cover < 10%	17
16a	Dwarf Oregon grape (BENE) cover $\geq$ 10%	TSHE/BENE-GASH (p 95)
16b	Dwarf Oregon grape cover < 10%	TSHE/GASH (p 97)
17a	Mesic site herbs (ACTR+ADBI+ANDE+COCA+SMST+VAHE+TITR*) cover $\geq$ 5%	18
17b	(ACTR+ADBI+ANDE+COCA+SMST+VAHE+TITR*) cover < 5%	19
18a	Dogwood (CONU) cover $\geq$ 10%	TSHE/CONU/ACTR (p 100)
18b	Dogwood cover < 10%	TSHE/ACTR (p 90)
19a	Dwarf Oregon grape (BENE) cover $\geq$ 10%	TSHE/BENE (p 93)
19b	Dwarf Oregon grape < 10% : go back to #1 ease up on cover decision criteria use relative cover <b>instead</b> of absolute canopy cover	

If the plot does not fit the **association** description  
go back to the beginning of the key and check each choice  
careful ly. Be sure you have taken the **correct** dichotomy.

\* vanillaleaf (ACTR), pathfinder (ADBI), three-leaved anemone (ANDE)  
dogwood bunchberry (COCA), star-f lowered Sol omens seal (SMST),  
inside-out flower (VAHE), coolwort foamflower (TITR, =TIUN)

Table 17. List of plant species mentioned in this guidebook.

TRI CODE	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	INDICATOR VALUE
<b>TREES</b>			
ABAM*	<u>Abies amabilis</u>	Pacific silver fir	cool
ABGR*	<u>Abies grandis</u>	grand fir	warm, dry
ABLA2	<u>Abies lasiocarpa</u>	subalpine fir	very cold
ABPR	<u>Abies procera</u>	noble fir	cool
ACMA	<u>Acer macrophyllum</u>	bigleaf maple	warm
ALRU	<u>Alnus rubra</u>	red alder	moist, warm
ARME*	<u>Arbutus menziesii</u>	madrone (Pacific)	hot, dry
CONU*	<u>Cornus nuttallii</u>	dogwood (Pacific)	warm, dry
LAOC	<u>Larix occidentalis</u>	larch (tamarack)	cool
PICO	<u>Pinus contorta</u>	lodgepole pine	cold, dry
PIMO	<u>Pinus monticola</u>	western white pine	cool
POTR2	<u>Populus trichocarpa</u>	black cottonwood	moist (or cold)
PSME	<u>Pseudotsuga menziesii</u>	Douglas-fir	widespread
QUGA	<u>Quercus garryana</u>	Oregon white oak	hot, dry
TABR	<u>Taxus brevifolia</u>	Pacific yew	warm, ± dry
THPL	<u>Thuja plicata</u>	western redcedar	widespread
TSHE*	<u>Tsuga heterophylla</u>	western hemlock	widespread
TSME	<u>Tsuga mertensiana</u>	mountain hemlock	cold
<b>SHRUBS</b>			
ACCI	<u>Acer circinatum</u>	vine-maple	widespread
AMAL	<u>Amelanchier alnifolia</u>	serviceberry	hot, dry
ARUV	<u>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</u>	bearberry (kinnikinnick)	harsh
BENE*	<u>Berberis nervosa</u>	dwarf Oregon grape	widespread
CEVE	<u>Ceanothus velutinus</u>	snowberry, ceanothus	pioneer
CHUM	<u>Chimaphila umbellata</u>	prince's pine	
CONU*	<u>Cornus nuttallii</u>	dogwood (Pacific)	warm, dry
COCO2	<u>Corylus cornuta</u> var. <u>Californica</u>	California hazel	warm, dry
GASH*	<u>Gaultheria shallon</u>	salal	warm, dry
HODI*	<u>Holodiscus discolor</u>	oceanspray	hot, dry
JUCO4	<u>Juniperus communis</u>	common juniper	harsh
OECE	<u>Oemleria (Osmaronia)</u> <u>cerasiformis</u>	indian plum	warm
OPHO*	<u>Oplopanax horridum</u>	devil's club	wet
PAMY	<u>Pachystima myrsinites</u>	Oregon boxwood	widespread
RILA	<u>Ribes lacustre</u>	prickly currant	moist
ROGY	<u>Rosa gymnocarpa</u>	balduf rose	mesic, dry
RUSP	<u>Rubus spectabilis</u>	salmonberry	moist
RUUR	<u>Rubus ursinus</u>	trailing blackberry	mesic
SYMO	<u>Symphoricarpos mollis</u>	creeping snowberry	warm, dry
VAAL*	<u>Vaccinium alaskaense</u>	Alaska huckleberry	cool, moist
VAME	<u>Vaccinium membranaceum</u>	big huckleberry	cool
VAOV	<u>Vaccinium ovalifolium</u>	oval-leaf huckleberry	cool, moist
VAPA	<u>Vaccinium parvifolium</u>	red huckleberry	widespread

Table 17. continued

TRI CODE	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	INDICATOR VALUE
HERB15			
ACRU	<u>Actaea rubra</u>	baneberry	moist
ACTR*	<u>Achlys triphylla</u>	vanilla-leaf	widespread, mesic
ADBI*	<u>Adenocaulon bicolor</u>	pathfinder	warm, mesic-dry
ADPE	<u>Adiantum pedatum</u>	maidenhair fern	wet, warm
ANDE*	<u>Anemone deltoidea</u>	three-leaved anemone	widespread
ARMA3	<u>Arenaria macrophyllum</u>	bigleaf sandwort	dry
ASCA3	<u>Asarum caudatum</u>	wild ginger	moist
ATFI*	<u>Athyrium filix-femina</u>	lady fern	wet
BLSP	<u>Blechnum spicant</u>	deer fern	wet
CLUN	<u>Clintonia uniflora</u>	queencup beadlily	cool, mesic
COCA	<u>Cornus canadensis</u>	dogwood bunchberry	cool, mesic
DIFO	<u>Dicentra formosa</u>	bleeding heart	moist
DIHO	<u>Disporum hookeri</u>	fairybells	mesic
DRAU2	<u>Dryopteris austriaca</u>	wood fern	moist
GATR	<u>Gallium triflorum</u>	sweet-scented bedstraw	mesic
GYDR	<u>Gymnocarpium dryopteris</u>	oak fern	wet
HIAL	<u>Hieracium albiflorum</u>	white hawkweed	warm, dry
HYTE	<u>Hydrophyllum tenuipes</u>	Pacific waterleaf	wet
LAMU	<u>Lactuca muralis</u>	wall lettuce	warm, moist
LAPO	<u>Lathyrus polyphyllus</u>	leafy peavine	warm, dry
LIBO2	<u>Linnaea borealis</u>	twinflor	widespread, mesic
LYAM*	<u>Lysichitum americanum</u>	skunk-cabbage	wet
MAD12	<u>Maianthemum dilatatum</u>	false lily-of-the-valley	moist, cool
MIBR	<u>Mitella breweri</u>	Brewer mitrewort	moist, warm
MOSI	<u>Montia siberica</u>	Siberian montia	moist
OSCH	<u>Osmorhiza chilensis</u>	sweet cicely (mountain)	mesic
OXOR*	<u>Oxalis oregana</u>	Oregon oxalis	moist, warm
POMU*	<u>Polystichum munitum</u>	swordfern	mesic, widespread
PTAQ	<u>Pteridium aquilinum</u>	bracken fern	pioneer or dry
PYSE	<u>Pyrola secunda</u>	sidebells pyrola	cool to cold
SMRA	<u>Smilacina racemosa</u>	false Solomon's seal	mesic, warm
SMST	<u>Smilacina stellata</u>	star-flowered Solomon's seal	mesic
STCO4	<u>Stachys cooleyi</u>	betony	wet
TITR*=TIUN	<u>Tiarella trifoliata</u>	coolwort foamflower	cool, moist
TOME	<u>Tolmiea menziesii</u>	piggy-back plant	warm, moist
TRLA2	<u>Tridentalis latifolia</u>	starflower	mesic
TROV	<u>Trillium ovatum</u>	trillium	mesic
VAHE*	<u>Vancouveria hexandra</u>	inside-out flower	moist, mesic
VICIA	<u>Vicia</u> species	vetch	dry, warm
VIOR2	<u>Viola orbiculata</u>	vetch violet	mesic
WISE	<u>Viola sempervirens</u>	redwoods violet	mesic
XETE	<u>Xerophyllum tenax</u>	beargrass	cool, dry

\* species used in the key to plant associations in this guide



# CHAPTER 4 PLANT ASSOCIATION DESCRIPTIONS

WESTERN HEMLOCK/SKUNK-CABBAGE	70
WESTERN HEMLOCK/LADYFERN	72
WESTERN HEMLOCK/DEVIL'S CLUB/SWORD FERN	74
WESTERN HEMLOCK/SWORD FERN-OREGON OXALIS	76
WESTERN HEMLOCK/ALASKA HUCKLEBERRY/OREGON OXALIS	78
WESTERN HEMLOCK/COOLWORT FOAMFLOWER	80
WESTERN HEMLOCK/ISWORDFERN	82
WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE/ISWORDFERN	84
WESTERN HEMLOCK/ALASKA HUCKLEBERRY/ DOGWOOD BUNCHBERRY	86
WESTERN HEMLOCK/ALASKA HUCKLEBERRY-SALAL	88
WESTERN HEMLOCK/VANILLA-LEAF	90
WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE	93
WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE-SALAL	95
WESTERN HEMLOCK/SALAL	97
WESTERN HEMLOCK/DOGWOOD/VANILLA-LEAF	100
WESTERN HEMLOCK-DOUGLAS-FIR/OCEANSPRAY	102
WESTERN HEMLOCK-DOUGLAS-FIR-MADRONE	105

TSHE/LYAM  
CHM1-21

WESTERN HEMLOCK/SKUNK-CABBAGE  
*Tsuga heterophylla/Lysichitum americanum*

### Structure and Composition

This association is found in very wet sites, and is rich in moisture-loving herbaceous species. The dominants are lady-fern, skunk cabbage, **betony**, a variety of sedges and rushes, wild ginger and piggy-back plant. The major shrub is vine maple. The canopy is generally a mix of **Douglas-fir**, red alder, western hemlock and western redcedar. Species **composition** in all layers (**overstory**, shrubs and herbs) varies considerably from site to site, as this group is somewhat of a "catch-all" for swampy Western Hemlock Zone sites. A notable feature of this **association** is the open, broken canopy, caused by a combination of disease-related top damage, windthrow and treeless patches of standing water. Summary vegetation data are in Appendix 3.

Often the **TSHE/LYAM** association is either transitional to non-forest wetland, or represents small "pockets" of swampy conditions within a larger, more **mesic** area.

### Environment and Distribution

The **TSHE/LYAM** association occurs in the wettest parts of the Western Hemlock Zone. It also occurs on the Mt. Hood National Forest (**Halverson et al. 1986**). It is found at moderate elevations in riparian areas, such as alluvial bottoms or other wet, poorly-drained sites. There may be standing water, and sites tend to have a very high **organic** matter content with peat-like surface layers.

### Productivity and Management

The **TSHE/LYAM** association represents moderate to low **productivity**. Stocking, **standing** volume and volume growth are generally lower than average (Table 18, 16 and App. 2).

**Because** these sites are excessively moist, decreased productivity due to soil erosion and/or compaction can result from ground disturbance. In addition, poorly aerated soils with high organic material content may be difficult to reforest following logging. Such soils are not only physically hard to work in, but may have chemical **conditions** unfavorable to the growth of Douglas-fir. In addition, shallow rooting may contribute to a greater

potential for windthrow in certain sites. Western redcedar, red alder or black cottonwood should be used for reforestation where "swampy" conditions prevail.

TSHE/LYAM sites frequently occur as small patches within continuous western hemlock zone stands of upland associations. This pattern diversity leads to very high wildlife diversity. These moist patches may have far greater value than their small size suggests because many moisture-requiring species, such as amphibians, can use these sites as safe home bases from which they may forage into the nearby upland associations.

### Similar Associations

The TSHE/LYAM association is easily distinguished from other riparian types by the presence of skunk-cabbage. It is not likely to be confused with any other associations. The Western Hemlock/ Lady fern (TSHE/ATF I) association is also very moist but lacks the excessive water which characterizes the skunk-cabbage sites.

TSHE/LYAM has not been formally described in previous western Cascade plant association studies.

Table 18. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/LYAM

species	Site index' (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	120	-	23	22	408	294	124	-	n.d.	n.d.
Western redcedar	102	-	27	-	812	-	113	-	75	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western redcedar, Hegyl et al. 1981.

2. Hall 1983.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

TSHE/ATFI  
CHF4-21

**WESTERN HEMLOCK/LADYFERN**  
**Tsuga heterophylla/Athyrium filix-femina**

**Structure and composition**

Ferns dominate the vegetation of this association. Lady fern is always present and may cover the forest floor along with swordfern. The species diversity of herbs is very high, including such moisture-loving species as deerfern, piggy-back, maidenhair fern, and Siberian montia. Shrub cover is low, especially considering how lush these sites are for plant growth. Tree canopies are also diverse in both species composition and in individual ages. Red alder is commonly abundant and may persist even in old stands. Vegetation data are summarized in Appendix 3.

**Environment and Distribution**

This association occupies very wet sites where soils almost never dry out. These sites are fairly cool, frequently on north aspects and on lower portions of slopes or bottom-lands. Cold air drainage from nearby highlands affects these environments. Most of our plots were in the Clear Creek and Cedar Flats area of Mt. St. Helens N.V.M. and in the north portion of **Packwood** Ranger **District**. Soils may be deep and were frequently **dominated** by **tephra** from recent volcanic events. The lushness is due to both very high incident rainfall as well as considerable sub-surface irrigation enhanced by the lower topographic positions so typical of this association.

**Productivity and Management**

The extremely abundant moisture found in Western Hemlock/Lady fern sites leads to very high productivity of all plants. Douglas-fir growth is **rapid** and may **continue** to old age, **leading to** majestic old-growth stands. These stands include sub-dominant trees which also express **high timber productivity**, including western **redcedar** and red alder. Timber value of these stands is very high but the continually wet soils make careful management necessary. Soil compaction can readily occur any time of the year if heavy machinery makes repeated passes over the same ground. Brush **invasion** following disturbance should be expected to be rapid and vigorous leading to dense stands of salmonberry. Selective logging practices should be considered wherever this **association** is found. This is because of the fragile nature of these **sites** as well as

their very high wildlife value. Shade tolerant species of conifers, such as western redcedar and western hemlock, should be considered in reforestation activities as well as for their growth as advance regeneration following selective harvest.

The moist patches represented by this association have high value for many species of wildlife. Winter range use is high and forage quality and availability is substantial throughout the year. During summer drought the dampness of these sites may serve a very valuable function for small animals by providing refuges from desiccation. It is likely that the high plant species diversity also helps maintain a high diversity of animals.

### Similar Associations

TSHE/ATFI has not been previously described in the western Cascades of Oregon or Washington. Similar associations do exist however. The Western Hemlock/ Devil's club/ Swordfern type on the G.P. is also very moist and productive, but it has a very substantial shrub composition from a variety of species. Other moist site associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest are better drained than TSHE/ATFI. These include Western Hemlock/ Swordfern-Oregon oxalis (TSHE/POMU-OXOR), Western Hemlock/ Alaska huckleberry/ Oregon oxalis (TSHE/VAAL/OXOR), Western Hemlock/ Foamflower (TSHE/TITR), and Western Hemlock/ Swordfern (TSHE/POMU). None of these types are as persistently moist as TSHE/ATFI and they lack the high cover values for lady fern. The Western Hemlock/ Skunk cabbage association (TSHE/LYAM) is swampy and has such high soil water levels as to severely restrict tree growth.

Table 19. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/ATFI

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 1 D-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sub>2</sub> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	166	19	19	9	519	224	190	27	59	29
Western hemlock	124	-	12	-	350	-	177	-	81	-
Western redcedar	153 <sup>5</sup>	-	8	-	477	-	195	-	38	-
Red alder	110 <sup>5</sup>	-	27	-	673	-	135	-	73	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981; Red alder, Worthington et al. 1960.
2. Hall 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.
5. site Index base age 50

TSHE/OPHO/POMU  
CHS5-24

WESTERN HEMLOCK/DEVIL'S CLUB/SWORD FERN  
*Tsuga heterophylla*/*Oplopanax horridum*/*Polystichum munitum*

### Structure and Composition

The Western **Hemlock/Devil's Club/Swordfern Association** occurs in very moist sites where **soils remain** saturated throughout the year. It is characterized by **devil's club** and a suite of other **moisture-loving** plant species. These may include Oregon **oxalis**, coolwort, foamflower and inside-out flower. Besides the high cover of swordfern, several **other** fern species are common and occasionally abundant. These **moist-site** indicator ferns are deerfern, maidenhair fern, lady fern, oak fern, and wood fern. Vine maple is abundant. The wet soils, the overall herb richness, as **well** as the **shrubby** character are what **give** this association its distinctive lushness. Complete vegetation data for this association are presented in Appendix 3.

The tree canopies found in this association are about equally composed of Douglas-fir and western hemlock. **Red** alder, western **redcedar** and **bigleaf** maple are occasionally found. In general, the canopies are **fairly** open, reflecting the water-logged nature of **these** sites. **Some** of the largest trees found on the G.P. occur in old-growth stands of this association.

### Environment and Distribution

The characteristic wetness of sites with this association generally has three causes: proximity to water, exceedingly high rainfall or impeded soil drainage. Our sample plots are either near the western edge of the G.P. where rainfall **is** highest or in lower slope and **bottom** topographic positions. **Devil's club/swordfern** sites may occur on any aspect or any slope steepness. **Typical** locations include slopes near watercourses or in heavy rainfall areas, or flat areas with impeded drainage. **TSHE/OPHO/POMU** is most frequent in low areas of the **Cispus River** Valley.

### Productivity and Management

The Western Hemlock/Devil's **Club/Swordfern** association is among the most productive on the G.P. It **is** unlikely that water is ever limiting, even during summer drought. In fact, production may be reduced where water-saturated, oxygen-poor soils **exist**. Site index (at 100 years,

McArdle) for Douglas-fir averaged 172 feet, the highest value of any **association** in this forest zone (see Tables 20 and 16 and Appendix 2). Although the total basal **area** and tree canopy cover found in this association is fairly low, the trees that do find suitable **microsites** grow rapidly and may attain large size.

This association clearly indicates that a site is excessively wet and prone to compaction and erosion if disturbed. Because of the high productivity of these sites, intensive management is tempting, yet substantial long-term reductions in productivity are possible because of fragile **soils**. Clearcuts should only be used with great care in this association, it may be better to **exercise** selective harvesting methods. Road-building in the Western hemlock/ Devil's club/Swordfern association also needs to be conducted with due regard for the fragile nature of the wet soils. When clearcut, reforestation should proceed with speed because red alder is especially likely to dominate these sites. Mountain beaver may also be abundant and can slow reforestation success. This association is heavily used by both deer and elk, including some of the heaviest winter range use. There is an abundance of quality forage, and the complex, multi-storied **canopies** provide exceptional hiding cover for **big** game. Small areas of standing water are common and provide **wildlife habitat** for many species. The Western **Hemlock/Devil's Club/Swordfern** Association has the highest wildlife value of any forest zone **association** in the western hemlock zone.

### Similar Associations

Western hemlock/Lady fern association is very **similar** and also has persistently moist **soils**, it is not so **shrubby** as TSHE/OPHO/POMU. The TSHE/POMU-OXOR, TSHE/POMU and TSHE/TITR associations are also **moist site** types, but they do not **indicate** such excessive moisture and they all lack **devil's club**.

The Western **Hemlock/Devil's Club/Swordfern** Association is closely allied with other forest communities having prominent **devil's club** cover described by other authors.. it is very much like the **Pacific Silver Fir/Devil's Club** Association previously described for the Gifford Pinchot N.F. (Brockway et al. 1983) except that the absence of **silver** fir indicates a much warmer environment. The Western **Hemlock/Devil's Club Association** described in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979) is nearly identical to **this** type, except that in Mt. Rainier more oak fern and **queencup** are indicative of a slightly cooler

Table 20. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/OPHO/POMU

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 1 D-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-hemlock	125	25	15	7	539	384	198	29	32	46
Western redcedar	134	-	16	9	532	-	164	-	28	50

1. Indexed to age 100, References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyl et al. 1981.
2. Hal I 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity In Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1963.

climate. The Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie N.F. probably has the exact same association as this on the G.P. N.F. The Mt. Hood N.F. (Halverson et al. 1986) has Western Hemlock/Devil's Club/Oregon Oxalis and Western Hemlock/Devil's Club/Alaska Huckleberry associations which are very much like the devil's club/swordfern type on the G.P. N.F. The Western Hemlock/Devil's Club Association on the Willamette N.F. (Hemstrom et al. 1985) differs slightly from this on the G.P. In that it lacks oak fern and Alaska huckleberry.

TSHE/POMU-OXOR  
CHF 1-24

WESTERN HEMLOCK/SWORD FERN-OREGON OXALIS

*Tsuga heterophylla*/*Polystichum munitum*-*Oxalis oregana*

### Structure and Composition

This association is probably the most visually appealing in of the Western Hemlock Zone. Old-growth stands of this association have huge, widely-spaced Douglas-firs, western hemlocks and western redcedars towering over a lush carpet of Oregon oxalis interspersed with swordfern. The shrub layer is usually sparse, consisting of an occasional vine maple, dwarf Oregon grape or red huckleberry. In the herb layer, other moist-site species may occur in addition to Oregon oxalis: mountain woodfern, deerfern, ladyfern, starry solomonseal, coolwort foamflower, Inside-out flower and fairybells. Appendix 3 summarizes vegetation data.

## Environment and Distribution

The TSHE/POMU-OXOR association is found at low to mid-elevations in the Western Hemlock zone on sites with abundant **moisture** and productive **soils**. Many of our plots with this association were in alluvial areas or moist toe slopes where fine soil **particles** and nutrients collect. Effective rooting depth and forest floor thickness both tend to be greater than average. in **general**, this association occurs on flatter slopes, although exceptions are found. It occurs mainly on the western edge of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest where rainfall is high.

## Productivity and Management

The TSHE/POMU-OXOR association generally indicates productive, easy-to-manage sites. it has similarly high timber productivities as the other moist site Western Hemlock Zone associations. Productivity statistics for this association are found in Tables 21 and 16 and Appendix 2.

The presence of this association generally indicates **conditions** favorable for most management **activities**. **Moisture** is usually abundant, and soils tend to be deep, adequately drained, and fertile. An abundance of **deerfern** in **stands** of this association may indicate local areas of high water table, where the **risk** of soil erosion and compaction is high.

Table 21. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/POMU-OXOR

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SC	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	157	18	14	5	463	127	176	26	64	43
Western hemlock	145	18	18	6	527	199	218	35	90	46
Western redcedar	141	7	28	10	706	119	170	10	63	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981.
2. Hal I 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

## Similar Associations

The **TSHE/VAAL/OXOR** (Western hemlock/Alaska huckleberry/Oregon oxalis) association has significantly more Alaska huckleberry than the **TSHE/POMU-OXOR** association, as well as more moist-site herbs besides Oregon oxalis. It is less productive and is restricted to the extreme western edge of the Forest.

In the **TSHE/OPHO/OXOR** (Western hemlock/Devil's club/Oregon oxalis) association, devil's club is present. It occurs on poorly-drained sites.

The **TSHE/BENE/POMU** (Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon grape/Swordfern) and **TSHE/POMU** (Western hemlock/Swordfern) associations lack Oregon oxalis, may occur on steeper, rockier sites, and are quite widespread on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

**TSHE/POMU-OXOR** is common on the Mt. Hood National Forest (Halverson et al. 1986) and was described in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (Dyrness et al. 1974). An analogous association occurs on the Willamette National Forest (Hemstrom et al. 1985).

**TSHE/VAAL/OXOR**      **WESTERN HEMLOCK/ALASKA HUCKLEBERRY/OREGON OXALIS**  
**CHS6-13**              **Tsuga heterophylla/Vaccinium alaskaense/Oxalis oregana**

## Structure and Composition

The vegetation of this association consists of a fairly dense shrub layer of Alaska huckleberry over a carpet of Oregon oxalis. Dwarf Oregon grape, vine maple, salal and red huckleberry also occur frequently. In the herb layer small amounts of deerfern, dogwood bunchberry, coolwort foamf lower and swordfern are common. The overstory is a mix of western hemlock and Douglas-fir. Vegetation data are summarized in Appendix 3.

## Environment and Distribution

This association is found in moist, cool sites with productive soils. It is very infrequent on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. It is found at the western edge of the Forest and in the lower parts of the Mineral Block on Randle Ranger District. **TSHE/VAAL/OXOR** is widespread in the Bull Run drainage of the Mt. Hood National Forest (Halverson et al. 1986).

Table 22. Timber Productivity Statistics • TSHE/VAAL/OXOR

Species	site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	136	13	15	6	437	114	147	18	53	43
Western hemlock	121	17	14	5	444	104	170	32	67	53
Western redcedar	196	38	22	11	804	803	n.d.	54	32	26

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyl et al. 1981; Noble fir, Herman et al. 1978.

2. Hall 1963.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

## Productivity and Management

Productivity in this association is moderate to high, although standing volume is low compared to other Western Hemlock Zone associations (Tables 22 and 16 and App. 2).

The presence of **this association** generally indicates conditions favorable for most management activities. **Moisture** is abundant, and soils tend to be deep, adequately drained, and fertile. An abundance of **deerfern** in stands with this **association** may indicate local areas of high water table, where the risk of soil erosion and compaction is high.

## Similar Associations

The TSHE/POMU-OXOR (Western hemlock/Swordfern-Oregon oxalis) association is floristically similar to the TSHE/VAAL/OXOR association and to some extent their geographic distribution overlaps. But the TSHE/POMU-OXOR association lacks Alaska huckleberry and is less likely to have other moist-site herbs besides Oregon oxalis. It is also more productive and extends to lower elevations. The TSHE/VAAL/OXOR association probably indicates a cooler environment. It has not been formally described in previous western Cascade plant association studies.

The TSHE/VAAL/COCA (Western hemlock/Alaska huckleberry/Dogwood bunchberry) association lacks Oregon oxalis, is less productive and is more widespread in cool sites throughout the Western Hemlock Zone.

TSHE/TITR  
CHF2-22

WESTERN HEMLOCK/COOLWORT FOAMFLOWER  
*Tsuga heterophylla/Tiarella trifoliata*

### Structure and Composition

The Western **Hemlock/Coolwort** Foamflower **Association** is lush with moss and herbaceous plants. Douglas-fir dominates the canopy, but western hemlock is also abundant, including high cover in the regeneration layer. Western **redcedar** is prominent. True firs, including Pacific silver, noble and grand, are found sporadically. Shrubs, except for moderate vine-maple cover, are relatively unimportant in this association. The herb layer usually includes swordfern; oak fern, deer fern and lady fern are **occasionally** seen. Coolwort foamflower (either the 1-leaved (**TIUN**) or 3-leaved variety) is the dominant understory plant species. Other prevalent herbs are vanilla-leaf, inside-out flower, **trillium**, twinflower and dogwood bunchberry. Oregon oxalis is absent. See Appendix 3 for complete vegetation data for this association.

### Environment and Distribution

This plant association indicates moist sites with adequate drainage, which are on the cooler end of the **spectrum** of the Western Hemlock Series. Two thirds of our plots occurred at elevations lower than 2000 feet. **TSHE/TITR** is particularly abundant in the low elevation portions of Mt. St. Helens N. V. M. and in the area near the western Forest boundary. It occurs on gentle slopes and benches. Table 2 summarizes **physiographic** data for this association. Soils are usually fairly deep, frequently dominated by tephra from Mt. St. Helens and Mt. Rainier (see Table 4).

### Productivity and Management

**This** is among the most productive plant associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. **Douglas-fir** and western hemlock **site** indices averaged 163 and 127 feet (see Tables 23 and 16 and Appendix 2). Western **redcedar** displayed high **productivity** which was maintained even by old trees.

Intensive forestry practices will be rewarded with high yields. Though these sites are fairly robust, the terrific productivity is an important resource which deserves extra protection from damage by careless **operations**. Though the **moist soil** is **adequately** drained, there may be periods when excessive moisture could allow **soil** damage to occur

Table 23. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/TITR

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	163	15	13	6	564	157	185	21	50	36
Western hemlock	127	17	14	6	564	221	182	34	69	55
Western redcedar	135	28	13	3	694	318	165	44	39	29

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981.

2. Hal 1 1983.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

from heavy machinery. Reforestation should be routine when tree planting closely follows harvest. Slash burning may be conducted as needed for fuel management. The cool and moist environment suggests that overall wildfire dangers are low in this association. This association provides an excellent opportunity for the management of western redcedar on the G.P. The herb-rich character and abundant hiding cover also indicate very high wildlife values. This deserves particular attention in the southern portions of the National Forest (south of the Lewis River) where this association is less common on the landscape.

### Similar Associations

The Western Hemlock/Coolwort Foamflower Association is floristically similar to its analog in the Pacific silver fir zone, ABAM/TIUN, although the presence of Pacific silver fir indicates a colder environment (see Brockway et al. 1983). The substantial amount of coolwort foamflower and the near absence of devil's club and Oregon oxalis distinguish this type from the TSHE/OPHO/POMU and TSHE/POMU-OXOR associations. TSHE/TITR lacks the abundance of very moist site ferns found in the Western hemlock/ Lady fern (TSHE/ATFI) association.

The Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie N.F. has a similar TSHE zone association (Henderson and Peter 1981b), but on-going work may demonstrate a slightly different character. TSHE/TITR associations have not been described in Oregon (Halverson et al. 1986, Hemstrom et al. 1985) or in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979). In British Columbia, a very similar grouping, the Tiael I a-Pol yst ichum Biogeocoenotic Association, is also extremely productive (Klinka et al. 1981).

TSHE/POMU

CHF1-25

WESTERN HEMLOCK/SWORDFERN

*Tsuga heterophylla/Polystichum munitum*

### Structure and Composition

The Western **Hemlock/Swordfern** Association includes warm and moist sites which have substantial herb cover dominated by swordfern. Species Indicative of more moist associations, such as **devil's club**, Oregon **oxalis** and coolwort foamflower may be present, but in low abundance. Most of the fairly dense overstory is Douglas-fir, often associated with western hemlock, **bigleaf** maple, red alder and western redcedar. This is one of the best associations for **bigleaf** maple growth. Except for fairly substantial vine-maple cover, the shrub layer is usually not dense. Dwarf Oregon grape is present in small amounts. Red huckleberry and trailing blackberry also frequently occur. **Salal**, dogwood, Alaska huckleberry and oceanspray are occasionally present in very small amounts. Besides swordfern, three-leaved anemone, inside-out flower, sweet-scented bedstraw, trillium and pathfinder sometimes cover fairly large areas. Wet site ferns (oak fern, deer fern, lady fern,) may exist sporadically. Appendix 3 summarizes vegetation data representative of this association.

### Environment and Distribution

This association is found on moist sites which may receive some sub-surface irrigation, a reflection of the concave, lower slope positions it most commonly occupies. We found it mainly at low elevations, away from the western edge of the Forest. Slope steepness on our plots averaged 40%, but this association also may occur in flat areas near streams. Physiographic data are summarized in Table 2. Steeper sites may have some bare ground caused by colluvial action.

### Productivity and Management

This is another of the moist-site, high-productivity plant associations of the western hemlock zone. Douglas-fir site index (100 years, **McArdle**) averaged 161 feet. Tables 24 and 16 and Appendix 2 summarize productivity data from our plots.

The presence of seeps or super-saturated soils indicates that land-managers need to be cautious concerning soil

Table 24. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/POMU

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 1 0-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	161	19	14	6	504	161	182	30	65	58
Western hemlock	137	30	13	5	431	169	202	37	38	19
Western redcedar	125		25	12	936	6%	150	48	33	14

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western redcedar, Hegy I et al. 1981.

2. Hal I 1983.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstran 1983.

compact ion or erosion due to heavy equipment or road-building. Because of the abundant moisture, red alder may become readily established on clear cuts, so prompt reforestation is a must. The general presence of deep soils and rapidly decomposing forest floor layers suggests that slash burning should have little effect on long-term productivity. The Western Hemlock/Swordfern Association provides great opportunities for intensive forest management. Tree improvement, fertilization, pre-commercial and commercial thinning are all especially appropriate when managing this association because the high timber production potential suggests that a high return on investment is likely. Because big leaf maple and western redcedar are well represented in natural stands, sites with this association should play an important role for the long-term maintenance of these species on the Forest.

### Similar Associations

The TSHE/POMU association is similar to the other moist-site types described in this paper (Western hemlock/Devil's club/Swordfern, Western hemlock/Lady fern, Western hemlock/Swordfern-Oregon oxalis, Western hemlock/Coolwort foamflower) but it lacks an abundance of Devil's club, lady fern, Oregon oxalis or cool wort foamflower.

Similar plant associations exist on moist sites throughout much of western Washington and Oregon. Similarly named associations, which are nearly identical to our TSHE/POMU, are found in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979), on the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie (Henderson and Peter 1981b), Willamette (Hemstrom et al. 1985) and Sluslaw (Hemstrom and Logan 1984) National Forests, and on the H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest (Dyrness et al. 1974). The TSHE/POMU-MTH association found on the Mt. Hood National Forest (Halverson et al. 1986) has a substantially lower productive potential. It occurs primarily on steep, rocky slopes and includes several plant species indicative of drier environments than TSHE/POMU occupies on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

TSHE/BENE/POMU

CHS1-26

WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE/SWORDFERN

*Tsuga heterophylla/Berberis nervosa/Polystichum munitum*

### Structure and Composition

This association has an herb layer dominated by swordfern and a fairly dense shrub layer of vine maple and dwarf Oregon grape. Some drier site shrubs (salal, baldhip rose and creeping snowberry) are common. The canopy is dominated by Douglas-fir, with substantial amounts of western hemlock, bigleaf maple and western redcedar. The herb layer frequently includes pathfinder, starf lower, trillium, and vanilla-leaf. The occasional appearance of true dry site shrubs (California hazel and oceanspray) and the near absence of moist-site species (devil's club, Oregon oxalis, coolwort foamflower, oak fern) clearly separates this association from TSHE/POMU and the other moist-site Western Hemlock Zone associations. Complete vegetation data are displayed in Appendix 3.

### Environment and Distribution

This association is found on moderately moist and warm sites which are very well drained. Lower elevations (< 2000 feet) with middle or lower slope positions predominate. Three-fourths of our plots in this association occurred on slopes greater than 30% grade. Table 2 summarizes physiographic information for this association. This association is widespread, but is especially abundant in the central portions (in an east-west direction) of the Western Hemlock Zone on the Gifford Pinchot and Mt. Hood National Forests.

### Productivity and Management

This association is quite productive for timber. Western hemlock site indices were lower than Douglas-fir, though on those plots where we sampled both species, the western hemlock was of a considerably younger age class. For all plots, site index (at 100 years) averaged 142 feet for Douglas-fir and 128 feet for western hemlock. Stocking and productivity indices fall in the mid-ground of the western hemlock zone plant associations (see Tables 25 and 13 and Appendix 2 for complete summaries).

In general, this association includes sites which should respond favorably to careful timber management practices. Potential problems may result from the steep and somewhat

Table 25. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/BENE/POMU

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>1</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	142	18	12	5	401	120	155	25	49	44
Western hemlock	128	15	12	5	380	152	184	29	40	15
Western redcedar	98	17	10	5	381	75	105	38	36	32

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981.

2. Hal I 1983.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

unstable slopes which frequently occur. Full I-suspension logging systems and extra-careful road placement are warranted. Excessive soil moisture will limit logging operations only during brief periods of the year. Reforestation practices aimed at reducing the evaporative demand of seedlings, such as early spring planting and shade cards, may be required where this association occurs on steep, south-facing slopes. Bigleaf maple is common, so this association provides good opportunities for its management and conservation.

### Similar Associations

The TSHE/BENE/POMU association is very similar to the TSHE/POMU association found on the G. P. NF, but the former includes a much greater abundance of species characteristic of mesic or dry sites. These species include dwarf Oregon grape (BENE), salal (GASH), baldhip rose (ROGY), and creeping snowberry (SYMO). TSHE/BENE/POMU also has consistently lower timber productivity than TSHE/POMU. The TSHE/POMU-MTH association found on the Mt. Hood National Forest is closely related and floristically very similar to TSHE/BENE/POMU, but it lacks dwarf Oregon grape and moist-site herbs (Halverson et al. 1986).

The TSHE/BENE/POMU association appears to exist with very similar characteristics on the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest (Henderson, personal communication). It has not been described in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979) nor does it occur on the Willamette National Forest (Hemstrom, personal communication). Other Oregon National Forests also lack this association. The TSHE/ACCI/POMU type on the Siuslaw N.F. is somewhat similar but has a paucity of dwarf Oregon grape and occurs on moister sites (Hemstrom and Logan 1986).

### Structure and Composition

This association has an abundance of huckleberry **species**, including Alaska, oval-leaf, red, and big-leaf huckleberry. Dogwood bunchberry and twinflower were present in nearly all of our plots. The canopy is dominated by Douglas-fir in younger stands and western hemlock in stands over 100 years **old**. Western **redcedar** is very common and Pacific silver **fir** may be found. In addition to the abundant huckleberry cover, the shrub layer is dominated by vine-maple and lesser amounts of dwarf Oregon grape and **baldfire** rose. **Saial** is common but occurs only in small amounts. Herb cover is fairly high, including dogwood bunchberry, twinflower, **queencup** beadlily, foamflower and vanilla-leaf. Fern species other than swordfern are almost entirely absent. Mosses cover is quite substantial. Vegetation data are summarized in Appendix 3.

### Environment and Distribution

This association is in the cooler portion of the Western Hemlock Zone and may intergrade with the Pacific Silver **Fir** Zone. Moisture conditions are moderately high. Nearly half of **our** plots in this association on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest were found above 2500 feet in elevation (see Table 2). This association has a limited distribution, but usually is found on flat benches or lower slopes. It also may be found on alluvial plains or colluvial toe slopes. Cold air accumulates on these sites and may account for the presence of this association at lower **elevations** within the Western Hemlock Zone. The forest floor usually has intermediate surface organic matter accumulations typical of cooler **environments** (see Table 5). The cool, moist environment may also be responsible for the preponderance of old-growth stand conditions found in this association, demonstrating a **low** susceptibility to wildfires.

### Productivity and Management

Relative to the rest of the Western Hemlock Zone, this association has intermediate potential **productivity**. Site index (**at** 100 years) for Douglas-fir was 135 feet and 125 feet for western hemlock. Stocking and productivity indices were in the lower midrange for this forest zone: stand density index was 399, **Douglas-fir** growth basal area was 349

Table 26. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/VAAL/COCA

Species	Site index' (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth, Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	135	22	9	9	349	113	146	31	18	18
Western hemlock	125	24	5	4	375	153	178	47	34	28
Noble fir	131	-	-	-	347	-	160	-	n.d.	n.d.

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Noble fir, Herman et al. 1978.
2. Hal I 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

ft<sup>2</sup>/acre, and total basal area averaged only 283 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre. Tables 26 and 16 and Appendix 2 summarize productivity data for this association.

The likelihood of cool temperatures should be taken into account when managing sites with this association. Frost could cause reforestation problems when clear cut unit design results in impeded cold air drainage.

Silviculturists should consider using planting stock from the next highest elevation seed zone, particularly when this association is encountered at low elevations (< 2000 feet). Otherwise, these sites should be able to respond favorably to most normal management regimes. Because the forest floors contain a larger amount of the soil organic matter than in other Western Hemlock Zone associations, extra caution in slash burning and machine piling following logging is needed.

### Similar Associations

This association is similar to the other Alaska huckleberry dominated association described in this paper (TSHE/VAAL-GASH), but TSHE/VAAL/COCA has a more abundant herb layer and lacks an abundance of drier-site shrubs such as salal or dwarf Oregon grape. TSHE/VAAL/COCA has considerable floristic similarity to the Pacific silver fir/Alaska huckleberry association (Brockway et al. 1983), but is found in warmer sites and is less widespread. The Mount Hood (Halverson et al. 1986), Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie (Henderson and Peter 1981b) and Willamette (Hemstrom et al. 1985) National Forests also have this association. TSHE/VAAL/COCA was not described in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979).

TSHENAAL-GASH  
CHS6-14

WESTERN HEMLOCK/ALASKA HUCKLEBERRY/SALAL  
*Tsuga heterophylla/Vaccinium alaskaense Gaultheria shallon*

### Structure and Composition

This association has an abundance of huckleberry species, especially Alaska huckleberry and red huckleberry. Oval-leaf and big-leaf huckleberry also are very frequent. The substantial cover of **salal** is diagnostic. Dwarf Oregon grape, vine-maple, **baldhip** rose, and **prince's** pine are the other common shrubs. The canopy is dominated by Douglas-fir and western hemlock, but species typical of higher elevations also occur, including **Pacific** silver fir, noble fir and western white pine. The herb layer is usually sparse. The most common species are twi lowet-, dogwood bunchberry, beargrass, and bracken fern. Swordfern is in two thirds of the plots, but in only trace amounts. Moist site ferns (deer, oak, lady ferns) are **nearl**y absent. Beargrass is more abundant in this association than elsewhere in the Western Hemlock Zone on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Complete vegetation data are presented in Appendix 3.

### Environment and Distribution

This association includes sites with moderate moisture conditions **whic**h are **fairly** cool for the Western Hemlock Zone. It is most **common** on gentle slopes and *ridges* which have undulating or concave microtopography. Drainage of both cold air and water are adequate. The presence of some of the lowest elevation **Pacific silver** fir suggests that **this** association can have colder climates than **elevation** alone would indicate. It is not a very common association, and mainly occurs on the western **portion** of the **Wind** River Ranger District and **withi**n the Bull Run River drainage on the Mt. Hood National Forest. Physiographic data for this association are summarized in Table 2.

### Productivity and Management

Compared to **most** of the rest of the Western Hemlock Zone, this association has relatively low forest productivity. Douglas-fir site index (at 100 years, **McArdle**) was lower than all but the driest of the western hemlock zone types; It averaged 123 feet. Western hemlock is quite abundant and nearly as productive as Douglas-fir. It had an average site index (at 100 years) of 117 feet. On the one plot where we encountered noble fir we found it to have excellent growth.

Table 27. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/VAAL-GASH

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current IO-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at <sup>3</sup> Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
	126									46
Douglas-fir	117	23	8	2	29%	126	169	33	36	21
Noble fir	159	-	20	-	395	-	204	-	60	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Noble fir, Herman et al. 1978.
2. Hall 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

This association is a good place to plant noble fir occasionally and western hemlock frequently, as well as Douglas-fir. Timber productivity indices are moderate to low (see Tables 27 and 16 and Appendix 2)), as in the other Alaska huckleberry dominated western hemlock zone type.

Opportunities for benefits from intensive forestry practices, such as tree improvement, pre-commercial and commercial thinning, are good. Management concerns include the possibility of frost and reduced growth due to cool temperatures, even at lower elevations. High stocking levels should not be demanded as these sites likely can not support them. More stems will result in less volume per bole at harvest than in other, more productive, Western Hemlock Zone associations. Pre-commercial thinning should not discriminate against western hemlock. This association may respond to slash burning with considerable shrub re-growth, so reforestation needs to proceed rapidly. The gentle slopes where this association is usually found are suitable for tractor logging, but considerable care needs to be exercised so as not to compact soils and further reduce the productive capacity of these sites.

### Similar Associations

This association is an herb-poor relative of the TSHE/VAAL/COCA type: the main difference being the substantial cover of salal in TSHE/VAAL-GASH. The abundance of Alaska huckleberry distinguishes it from the other associations having considerable salal: TSHE/BENE-GASH and TSHE/GASH. The silver fir zone analog (ABAM/VAAL-GASH) has very similar floristics and relative productive potential (Brockway et al. 1983).

The Mt. Baker-Snoqua Imie (Henderson and Peter 1981 b) National Forest also contains this plant association. It was not described in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979) nor on the Willamette National Forest (Hemstrom et al. 1985).

### Structure and Composition

This association includes the productive heart of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. It is very widespread within the Western Hemlock Zone. Tree cover is predominantly Douglas-fir with western hemlock having greater importance in old stands. Western **redcedar** is occasionally abundant. Both grand fir and Pacific yew **also** were found on **some** of our plots. The grand fir is found either on relatively **hot** and dry sites or on alluvial plains with gravelly soils, such as near the Lewis and Cispus Rivers. Moist-site species, such as devil's club, Oregon oxalis and oak fern, are essentially absent. Sword fern is usually present but always in small amounts. A diverse assemblage of herbs constitute a substantial portion of the understory vegetation. These range from moderately-moist site affiliates (such as inside-out flower and star-flowered Solomon's seal) to moderately-dry **site** indicating species: big leaf sandwort, white **hawkweed** and grass species. Vanilla leaf is virtually always present; it is often accompanied by pathfinder, three-leaved anemone, star lower, **trillium** and twin lower. Shrub cover can be quite high, but if so, it is **in** conjunction with **high** cover of the herb species mentioned above. Vine-maple and dwarf Oregon grape are prevalent. **Bald** hip rose, creeping snowberry, red huckleberry and California hazel are also frequently present but with less cover. **Saia** occurs in small amounts. In general, sites in **this** association having greater than **10%** cover of dwarf Oregon grape exhibit lower timber productivity and floristics indicative of slightly drier environments than sites where dwarf Oregon grape is not abundant. The diagnostic feature of the Western **Hemlock/Vanilla leaf** association is the plethora of mesic-site herb species and the lack of shrubs **common** to either very moist or very dry environments. Appendix 3 contains complete vegetation data for this **association**.

### Environment and Distribution

This association indicates well-drained, fairly warm upland forest sites. Generally it represents moderate environmental conditions, except for potentially hot and dry situations on south-facing slopes. Water-logged **soils** and cold temperatures should almost never interfere with logging or reforestation operations. This association is located

mostly on slopes, usually with grades greater than 30%. Soils are generally fairly deep and not very rocky. On the G. P. NF this association may be found at all elevations within the Western Hemlock Zone; it is more common on the southern part and is uncommon on the western part of the Forest. Table 2 summarizes physiographic information for this association.

### Productivity and Management

Stands of this association attain highly productive levels without substantial management problems. Stocking and productivity indices are usually quite high, though variation occurs. The average site index (at 100 years) was 140 feet for Douglas-fir and 120 feet for western hemlock. The stand density index value of 439 and average total basal area of 305 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre are among the highest of any Western Hemlock Zone association. See Tables 28 and 16 and Appendix 2) for more timber productivity information.

Table 28. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/ACTR

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	139	21	12	6	402	136	152	30	64	52
Western hemlock		19	12	5	416	156	169	38	35	20
Western redcedar	120	27	13	4	505	221	143	53	35	37
Cottonwood	16.5	21	24	5	723	30	228	35	33	47
Grand fir	122 <sup>5</sup>	34	13	10	431	208	127	48	45	42
Red alder	77 <sup>5</sup>	-	10	-	291	-	79	-	36	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Games 1962; Western redcedar & cottonwood, Hegyi et al. 1981; Noble fir, Herman et al. 1978; Grand fir, Cochran 1979; red alder, Worthington et al. 1960
2. Hal 1 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.
5. site index age 50

This **association** includes much of the best land **suitable** for **timber** management on the G.P. **Intensive** forestry **practices** **yield high benefits** and the **potential** for **site degradation** **following** careful management **activities is** relatively low. Major **potential** management concerns result from **occasional** steep slopes and relatively dry conditions. Some sites within this **association** share, to a lesser degree, the drought **conditions** of **dry-site** Western Hemlock Zone associations. Managers need to be alert to such dry conditions on very steep or south-facing slopes, where shade cards and harvest unit design maximizing shade are appropriate. Shrub competition may also cause **reforestation** problems. **This** can result from **rapid** reinvasion by established brush **following** logging or **from** Invasion, particularly by snowbrush ceanothus, after slash **burning**.

TSHE/ACTR is geograph Jca l l y w Jdespread in the lower **elevation portions** of the Western Hemlock Zone and comprises a **substantial portion** of the winter range found on **this** **Nat iona l** Forest. Browse production and the relatively long snow-free season also provide benefits to ungulates. **Visual** values may also be **high** as **this association** covers much of the **highly visible mid-slopes** of the lower elevations.

### **Similar Associations**

The **relative** absence of the more severe-site **indicating** species is what helps **distinguish** this **association**. Dwarf Oregon grape may be abundant, but if so, it is in combination with several herb species and so is **distinguished** from the TSHE/BENE association. There is always less **sala** than in the TSHE/BENE-GASH and TSHE/GASH **associations**. TSHE/ACTR occurs in much warmer and dr Jer environments than the **Pacific Silver Fir/ Vanil laleaf-Queencup Bead l J l y Association** previously descr Jbed on the G.P. (Brockway et al. 1983).

This **association is** wldespread throughout most of the western Cascades of Wash Jngton and Oregon. Very **simi** Jar types exist in Mount **Baker-Snoqualmie** N.F. (Henderson and Peter **1981b**), Mt. **Rainier** National Park (**Frankl in et al.** 1979), and Willamette N.F. (Hemstrom et al. 1985).

TSHE/BENE

CHS1-25

WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE

Tsuga **heterophylla/Berberis** nervosa

### Structure and Composition

The TSHE/BENE association is a very herb-poor type. The shrub layer consists of a moderate cover of dwarf **Oregon** grape and vine maple, sometimes **with traces** of other **species** (including red huckleberry, **salal** and Prince's pine). The herbaceous layer may have a fair amount of twinflower, but only traces of other herbs, such as rattlesnake plantain, redwoods violet and **vanil** leaf. The overstory is a mix of Douglas-fir and western hemlock, often with western **redcedar** in addition.

### Environment and Distribution

The TSHE/BENE association appears to represent sites that are somewhat drier and cooler than average for this forest zone. It was consistently the highest elevation association found **within** the Western Hemlock Zone. **Upper** and mid-slope positions predominate. The eastern portions of the Randle Ranger District favor this association. It was not sampled on the Wind River District, though **it** is present there in small amounts. **TSHE/BENE** is also found on the Mt. Hood National Forest (Halverson et al. 1986).

### Productivity and Management

Productivity is moderate in the TSHE/BENE association. **Tables 29** and 16 and Appendix 2 give productivity statistics from our data set.

Because it generally occurs on soils with high rock content, **plantability** may be restricted **in** some sites with the TSHE/BENE **association**.

A major challenge to reforestation efforts in this type is presented on slopes with droughty **soils**, particularly on south-facing aspects. In such sites, **consideration** of **artificial** shade in clearcuts is recommended. The forest floor tends to be thick in the **TSHE/BENE** association, and efforts to **avoid** its destruction **with** slash burning will help maintain site productivity. Hot slash burning may also encourage the establishment of dense shrub stands.

Table 29. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/BENE

Species	site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 1 0-yi. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth <sup>2</sup> Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Wean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	125	21	10	6	380	116	131	30	44	46
Western hemlock	118	18	12	3	258	156	166	36	44	24
Western redcedar	124	15	9	3	455	43	154	25	36	10

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981.

2. Hal I 1983.

3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.

4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

### Similar Associations

In the TSHE/BENE-GASH (Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon grape-Salal) association, salal is abundant. It generally occurs in less rocky sites than the TSHE/BENE association, and is more common throughout the Western Hemlock Zone on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. The Western hemlock/dwarf Oregon grape/ swordfern association (TSHE/BENE/POMU) is more moist, productive and warm than TSHE/BENE. The Pacific silver fir/ dwarf Oregon grape association has similar floristics, but exists in a colder environment and includes other species characteristic of higher elevations (Brockway et al. 1983).

The TSHE-PSME/HODI (Western hemlock-Douglas-fir/Oceanspray) association is somewhat similar floristically but can be separated from TSHE/BENE by the presence of dry-site species such as oceanspray, serviceberry, tall Oregon grape, bigleaf sandwort and/or white hawkweed.

The TSHE/ACTR (Western hemlock/Vanillaleaf) association is richer in moist-site herbs, such as starry solomenseal, vanillaleaf and coolwort foamflower. It is also more productive and occurs in sites with finer-textured soils.

TSHE/BENE is widespread throughout the western Cascades of Oregon and Washington. It occurs on the Mt. Hood National Forest (Halverson et al. 1986). It has been described in the Mt. Baker Snoqua 1 mie (Henderson and Peter 1981 b) and Willamette National Forests (Hemstrom et al. 1985). It was not described for the Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979). A TSHE/BENE plant association has been described by Hemstrom and Logan (1986) for the Oregon Coast Range. It differs from ours in having a well-developed herb layer of swordfern, and is more productive.

TSHE/BENE-GASH

WESTERN HEMLOCK/DWARF OREGON GRAPE-SALAL

CHS1-27

*Tsuga heterophylla/Berberis nervosa-Gaultheria shallon*

### Structure and Composition

Large amounts of **salal** and dwarf Oregon grape usually dominate the understory of this shrub-rich **association**. Vine-maple is common and may form a fairly dense sub-canopy. Red huckleberry and **baldhip** rose are frequent but with low cover values. The occasional presence of the warm and dry-site shrubs, creeping snowberry, California hazel, oceanspray and serv **iceberry** is noteworthy. Herb cover is fairly sparse but always present, and can include a wide array of mesic-site species. These include van **l l l** a leaf, swordfern, **tw** inf lower, bracken fern, redwoods violet, starf lower, pathfinder and **tri l l** turn. Tree cover is very typical for the Western Hemlock Zone: Douglas-fir and western hem lock dom **inate**. Western **redcedar** and big leaf maple are common as co-dominates or sub-canopy individuals. Regenerating western hemlock are usual **l y** present **in** at least trace amounts and Douglas-fir seedlings may be found in sane cases. Pacific silver fir was in a few of our study plots. See Appendix 3 for complete vegetat ion data.

### Environment and Distribution

This association **is** very **widespread** on ridges and upper slopes throughout the Western Hemlock Zone, especially on **Packwood** Ranger D **istr ict**. The environment typical for TSHE/BENE-GASH most frequently is found in the central and eastern portions of the Forest which have a generally drier cl **imate**. This **association** can be encountered at almost any elevation where the Western Hemlock Zone can exist, but it **is** usually found below 2500 feet in elevation. Southern and western aspects predominate. The microtopography is frequently convex, **with** concavities being rare. Slopes are steep, **commonl y** greater than **50%**. Physiographic data are summarized in Table 2.

### Productivity and Management

This association is clearly less productive than the moist-site western hemlock **associations**, though **it** still includes quality commercial forest land. Site indices (at **100 years**) averaged 127 feet for Douglas-fir and 117 feet for western hemlock. Values for productivity indices (see Table **16**, Appendix 2 and chapter **2**) were 134

Table 30. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/BENE-GASH

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 1 0-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	127	21	12	6	381	137	134	30	58	45
Western hemlock	117	16	10	9	330	106	164	31	50	36
Western redcedar	129	8	18		1005	332	156	12	48	20

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981.
2. Hall 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

ft<sup>3</sup>/acre-year at culmination of mean annual increment (SDI-based estimate) and 99 ft /acre-year as the last decade's **ingrowth** of the sampled stands. Growth basal area for Douglas-fir was low for the Western Hemlock Zone, reflecting the relative dryness of these sites. The preponderance of leathery-leaved evergreen **species** also suggests that sites having this association may have lower nutrient availability than other Western Hemlock Zone associations.

Management of this association **offers** few **complications**. The relative dryness, the steep slopes and the abundant brush cover are the major concerns. Douglas-fir is the best choice for planting, though on south-facing slopes provisions for some shade are **required** either through shelterwood harvest, shade cards, or careful harvest unit design. Steep slopes mandate greater care for all activities involving heavy machinery. Brush competition with young conifers may be substantial, including re-growth by brush present in the mature stands or snowbrush ceanothus invasion **following** slash-burning. Hot slash burns remove valuable shade-providing down logs and can encourage dense snowbrush ceanothus. Thin forest floors are usual so slash **burning** will cause relatively small nutrient losses.

This **association** serves important winter range functions. The **fairly** dense understory of shrubs provides **hiding** cover as well as forage for big game.

## Similar Associations

This association is most **similar** to the **TSHE/GASH**, **TSHE/BENE**, and **TSHE/ACTR** types **described** in this paper. The major differences are the low herb cover and the marked abundance of **salal** and dwarf Oregon grape **in** combination.

Analogous plant associations are common on all Western Cascade National Forests. Somewhat **simi**lar types are found on the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie (Henderson, personal communication), Mt. Hood (**Halverson** et al. **1986**) and Willamette National Forests (**Hemstrom** et al. 1985). The Mt. Hood NF **version** has a different group of associated species, including much more swordfern than is found on the Gifford Pinchot NF. Even the coastal National Forests, the Olympic (Henderson and Peter **1981a**) and the Siuslaw (**Hemstrom** and Logan **1986**), contain a similar association, but these also have a different suite of understory species and considerably different timber productive potentials.

**TSHE/GASH**

**CHS1-28**

**WESTERN HEMLOCK/SALAL**

**Tsuga heterophylla/Gaultheria shallon**

## Structure and Composition

Relatively dense thickets of **salal** with little other vegetation are characteristic of this association. **Salal** always covers at least **10%** of the ground, and cover over 50% is very common. Red huckleberry and dwarf Oregon grape are always present, but only in small amounts. Vine-maple may be present, but plays an unimportant role. Herbs may be present in trace amounts but never cover substantive area. Twinflower and bracken fern are the only species to appear in **50%** of the plots. The forest canopy **is** mostly Douglas-fir and western hemlock, and western **redcedar** is usually present in small amounts. Western hemlock **seedlings** generally grow in these stands. Douglas-fir seedlings **were** present in one-third of our plots, indicating the dryness of this association and the relatively open canopies which result. Appendix 3 presents vegetation data for this association.

## Environment and Distribution

Compared to the other western hemlock associations, this one indicates a dry environment because of either (or both) relatively low precipitation or droughty (shallow or **stony**) soils. Middle and upper slope positions on steep inclines are typical. Any aspect or elevation within the Western Hemlock Zone may have this association, there being a gradual transition into the Pacific Silver Fir/Salal Association which has a similar but colder climate (see Table 2). This association is **particularly** abundant in **Packwood** Ranger District, especially in the **minor** rain-shadow areas just east of major ridges, such as Tatoosh Ridge and the ridge separating the Cispus and **Cowlitz** drainages (**Pompey Peak-Castle Butte**). The **effectively-dry soils** are influenced by the frequent existence of convex topography with some surface **rock**.

## Productivity and Management

The potential productivity of **TSHE/GASH** is amongst the lowest of the Western Hemlock Zone associations on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Site indices (at 100 years) averaged 117 feet for Douglas-fir and 100 feet for western hemlock. Stocking levels **on** our plots in this association were high. Complete timber productivity values are presented in Tables 31 and 16 and Appendix 2.

The land manager's primary concern when dealing with this association is the hot environment and **effectively dry soils**. Removal of the forest cover **will** accentuate **this** condition and so provisions are required for protecting planting stock from intense insolation. Shelterwood harvest systems should be considered. Steep slopes are also common and require extra care. Hot slash burns should be discouraged as they remove valuable **shade-providing** logs and soil-protecting forest floor layers. Snowbrush **ceanothus thickets** may also follow hot burns. Old-growth stands of **this association** were **not** found, suggesting **high** wildfire potential because of the hot, dry **environments**. This **association** is abundant in the low **elevation** portion of the upper Cowlitz valley, serving as important winter range for the large populations of deer and elk in that region.

Table 31. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/GASH

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 1 D-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth, Basal Area (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	117	17	11	4	317	137	120	24	50	48
Western hemlock	100	24	6	1	291	71	129	47	21	7
Western redcedar	86	12	15	-	437	-	87	19	20	4
Western white pine	100	-	5	-	213	-	188	-	2	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962; Western redcedar, Hegyi et al. 1981. Western white pine, Forest Service Silvicultural Practices Handbook, converted to base age 100.
2. Hal I 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

## Similar Associations

The near absence of herb cover and the shrub-layer dominance of salal are what distinguishes this association from similar types, such as **TSHE/BENE-GASH** and **TSHE/BENE**. Drier and hotter associations (**TSHE/CONU/ACTR** and **TSHE-PSME/HODI**) have more oceanspray and California hazel. This association is analogous to the higher elevation **ABAM/GASH** type previously described on the G.P. (Brockway et al. 1983).

Other Western Cascade National Forests also have a very similar association, including the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie (Henderson and Peter 1981 b), Olympic (Henderson and Peter 1981a), Willamette (Hemstrom et al. 1985) and Siuslaw (Hemstrom and Logan 1986). This association appears to be absent from the Mt. Hood N.F. (Halverson et al. 1986), though the very similar Western hemlock/Dwarf Oregon grape-salal Association is widespread. **TSHE/GASH** is also found in Mt. Rainier National Park (Franklin et al. 1979).

### Structure and Composition

This association has very high shrub cover which is generally accompanied by several herb species. Vine maple cover is greatest in this association compared to the rest of the Western Hemlock Zone. Pacific dogwood was present on all plots. Other shrubs **having** substantial cover include **dwarf** Oregon grape, **baldhip** rose and creeping snowberry. The herb layer is abundant and diverse. **Vanilla leaf** has its highest average cover. Other species appear with much lower cover values but have **similarly** high frequencies: star lower, redwoods violet, **trillium**, pathfinder, three-leaved anemone and swordfern. Other species which have some of their highest frequencies of occurrence in this association are sweet cicely, sweet-scented bedstraw, fairybells and star-flowered Solomon's seal. See Appendix 3 for a summary of the vegetation of this association.

Regenerating western hemlock were nearly lacking on our plots in this association. The sample plots in this association were in young stands. With time western hemlock will probably invade. Nevertheless, there was more regenerating Douglas-fir than western hemlock, suggesting that this association has affinities with Douglas-fir climax types.

### Environment and Distribution

This association represents the hot/dry end of the Western Hemlock Zone on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. It is largely limited to the southern part **of the National** Forest, relatively close to the Columbia River. The steep, southern slopes found in the Dear Creek area of the Wind River Ranger District most **commonly** support this association. **It is also found on the south-facing steep slopes** above the Lewis River. **Randle** and **Packwood** districts probably lack this association. Our study plots were on upper slope positions with elevations of 2600 feet or less and usually have convex microtopography (see **Table 2**). Deep, **relatively stone-free soils** derived from breccias were commonly encountered (see **Table 4**). Plants experience an **effectively** dry environment because of the intense solar input and the predominate upper slope positions, not because of rocky soils.

This association often borders Oregon white oak woodlands. Its characteristics are **indicative** of a fairly harsh environment compared with most of the Western Cascades portion of the G.P. This association is limited geographically, but awareness of its presence may greatly help alert the land manager to the special environment.

### Productivity and Management

Considering the hot and dry environment where this association exists, it is surprisingly productive. Douglas-fir had an average site index (at 100 years, McArdle) of 135 feet. Growth basal area for Douglas-fir was also quite high (420 ft<sup>2</sup>/ac). Tables 32 and 16 and Appendix 2 summarize other timber productivity information.

Clearly, this association includes lands which can be valuable commercial forest land once trees become established. The trick is to anticipate the dry conditions which make reforestation difficult. Where possible, the shelterwood system should be considered, as should any type of harvest regime which promotes shade. Clearcut unit design should maximize relative edge per unit area and avoid openings much wider than 2 times the height of adjoining trees. Planting stock should be suited to dry conditions; higher elevation seed should not be used and efforts to obtain on-site seed should be considered. Shade cards and leaving slash will also help create shade. Slash burning should be avoided or limited to cool burns so that surface organic matter is maintained with its insulating properties. Hot burns will be followed by dense snowbrush ceanothus invasion.

Table 32. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE/CONU/ACTR

Species	Site Index <sup>1</sup> (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at <sup>3</sup> Culmination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	135	12	11	5	420	91	145	18	67	38
Western hemlock	129	-	14	-	366	-	186	-	78	-

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961; Western hemlock, Barnes 1962;
2. Hal 1 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity In Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

TSHE/CONU/ACTR includes important winter range. The hot environment stays snow-free for much of the year and there is very high herb and shrub forage **availability**.

### Similar Associations

This association is **floristically** similar to TSHE/ACTR, but this type **has an** abundance of Pacific dogwood, has abundant creeping snowberry and nearly lacks **bigleaf** maple and regenerating western hemlock. TSHE/CONU/ACTR is similar to grand **fir/** vanillaleaf associations, but not quite so dry. The Grand Fir/Pacific **Dogwood/vanillaleaf** Association is found on the Mt. Adams Ranger district can be very similar, especially on the western portions of that district (in the Little White Salmon River drainage) which are transitional to the Western Hem lock Zone.

This association has not been described in any previous plant association classifications. It shares its greatest affinities with the Western Hemlock/Vine-maple/vanillaleaf Associations found on the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie (Henderson and Peter 1981b) and Mt. Hood National Forests (Halverson et al. 1986). Douglas-fir **associations** on the Willamette National Forest which include creeping snowberry or oceanspray are somewhat similar but represent even hotter, drier sites (Hemstrom et al. 1985).

TSHE-PSME/HODI

WESTERN HEMLOCK-DOUGLAS-FIR/OCEANSPRAY

CHC2-12

**Tsuga heterophylla-Pseudotsuga menziesii/Holodiscus discolor**

### Structure and Composition

This association is considered transitional to the Douglas-fir series where Douglas-fir is the dominant climax tree species. (Forest series are defined in the introduction to this paper.) **Both** western hemlock and Douglas-fir occur in the **regeneration** layer and can be expected to co-exist in a long term stable condition.

This is a very **shrubby** association characterized by the presence of dry-site species. These include an abundance of oceanspray, sncmberry, **baldhip** rose and California hazel and lesser amounts of serviceberry and tall Oregon grape. The other abundant shrubs are dwarf Oregon grape and vine-maple. Herbs present are typical of forested Western Cascades dry sites, including various grasses, **bigleaf** sandwort, starflower, pathfinder and white hawkweed. Swordfern is common but only in small amounts. Less abundant, but more diagnostic of dry sites, are leafy **peavine** and **vetch**. See Appendix 3 for a summary of the vegetation found in this association.

### **Environment and Distribution**

Some of the hottest and driest sites in the forested Western Cascades support this association. On the G.P. it is found primarily on the southern part of Wind River Ranger District. It also is found in the rain shadow just east of Tatoosh Ridge in the Ohanapecosh drainage on **Packwood** Ranger District. On the Mt. Hood National Forest it is found mainly on basalt cliffs above the Clackamas, Salmon and **Collawash** Rivers and tributaries of the **Columbia** River. Sites are always upper slopes and fairly steep, where drainage and solar input are excessive (see Table 2). Bare ground and surface rock and gravel are also typical of these dry sites. Soils are shallow and stony, with thin forest floor layers being the rule (see Table 4).

### **Productivity and Management**

Though timber productivity of established stands is reasonable, this association offers considerable management problems and can not be dealt with in the same manner as most areas in the western Cascades. Productivity and stocking indices are lower, but not substantially so, than other Western Hemlock Zone **associations**. Site index (at 100 years) averaged 113 feet for Douglas-fir (see Tables 33 and 16 and Appendix 2).

Timber planners need to be especially mindful of the silvicultural problems which may result from large openings lacking shade. The shelterwood system should be used whenever possible. If clearcuts are used, shade should be maximized, by both natural and artificial means if necessary. Broadcast burning may lead to considerable snowbrush ceanothus competition with young conifers. Growth of vine-maple, red-flowered currant and sticky currant into disturbed areas may be rapid and present significant competition to tree seedlings for soil moisture. Grasses

Table 33. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE-PSME/HOD I

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth, Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at Culpination <sup>3</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. <sup>4</sup> (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	113	22	12	10	372	158	114	3	65	60

1. McArdle et al. 1961. Indexed to age 100.
2. Hall 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

may **also** invade disturbed sites in **this** association so it is wise to avoid any grass seeding projects if conifer establishment is a goal for the site. Douglas-fir is the preferred **species** for **reforestation** and every effort should be made to match seed source to site. **Plantability** will be poor in some cases, so high stocking levels should not be demanded or expected. Soils may dry out quite early in the growing season, making early **planting** a necessity.

The same hot and dry environmental **conditions** which make this **association** challenging to **timber** management lead to long, snow-free periods. The abundance of suitable shrub **species** for browse also indicates the **high** winter range value of this association.

### Similar Associations

This association is **somewhat** similar to the grand fir **associations** tentatively described for the Mt. Adams Ranger District east of the Cascade crest. These include Grand fir/oceanspray/ vanillaleaf and Grand fir/oceanspray/ grasses.

TSHE-PSME/HOD I is **somewhat** similar to the various other oceanspray types that may **exist** in either the western hemlock or Douglas-fir **series** on other western Cascade National Forests. On the **Willamette National Forest**, Douglas-fir series associations become much more abundant and include types like this, but **with** more extreme environments (**Hemstrom et al. 1985**).

### Structure and Composition

This grouping is a product of very steep, rocky and dry slopes. It is very localized, but the **distinctive** management problems which it presents make it noteworthy. On the Gifford Pinchot National Forest this type is present in only very small amounts. Shrub and herb **species** include primarily dry-site indicators, **including salal**, California hazel, dwarf Oregon grape, oceanspray, starflower and grasses. Terrestrial lichen cover (including nitrogen-fixing **Peltigera** species) can match that of the vascular plant understory. The canopy includes considerable **madrone** and a predominance of Douglas-fir. Western hemlock is in low abundance. Vegetation data for this **association** are summarized in Appendix 3.

### Environment and Distribution

The very steep and rocky upper slopes and ridges above the Cowlitz River on the **Packwood** Ranger District appear to **contain** most of the area on the G.P. having this type. The **effective** environment for plants is very dry because of the lack of rooting medium. **Soils** are shallow and rocky; rock-outcrops occupy much of the terrain. The **inshadow** effect of the major ridges west of **Packwood** contribute to the dryness of these sites (see **Tables 2 and 4**).

### Productivity and Management

**The productivity** of the two plots sampled in this grouping was much lower than that found in the other Western Hemlock Zone associations, but was nevertheless **substantial**. Site index for Douglas-fir averaged 105 feet (see **Tables 33 and 16 and Appendix 2**).

Productive **potential** is **likely** related to the depth of **soils**, and this varies over rather small areas. Managers need to be concerned about the substantial problems which can result **from** logging these sites. The steep slopes may be very prone to fallure and the lack of **soil** leads to very difficult reforestation. Because of the patchy nature of these sites it seems that these locales should be **avoided** when designing timber sale boundaries. **Plantation** re-establishment in 5 years can not be expected. Scenic

and other values are high and are likely the most appropriate use of these rocky sites.

### Similar Associations

This grouping has not been formally recognized in previous plant association descriptions for the Western Cascades. The widespread madrone communities in the rain shadow areas on the northeast portion of the Olympic Peninsula, Vancouver Island and environs are quite different from this association on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

Table 34. Timber Productivity Statistics - TSHE-PSME-ARME

Species	Site Index' (feet)		Current 10-yr. Radial Increment (20ths)		Growth, Basal Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> /ac)		Mean Annual Inc. at 3 Culmination (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)		Current Overstory Vol. Inc. (ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Douglas-fir	105	13	13	10	385	203	103	18	15	1

1. Indexed to age 100. References: Douglas-fir, McArdle et al. 1961;
2. Hell 1983.
3. See discussion of Timber Productivity in Chapter 2 for references.
4. Methods after Hemstrom 1983.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Barnes, G.H. 1962. Yield of even-aged stands of western hemlock. USDA Tech. Bul 1, No. 1273. 52 pp.
- Bartels, R.**, J.D. Del I, R.L. Knight and G. Shaefer. 1985. Dead and down woody material. Ch. 8 **In:** Brown, E.R. (tech. **ed.**), Management of Wildlife and Fish Habitats in Forests of Western Oregon and Washington. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, **R6-F&WL-192-1985.**
- Becking, R.W.** 1954. Site indicators and forest types of the Douglas-fir region of western Washington and Oregon. Ph.D. thesis, College of Forestry, Univ. of Wash., Seattle.
- Brockway, D.G., C. Topik, M.A. **Henstrom** and W.H. Emmingham. 1983. Plant association and management guide for the Pacific silver fir zone, Gifford **Pinchot** National Forest. USDA Forest Service Area Guide, **R6-ECOL-130a-1983.** Pacific Northwest Region. Portland, Oregon.
- Brown, J.K. 1974. Handbook for inventorying downed woody material. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-16. **Intermountain** Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden Utah.
- Cline, S.P., A.B. Berg and H.M. Wight. 1980. Snag characteristics and dynamics in Douglas-fir forests, western Oregon. J. Wildl. Management **44:** 773-786.
- Crouch, G.L. 1981. Coniferous forest habitats. Food habits and nutrition. Chapter 11, part 1 IN O.C. Wallmo ed., Mule and **Black-tailed** Deer of **North America.** Univ. of Nebraska Press, Lincoln.
- Cochran, P.H. 1979. Site index and height growth curves for managed, even-aged stands of white or grand fir east of the Cascades in Oregon and Washington. USDA Forest Service Res. Pap. PNW-252, 13 p. PNW For. and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR.
- Dawson, M.D., E.G. Knox and F.W. **Gelderman.** 1972. **A Guide to Soil Classification.** Oregon State Univ. Bookstore Press, Corvallis, Or
- Deeming, J.E., R.E. **Burgan** and J.D. Cohen. 1977. The National Fire-danger rating system - 1978. USDA Forest Service **Gen** Tech. Rep. INT-39. Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden Utah.
- Driscoll, R.S., D.L. Merkel, D.L. Radloff, P.E. Snyder and S. James. 1984. An ecological land classification framework for the United States. Misc. Pub. 1439. Washington D.C. USDA. 56 pp.
- Dyrness, C. T., **J.F. Frankl**in and W.H. Moir. 1974. A preliminary **classification** of forest communities in the central portion of the Western Cascades of Oregon. **US/IBP** coniferous Forest Biome Bull. No. 4, Univ. Wash.

Franklin, J.F. and F.T. Dyrness. 1973. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. USDA-Forest Service General Tech. Rep. PNW-8. Pac. NW Forest and Range Expt. Station. Portland, OR **417pp.**

Franklin, J.F., W.H. Moir, M.A. Hemstrom, and S. Greene. 1979. Forest ecosystems of Mount Rainier National Park. Unpublished manuscript. Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Oregon State University, **Corvallis, OR 221 pp.**

Franklin, J.F., K. Cromack, Jr., W. Denison, A. McKee, C. Maser, J. Sedell, F. Swanson and G. Juday. 1981. Ecological characteristics of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-118. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland Oregon.

Garrison, G.A., J.M. Skovlin, C.E. Poulton, and A.H. Winward. 1976. Northwest plant names and symbols for ecosystem inventory and analysis. Fourth **edition.** USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Report **PNW-46. Pacific NW Forest and Range Expt. Station. Portland, OR 263 pp.**

Gauch, H.G. Jr. 1977. **Ordiflex.** A flexible computer program for four ordination technique. (plus amendments) Ecology and **Systematics**, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

Gauch, H.G. Jr. 1982. **Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology.** idge University Press, New York. 298 pp.

Gifford Pinchot National Forest. 1986. **Proposed Forest-wide standard/guidelines** and management strategies. Vancouver WA.

Greene, S.E. and M.Klopsch. 1985. Soil and **air** temperatures for different habitats in Mount Rainier National Park. USDA Forest Service Res. Paper PNW-342. **Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Expt. Station, Portland Or.**

Hall, F.C. 1983. Growth basal area: a field method for appraising forest site potential for stockability. Can. J. For. Res. **13: 70-77.**

Hall, F.C. 1984. Ecoclass coding system for Pacific Northwest plant associations. USDA Forest Service, **Pacific Northwest Region R6-ECOL-173-1984.**

Hall, F.C. 1985. Growth Basal Area handbook. USDA Forest Service, **Pacific Northwest Region, R6-ECOL-181 b-1 1984.**

Halverson, N.M., R. Leshner, D. McClure, J. Riley, C. Topik and A. Rodahorst. 1986. Major indicator shrubs and herbs on National forests of western Oregon and southwest Washington. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, **R6-TM-229-1986.**

Halverson, N. M., C. Topik and R. van **Vickle.** 1986. Plant **association** and management guide for the Western Hemlock Zone, Mt. Hood National Forest. USDA Forest Service, **Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR, R6-ECOL-232a-1986.**

Hammond, P. E. 1980. Reconnaissance geologic map and cross **sections** of southern Washington Cascade Range. Dept. of Earth **Sci.** Portland State Univ.

Hanley, T.A. 1984. Relationship between sitka black-tailed deer and their habitat. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-168. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Expt. Station, Portland Or.

Harmon, M.E., J.F. Franklin, F.J. Swanson, P. **Sollins**, S.V. Gregory, J.D. Lattin, **N.H.** Anderson, S.P. **Cline**, N.G. Aumen, J.R. Sedell, G.W. Lfenkaemper, K. Cromack, Jr., and K.W. Cummins. 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. Advances in Ecological Research **15:133-302.**

**Hegyi, F.**, J.J. Jelnick, J. Visgai, and D.B. Carpenter. 1981. Site index equations for the **major** tree species in British Columbia. Ministry of Forests, Inventory Branch. Victoria, B.C. Canada

Hemstrom, M.A. 1983. unpublished paper on file at **Willamette** National Forest, Eugene, Or on high elevation tree growth simulator.

Hemstrom, M.A. and S.E. Logan. 1986. Plant association and management guide, Sluslaw National Forest. USDA Forest Service Area Guide, **R6-ECOL-220-1986a** Pacific Northwest Region, Portland OR.

Hemstrom, M.A., S. E. Logan and W. Pavlat. 1985. Preliminary plant association and management guide, **Willamette** National Forest. Report on file at Willamette NF, Eugene, OR.

Henderson, J.A. and D. Peter. 1981a. Preliminary plant associations and habitat types of the Shelton Ranger **District**, Olympic National Forest. USDA Forest **Service**, Olympic National Forest. Olympia, WA

Henderson, J.A. and D. Peter. **1981b.** Preliminary plant associations and habitat types of the White River **District**, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest. USDA Forest **Service, Olympic** NF, Olympia, WA

Herman, F.R., R.O. Curtis and D.J. **DeMars.** 1978. Height growth and site index estimates for noble fir in high elevation forests of the Oregon and Washington Cascades. USDA Forest Service Res. Paper PNW-243. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Expt. Station, Portland, OR.

**Hogfoss, Bob.** 1985. Fire history of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest. unpublished manuscript on file at Mt. St. Helens National Volcanic Monument.

Jenny, H. **1980. The Soil Resource, Origin and Behavior.** Springer Verlag. New York. 377 pgs.

Johnson, D.M. and J.O. Dart. 1982. Variability of precipitation in the Pacific Northwest: spatial and temporal characteristics. Completion report for Project **A-050-ORE**, Office of Water Research and **Technology**, U.S. Dept. Interior.

King, J.E. 1966. Site index curves for Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. Weyerhaeuser Forestry Paper No. 8. Central **ia**, WA

Kilnka, **K.**, M.C. Fellet-, and L.E. Lowe. 1981. Characterization of the most productive ecosystems for growth of **Pseudotsuga menziesii** var. **menziesii** in

southwestern **British** Columbia. Ministry of Forests, Province of British Columbia.

Ki **Inka**, K., R.N. Green, R.L. Trowbridge and L.E. Lowe. 1981. Taxonomic classification of humus forms in ecosystems of British Columbia. First Approx. Land Man. Rep. # 8, Prov. of B. C. Ministry of Forests. Victoria, B.C.

Lassoie, J.P. 1982. Physiological activity in Douglas-fir. In: **Analysis of Coniferous Forest Ecosystems in the Western United States**; ed. R.L. Edmonds. Hutchinson Ross Pub. Co., Stroudsburg, Penn.

Lowe, L.E. and K. Kiinka. 1981. Forest humus in the Coastal Western Hemlock Biogeoclimatic Zone of **British** Columbia in relation to forest productivity and pedogenesis. Research Note # 89. Prov. of B. C. Victoria, B. C..

**McArdle**, R.E., W.H. Meyer and D. Bruce. 1930. rev. 1949. The **yield** of Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. USDA Tech. Bul. No. 201.

Maser, **C.**, R.G. Anderson, K. Cromack, Jr., J.T. Williams and R.E. Martin. 1979. Dead and down woody material. Ch. 6 In: Thomas, J.W. (tech ed.), **Wildlife** habitats in managed forests: the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. Agric. Handbook 553. Washington D.C.: USDA.

Maser, C. and J.M. Trappe (tech eds.) 1984. The seen and unseen world of the **fallen** tree. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-164. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland Oregon.

Maxwell, W.G. and F. R. Ward. 1980. Photo series for quantifying natural forest residues in common vegetation types of the **Pacific** Northwest. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-105. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland Oregon.

Neitro, W.A., V.W. Binkley, S.P. **Cline**, R.W. **Mannan**, B.G. **Marcot**, D. Taylor, and F.F. Wagner. 1985. Snags (Wildlife trees). Ch. 7 In: Brown, E.R. (tech ed.), Management of Wildlife and Fish Habitats In Forests of Western Oregon and Washington. USDA Forest Service, **Pacific** Northwest Region, **R6-F&WL-192-1985**.

**Pfister**, R.D., B.L. Kovechik, S.F. Armo, and R.C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-34, 174 pp. intermountain For. and Range Expt. Station. Ogden, UT

**Reineke**, L.H. 1933. Perfecting a stand-density index for even-aged forests. J. Agric. Res. **46:627-638**.

Schmidt, W.C., R.C. Shearer and A.L. Roe. 1976. Ecology and Silviculture of western larch forests. USDA Tech. Bull. No. 1520. intermountain Forest and Range Expt. Station. Ogden, UT

Smith, J.H.G. 1968. Growth and yield of red alder in British Columbia. In: **Biology of Alder**, Pacific Northwest For. and Range Expt. Station, Portland, OR pp 273-286

Sondhelm, M.W. and K. Klinka. 1983. The relationship of **soil** and physiographic attributes to an ecological **classification** system. Can. J. Soil sci. **63:97-112**.

Swanson, F. J. 1979. Geomorphology and Ecosystems. **p159-170**. IN: **Forests: Fresh Perspectives from Ecosystem Analysis**. ed. R. H. **Waring**. Oreg. St. Univ. Press, **Corvallis** Or.

**Tepley**, J. 1985. **equations** used by forest inventory for estimating yield capacity at **culmination** of mean annual increment. personal **communication**.

U.S. Weather Bureau. **1965**. Annual precipitation for the State of Washington from 1930 to 1957. U.S. **Dept.** of Commerce and U.S.D.A. Portland, OR.

**Volland**, L.A. and M. Connelly. 1978. Computer analysis of ecological data: methods and programs. USDA Forest Service. Pac. NW Region. Pub. No. **R6-ECOL-79-003**. Portland, OR 382 pp.

Walker, G. W. and A. **B. Griggs**. 1979. The Cascade Range in Oregon and Southern Washington. **p23-29**. IN: **Forest Soils of the Douglas-fir Region**. ed by P.E. **Heilman**, H.W. Anderson and **D. M. Baumgartner**. Wash. St. **Univ.** Coop. Ext. Service, Pullman, Wash.

**Wise**, W.S. 1970. **Cenozoic** volcanism in the Cascade Mountains of Southern Washington. Wash. St. Dept. of Nat. Res. Div. of Mines and **Geol.** Bul 1. 60

Worthington, N.P., F.P. Johnson, G.R. **Staebler** and W.J. Lloyd. 1960. Normal **yield** tables for red alder. Research Paper 36. USDA Forest **Service**, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Expt. Station, Portland Or.



# APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1	Plot Locations	114
APPENDIX 2	Timber Productivity by Species	117
APPENDIX 3	Plant Cover by Association.	121
APPENDIX 4	Chart of Foliar Cover	130
APPENDIX 5	Relationship of Forest Zones to Planning Working <b>Groups</b>	131

# APPENDIX 1

Locations of Gifford Pinchot NF Western Hemlock Zone study plots.  
 Percent of study plots of each **association** by Ranger Districts and mapping units Range and Township.

	TSHE/ LYAM	TSHE/ ATFI	TSHE/ OPHO/ POMU	TSHE/ VAAL/ OXOR	TSHE/ POMU- OXOR	TSHE/ TITR
Ranger Districts						
Mt. St. Helens N. V. M.		38	6	50	7	46
Mt. Adams			6			
Packwood		38	12			19
Randle	100	13	65	50	71	19
Wind River		13	12		21	15
Mapping Range East, Willamette Meridian						
4				100	23	19
5			6		46	15
6			6		15	38
7		71	38		15	
7.5			6			8
8		14	31			15
9	100	14	13			4
10						
Mapping Township North, Willamette Meridian						
3						
4			6			8
5			6		23	4
6						4
7			13		8	35
8		43				12
9						
10	100	14				4
11			50		46	12
12		14	13			4
13			6			4
14		14		100	14	12
15		14	6			4

Appendix 1 continued

	TSHE/ POMU	TSHE/ BENE/ POMU	TSHE/ VAAL/ COCA	TSHE/ VAAL- GASH	TSHE/ ACTR	TSHE/ BENE
<b>Ranger Districts</b>						
Mt. St. Helens N. V. M.	6	13	23	13	15	6
Mt. Adams	6					3
<b>Packwood</b>	6	6	8	13	23	26
Randle	56	44	38	13	25	66
Wind River	25	38	31	63	38	
<b>Mapping Range East, Willamette Meridian</b>						
4	6		9	13	1	6
5	6	13		25	1	
6			18	13	7	
7	69	33	36	50	40	19
7.5		7			1	
8	6	33	36		26	39
9	13	<b>13</b>			12	35
10					11	
<b>Mapping Township North, Willamette Meridian</b>						
3	6	13			4	
4	19	13	9	50	24	
5		13	27	13	6	
6			9		2	
7	13	7			10	3
8		7		13	7	3
9						
10		33	9		<b>3</b>	16
11	19	7			<b>19</b>	39
12	25				7	10
13	13		27		8	10
14		7	9	<b>13</b>	10	13
15	6		9	13		6

Appendix 1 continued

			TSHE/ BENE- GASH	TSHE/ GASH	TSHE/ CONU/ ACTR	TSHE- PSME/ HODI	TSHE- PSME- ARME
Ranger Districts							
Mt. St. Helens	N. Y. M.		12	10	10		
Mt. Adams					10		
Packwood			43	60			100
Randle			26	25		13	
Wind River			19	5	80	87	
Mapping Range East, Willamette Meridian							
4			8	5			
5							
6			3		11		
7			11	21	22		
7.5					11	14	
8			3	16	56	71	
9			34	42		14	
10			16	16			100
Mapping Township North, Willamette Meridian							
3					11	14	
4			16	5	78	71	
5							
6							
7			5	16	11		
8			11				
9							
10			8	5		14	
11			8	5			
12			11	26			
13			26	5			
14			11	32			100
15			5	5			

## APPENDIX 2

Timber productivity indices of individual tree species, listed by plant association.

The values listed are the means (and standard deviations in parentheses) of plot averages per association.

Associations are listed in order approximating a moist to dry gradient.

The variables listed are:

**current growth:** the radial increment of the most recent 10 years growth, in 1/20 inch. (= 1/10 inch diameter growth)

**GBA:** growth basal area, the basal area at which a stand would be expected to grow at 10/20 inch radial increment per decade at stand age 100 (Hall 1983)

**total volume:** the volume in cubic feet per acre of that species

**age:** age at breast height

**yield capacity:** an approximate index of the anticipated volume productivity of such a stand at culmination of mean annual increment (Tepley 1985)

**crown ratio:** the per cent of tree bole having foliar crown

**site Index:** base age 100, the expected height of dominant trees at age 100.

<u>code</u>	<u>tree species</u>	<u>s i t e i n d e x r e f e r e n c e</u>
PSME	Douglas-fir	McArdle et al. 1949: uses total age
TSHE	western hemlock	Barnes 1962: uses total age
THPL	western redcedar	Hegli et al. 1981, converted to feet uses age at breast height
ALRU	red alder (base age 50)	Worthington et al. 1960: uses age at breast height plus 2 years
ACMA	big-leaf maple (base age 50)	Worthington et al. 1960: site index table for red alder
ABPR	noble fir	Herman et al. 1978: uses age BH
ABAM	Pacific silver fir	Hegli et al. 1981, converted to feet uses age at breast height
POTR2	cottonwood	Hegli et al. 1981, converted to feet uses age at breast height
LAOC	western larch	Schmidt et al. 1976: converted to base age 100: uses total age
ABGR	grand fir	Cochran 1979: uses breast height age
PIMO	western white pine	Forest Service Silvicultural Practices Handbook: uses total age

ASSOCIATION	SPECIES	# ROTS	# TREES	SITE INDEX (100)	CUR. GRTH. (1/20")	GBA ft <sup>2</sup> / ac	TOTAL VOLUME ft <sup>3</sup> /ac	AGE	YIELD CAPACITY ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr	CROWN RATIO %
<b>TSHE/LYAM</b>	THPL	1	3	102	27	812	3629	104	113	68
<b>TSHE/ATFI</b>	PSME	7	29	166 (19)	19 (9)	601 (224)	5424 (2897)	135 (105)	190 (27)	36 (8)
	TSHE	1	5	124	12	350	5359	93	177	53
	THPL	1	5	153	8	477	9576	337	195	50
	ALRU	1	3	110	27	673	2664	70	135	32
<b>TSHE/OPHO/POMU</b>	PSME	14	65	172 (21)	15 (7)	556 (133)	6696 (3912)	244 (225)	198 (29)	38 (7)
	TSHE	7	28	126 (5)	16 (9)	579 (384)	4855 (1781)	142 (49)	180 (9)	41 (7)
	THPL	1	5	134	11	532	6108	302	164	39
<b>TSHE/POMU-OXOR</b>	PSME	45	216	157 (18)	14 (5)	463 (127)	6453 (3132)	179 (162)	176 (26)	36 (9)
	TSHE	24	99	145 (18)	18 (6)	527 (199)	4844 (2569)	103 (59)	218 (35)	46 111)
	THPL	3	12	141 (7)	28 (10)	706 (119)	5500 (2050)	172 (24)	170 (10)	56 (3)
	ACMA	1	5	66	17	623	2855	66	60	16
<b>TSHE/VAAL/OXOR</b>	ABPR	2	6	134 (34)	22 (17)	564 (263)	1411 (1891)	65 (35)	164 (54)	34 (2)
	PSME	12	57	136 (13)	15 (6)	437 (114)	4023 (2922)	147 (18)	204 (267)	38 (8)
	TSHE	9	42	121 (17)	14 (5)	444 (104)	4617 (2691)	124 (72)	170 (32)	47 (10)
	THPL	2	10	15 (5)	15 (7)	404 (84)	1349 (754)	56 (18)	47 (6)	47 (6)
<b>TSHE/TITR</b>	PSME	25	114	163 (15)	13 (6)	564 (157)	7263 (3964)	236 (169)	185 (21)	35 (13)
	TSHE	9	38	127 (17)	14 (6)	564 (221)	5465 (2600)	128 (21)	182 (34)	49 (10)
	THPL	4	14	135 (28)	13 (3)	694 (318)	5756 (4605)	279 (102)	165 (44)	56 (15)
<b>TSHE/POMU</b>	PSME	16	70	161 (22)	14 (6)	504 (161)	6645 (4186)	192 (171)	182 (30)	33 (11)
	TSHE	6	23	137 (19)	13 (5)	431 (169)	3912 (1574)	164 (96)	202 (37)	40 (22)
	THPL	2	4	125 (30)	25 (12)	936 (606)	2586 (160)	205 (48)	150 (47)	61 (13)
<b>TSHE/BENE/POMU</b>	PSME	26	114	142 (18)	12 (5)	401 (120)	5458 (3257)	193 (140)	155 (25)	34 (10)
	TSHE	7	23	128 (15)	12 (5)	380 (152)	3967 (1350)	154 (88)	184 (29)	47 (12)
	THPL	3	7	98 (17)	10 (5)	381 (75)	3272 (1165)	310 (198)	105 (38)	41 (18)

\* site Index for ALRU and ACMA are base age 50.

ASSOCIATION	SPECIES	# PLOTS	# TREES	SITE INDEX (100)	CUR. GRTH. (1/20 <sup>th</sup> )	GBA ft <sup>2</sup> /ac	TOTAL VOLUME ft <sup>3</sup> /ac	A G E	YIELD CAPACITY ft <sup>3</sup> /ac/yr	CROWN RATIO %
TSHE/VAAL/COCA	ABPR	1	1	131	5	347	717	311	160	35
	LAOC	1	3	104	2	243	508	206		37
	PSME	13	52	135	8	349	4250	465	146	39
				<b>(22)</b>	<b>(9)</b>	<b>(113)</b>	<b>(3105)</b>	<b>(293)</b>	<b>(31)</b>	<b>(17)</b>
	TSHE	15	63	125	9	375	5223	290	178	43
				<b>(24)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(153)</b>	<b>(2425)</b>	<b>(140)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>(16)</b>
	THPL	1	5	126	9	487	5049	405	152	64
TSHE/VAAL-GASH	ABAM	1	3	142	6	189	1325	184	177	45
	ABPR	1	3	159	20	395	1902	54	204	67
	PSME	6	23	123	8	3 %	5212	308	129	29
				<b>(23)</b>	<b>(7)</b>	<b>(116)</b>	<b>(2658)</b>	<b>(208)</b>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>(24)</b>
	TSHE	8	31	117	2	120	7088	279	164	29
				<b>(19)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(120)</b>	<b>(4164)</b>	<b>(46)</b>	<b>(37)</b>	<b>(26)</b>
	THPL	2	3	102	8	277	1313	198	113	58
			<b>(13)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(73)</b>	<b>(663)</b>	<b>(29)</b>	<b>(20)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	
TSHE/ACTR	ABGR	3	7	122	13	431	3230	119	127	43
				<b>(34)</b>	<b>(10)</b>	<b>(208)</b>	<b>(1751)</b>	<b>(49)</b>	<b>(48)</b>	<b>(7)</b>
	ABPR	1	3	160	29	891	866	48	206	48
	ACMA	1	3	87*	21	488	2392	76	96	33
	ALRU	1	5	77*	10	291	1811	51	79	18
	PIMO	1	1	150	18	500	782	508		45
	POTR2	2	8	165	24	723	1763	92	228	31
				<b>(20)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>(2493)</b>	<b>(38)</b>	<b>(35)</b>	<b>(16)</b>
	PSME	124	599	139	12	402	6811	173	152	32
				<b>(21)</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>(136)</b>	<b>(3792)</b>	<b>(125)</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>(14)</b>
TSHE	28	96	120	12	416	3825	181	169	47	
			<b>(19)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(156)</b>	<b>(1796)</b>	<b>(85)</b>	<b>(38)</b>	<b>(19)</b>	
	THPL	6	20	116	13	505	4181	234	143	49
			<b>(27)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(221)</b>	<b>(3376)</b>	<b>(110)</b>	<b>(53)</b>	<b>(25)</b>	
TSHE/BENE	PSME	39	187	125	10	380	5198	191	131	34
				<b>(21)</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>(116)</b>	<b>(3231)</b>	<b>(146)</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>(8)</b>
	TSHE	23	93	118	12	424	4497	171	166	43
			<b>(18)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(200)</b>	<b>(2626)</b>	<b>(90)</b>	<b>(36)</b>	<b>(12)</b>	
	THPL	4	17	124	9	455	6648	216	154	44
			<b>(15)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(43)</b>	<b>(3061)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	<b>(25)</b>	<b>(12)</b>	
TSHE/BENE-GASH	PSME	37	174	127	12	381	6040	181	134	31
				<b>(21)</b>	<b>(7)</b>	<b>(137)</b>	<b>(3024)</b>	<b>(154)</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>(17)</b>
	TSHE	10	44	117	10	330	5623	194	164	40
			<b>(16)</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>(106)</b>	<b>(2876)</b>	<b>(101)</b>	<b>(31)</b>	<b>(19)</b>	
	THPL	2	7	129	18	1005	4292	268	156	46
			<b>(8)</b>	<b>(9)</b>	<b>(332)</b>	<b>(581)</b>	<b>(139)</b>	<b>(12)</b>	<b>(9)</b>	
TSHE/GASH	PSME	19	94	117	11	317	4344	147	120	36
				<b>(17)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(137)</b>	<b>(2986)</b>	<b>(124)</b>	<b>(24)</b>	<b>(13)</b>
	TSHE	5	22	100	6	291	2812	146	129	29
				<b>(24)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(72)</b>	<b>(13591)</b>	<b>(46)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>(17)</b>
	THPL	2	6	86	15	437	1316	118	87	30
			<b>(12)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(664)</b>	<b>(32)</b>	<b>(19)</b>	<b>(42)</b>	
	PIMO	1	1	100	5	213	236	159	188	40
TSHE/CONU/ACTR	PSME	9	41	135	11	420	8972	178	145	20
				<b>(12)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(91)</b>	<b>(3580)</b>	<b>(115)</b>	<b>(17)</b>	<b>(20)</b>
	TSHE	1	5	129	14	366	8041	165	186	
TSHE-PSME/HODI	PSME	11	55	113	12	372	6471	145	114	27
				<b>(22)</b>	<b>(9)</b>	<b>(158)</b>	<b>(3361)</b>	<b>(81)</b>	<b>(32)</b>	<b>(21)</b>
TSHE-PSME-ARME	PSME	2	10	105	9	385	3560	279	103	40
				<b>(13)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(203)</b>	<b>(357)</b>	<b>(59)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	<b>(11)</b>

\* site Index for ALRU and ACMA are base age 50.



## APPENDIX 3

Mean percent cover and constancy of plant species  
in Western Hemlock Zone plant associations.

**%COV** is the average percent cover of a species for those  
plots on which it occurs.

**CONS** constancy = the percent of the total plots in an  
association on which a species is present.

See Table 17 (or Garrison et al. 1976) for definitions of  
species codes.

The order of the associations in this appendix is:

TSHE/LYAM

TSHE/VAAL/OXOR

**TSHE/POMU-OXOR**

**TSHE/BENE/POMU**

**TSHE/VAAL/COCA**

TSHE/ACTR

TSHE/VAAL/GASH

TSHE/BENE

TSHE-PSME/HOD i

(Note: data **from** the Gifford Pinchot  
and Mt. Hood National Forests were  
combined for these associations)

TSHE/ATF I

**TSHE/OPHO/POMU**

TSHE/POMU

TSHE/T I TR

TSHE/BENE-GASH

TSHE/GASH

TSHE/CONU/ACTR

TSHE-PSME-ARME

(Note: data for these associations  
are all **from** the Gifford Pinchot  
**National** Forest)

Appendix 3

	TSHE/LYAM	TSHE VAAL/OXOR	TSHE POMU-OXOR	TSHE BENE/POMU	TSHE VAAL/COCA
#PLOTS	5	24	82	33	27
# ON GP	1	2	14	16	13
# ON MH	4	22	68	17	14
	%COV CONS	%COV CONS	%COV CONS	%COV CONS	%COV CONS
<b>MATURE TREES</b>					
ABAM		3.7 13	5.0 1	5.5 6	2.8 33
ABGR	30.0 20		15.0 2		
ABPR		15.0 4	5.0 1		3.5 7
ACMA	30.0 20	1.0 4	8.0 23	8.8 58	
ALRU	32.0 80	15.0 4	8.6 6	2.0 3	10.0 4
PIMO					1.0 4
POTR2					
PSME	22.3 60	35.8 100	48.3 100	46.5 100	28.5 96
TABR		1.5 8	1.8 6	18.0 12	5.9 26
THPL	31.8 80	16.4 46	17.7 55	74.3 48	18.1 70
TSHE	30.0 40	43.3 100	36.9 95	27.4 76	46.0 96
<b>REGENERATING TREES</b>					
ABAM		2.8 38	1.0 1	1.0 6	2.2 41
ABGR	1.0 20		1.0 2	4.0 6	
ACMA			1.1 9	2.0 18	
ALRU	2.0 20		1.0 2		
PSME		1.0 4	1.0 1	1.0 3	4.0 11
THPL	1.3 80	4.4 33	2.2 30	2.1 27	3.4 48
TSHE	1.0 20	17.5 8	9.5 17	7.5 45	8.1 44
<b>SHRUBS</b>					
ACCI	30.6 100	12.8 54	14.8 73	23.9 94	12.4 63
ACGLD					2.0 4
AMAL			1.0 1	1.5 6	1.0 7
BEAQ				1.0 3	
BENE	2.0 20	3.8 67	11.0 82	21.8 100	9.3 89
CACH					1.0 11
CHUM		1.0 4		1.2 18	3.0 56
COCO2	1.0 20	4.0 4	2.0 7	6.2 36	1.0 7
CONU		5.0 4	2.0 1	3.5 24	
GASH		12.3 46	6.8 54	3.6 61	2.7 48
HODI			2.0 5	2.5 12	
MEFE		1.0 13	1.5	1.0 3	2.3 15
OPHO	5.0 20	1.5 33	1.6 2:	1.4 15	1.3 11
RHDI					
RHMA		1.0 13	5.9 18	1.0 3	5.1 33
ROGY			1.4 9	2.4 27	2.4 26
RUNI		2.0 13	1.0 10	1.3 12	1.0 15
RUPA	2.5 40	1.0 4	1.8 5	1.0 15	
RUSP	2.5 40	1.0 13	1.0 4	1.0 3	1.0 4
SYAL			1.5 2	1.3 12	
SYMO		1.0 4	1.2 6	1.7 18	1.5 15
VAAL	2.0 20	22.9 100	2.3 18	1.5 12	17.5 96
VAME		2.0 8	7.3 4		4.1 52
VAOV		1.0 4	2.0 2		7.9 37
VAPA	1.0 49	8.5 79	3.8 88	3.1 70	5.3 78

Appendix 3 (cont.)

	TSHE/LYAM		TSHE/ VAAL/OXOR		TSHE POMU-OXOR		TSHE BENE/POMU		TSHE VAAL/COCA	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
HERBS										
ACTR			3.7	29	3.5	24	2.6	67	2.8	44
ADBI	1.0	40			1.6	15	2.1	39	1.0	4
ADPE					2.1	15	1.6	15		
ANDE			1.4	21	1.4	22	1.7	52	1.4	19
ARMA3							1.2	15		
ASCA3	2.0	80	2.5	8	1.2	12	1.7	9	4.5	7
ATF1	15.2	100	1.8	21	2.2	32	1.2	15	2.0	4
BLSP			3.3	75	2.3	39	3.5	6	1.5	15
CASC2					2.0	4	1.5	12		
CLUN	1.0	40	1.8	50	1.4	16	1.6	21	2.4	59
COCA	2.0	20	5.2	79	2.4	12	1.5	6	8.2	100
DIFO	1.0	40	1.5	8	2.1	17				
DIHO			1.6	29	1.5	41	1.1	21	1.0	15
DRAU2	2.0	60	1.7	25	2.1	29	1.0	3		
FESU	1.0	40			1.0	5	2.5	6		
GATR	1.0	60	1.0	8	1.3	34	1.6	42	1.0	7
GOOB			1.0	8	1.8	5	1.4	42	1.0	41
GYDR					1.3	4	2.5	6	1.0	4
HIAL					1.3	5	1.2	18	1.0	4
HYTE					1.0	3				
LAW							1.0	3		
LIBO2	2.0	40	3.2	63	2.6	20	2.4	36	7.7	93
LYAM	27.2	100			2.5	2				
MAD12	1.3	60	3.1	33	1.6	26	2.0	6	3.5	15
MOS1	2.7	60	1.0	4	1.4	12	1.0	12	1.0	4
NEPA										
OSCH					1.5	2	5.7	9		
OSPU	1.0	20			1.0	1			1.0	4
OXOR	2.7	60	26.6	100	44.9	100	5.0	3	5.0	4
POMU	7.0	80	3.3	92	18.8	100	25.5	100	2.1	41
PTAQ	5.0	20	1.7	13	3.5	40	2.3	36	4.7	26
PYSE									1.0	15
SMRA					1.3	15	1.2	27	1.0	11
SMST	3.0	20	6.7	50	2.8	33	1.4	36	3.9	37
SYRE							2.5	6		
TITR	16.0	60	1.7	75	1.8	38	1.9	36	3.4	56
TOME	14.0	60			2.0	1	1.0	3	1.0	4
TRLA2			1.0	8	1.3	13	2.2	52	1.0	4
VAHE	2.0	20	5.7	25	2.2	37	1.9	52	2.7	41
VIOR2					1.8	5	1.6	21	2.0	11
WISE			1.5	25	1.5	33	2.6	21	1.4	30
XETE			1.0	4	1.0	4			9.2	33
MOSS	37.4	100	21.6	100	28.3	96	38.9	100	42.3	100
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS

Appendix 3 (cont.)

	TSHE/ACTR		TSHE VAAL-GASH		TSHE/BENE		TSHE- PSME/HODI	
# PLOTS	141		17		64		36	
# ON GP	94		8		35		8	
# ON MH	47		9		29		28	
	%COV CONS		%COV CONS		%COV CONS		%COV CONS	
<b>MATURE TREES</b>								
ABAM	3.1	5	2.2	29	2.7	9	1.0	6
ABGR	14.8	9			17.5	3		
ABPR	7.5	3	5.5	12	1.3	5		
ACMA	4.7	22			2.5	9	15.2	44
ALRU	5.5	9			2.0	2		
PIMO	2.0	1	1.0	6	3.3	5	1.0	3
POTR2	20.0	1						
PSME	49.5	100	28.9	88	36.9	97	65.8	100
TABR	5.2	9	1.0	6	6.4	22	2.5	6
THPL	13.7	36	7.4	65	9.3	58	8.5	11
TSHE	24.6	66	39.1	100	39.9	88	17.8	28
<b>REGENERATING TREES</b>								
ABAM	1.5	12	4.3	35	1.2	16		
ABGR	2.5	12	10.0	6	2.0	5	1.0	3
ACMA	1.4	13			3.0	2	1.5	6
ALRU	1.2	4			1.0	2		
PSME	1.6	12	1.0	18	1.8	14	2.0	28
THPL	2.6	23	2.3	41	2.6	36	2.2	14
TSHE	3.7	50	4.0	47	3.6	42	2.7	8
<b>SHRUBS</b>								
ACCI	17.2	90	21.2	59	9.6	84	21.7	86
ACGLO	1.8	4			2.0	3	6.3	33
AMAL	1.5	8			1.0	3	1.8	53
BEAQ	0	0			2.0	3	1.7	31
BENE	15.7	97	5.2	76	13.9	94	22.0	86
CACH	7.5	4	2.0	6	2.1	11	2.2	17
CHUM	3.1	33	3.0	41	2.1	44	1.8	14
COCO2	2.3	30			2.2	9	4.2	72
CONU	2.4	26	2.0	6	1.7	5	2.0	14
GASH	5.4	42	14.2	100	1.6	44	3.0	19
HODI	1.7	7					7.6	83
MEFE	1.0	1	1.5	12				
OPHO	1.2	8					1.0	3
RHDI	0	0					2.3	8
RHMA	2.1	8	1.8	24	1.9	14	3.7	8
ROGY	2.3	51	2.0	18	1.8	13	5.8	22
RUNI	1.7	11			1.1	11		
RUPA	1.6	19	2.0	6	1.0	2	4.1	22
RUSP	1.1	8	2.0	6	1.0	3	1.0	3
SYAL	1.5	4					7.3	17
SYMO	2.2	42	10.0	6	1.2	23	5.4	67
VAAL	2.3	10	12.9	94	1.5	3	2.0	3
VAME	1.1	16	5.3	18	1.3	13	2.5	6
VAOV	1.7	7	9.3	18	1.0	5	1.0	3
VAPA	2.2	61	6.2	88	1.4	64	1.9	28

Appendix 3 (cont.)

	TSHE/ACTR		TSHE VAAL-GASH		TSHE/BENE		TSHE- PSME/HODI	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
HERBS								
ACTR	6.8	92	2.3	18	1.3	42	3.2	42
ADBI	2.3	46			1.0	14	3.0	50
ADPE	1.0	1					2.0	3
ANDE	1.8	70	1.0	12	1.0	14	1.8	47
ARMA3	1.4	16			1.5	3	2.4	69
ASCA3	2.3	16					2.0	6
ATF1	1.6	7			1.0	3	2.0	6
BLSP	1.1	6	1.5	24	1.0	5		
CASC2	1.6	19			1.3	5		
CLUN	1.8	41	3.0	18	1.5	17	1.0	3
COCA	2.8	34	3.3	35	1.5	17		
DIFO	2.0	2					1.3	8
DIHO	1.5	38			1.0	3	1.7	28
DRAU2	1.7	2						
FESU	1.7	4			1.0	2	1.6	14
GATR	1.6	45			1.1	11	2.0	33
GOOB	1.1	38	1.0	12	1.1	39	1.0	17
GYDR	1.0	1						
HIAL	1.4	30	1.0	6	1.3	9	1.5	61
HYTE	0	0						
LAP0	2.0	4			1.0	2	11.0	22
LIB02	5.4	54	6.4	71	5.0	47	2.1	28
LYAM	1.0	1						
MAD12	1.4	6	2.3	18				
MOS1	1.1	6			1.0	2		
NEPA	1.3	2					1.0	17
OSCH	1.7	15	1.0	6			1.3	17
OSPU	1.0	3			1.0	2	1.4	14
OXOR	1.5	3	2.0	6				
POMU	2.8	67	1.5	65	1.7	41	6.1	75
PTAQ	4.7	51	1.7	41	1.8	19	13.8	28
PYSE	1.2	9			1.3	9	1.0	3
SMRA	1.3	21			1.3	6	1.2	50
SMST	3.9	53	1.3	18	1.1	17	1.9	25
SYRE	1.5	1					1.5	17
TITR	2.1	43	1.2	35	1.1	28		
TOME	1.0	3						
TRLA2	1.9	47			1.5	17	2.0	86
VAHE	2.7	55	1.8	29	1.4	13	2.9	33
VIOR2	2.0	29			1.0	3	1.0	8
WISE	1.9	45	1.0	12	2.4	52	1.7	25
XETE	3.2	4	5.3	35	1.2	8	2.0	3
Moss	29.9	99	37.2	94	37.2	97	37.6	97
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS

#PLOTS	TSHE/ATFI a		TSHE OPHO/POMU 17		TSHE/POMU 16		TSHE/TITR 26	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
<b>MATURE TREES</b>								
ABAM	2.0	13	6.0	6			3.0	15
ABGR	5.0	13					1.5	7
ABPR			1.0	6			5.0	7
ACMA	12.0	38	4.8	28	5.5	38	4.0	15
ALRU	12.8	63	3.4	28	6.0	31	6.0	4
ARME								
PIMO							2.0	4
POTR			1.0	6				
PSME	32.5	75	31.5	94	35.3	100	29.1	100
TABR			3.5	11	1.0	6	2.4	19
THPL	15.8	50	9.1	61	a.7	44	7.9	70
TSHE	14.0	100	17.0	83	21.9	75	16.1	89
<b>REGENERATING TREES</b>								
ABAM	1.0	13	2.0	11			1.9	37
ABGR			1.0	6			1.5	7
ACMA			2.0	6	1.0	19	1.3	11
ALRU	2.0	25	1.0	11	2.0	6	2.0	4
PSME	1.0	13	3.0	6	1.0	13	1.0	19
THPL	6.0	13	2.4	39	2.4	31	2.4	37
TSHE	3.7	88	6.9	72	4.3	88	6.3	93
<b>SHRUBS</b>								
ACCI	4.8	50	12.5	89	10.1	88	11.4	93
ACGLD			2.5	11			3.0	4
AMAL			1.0	6			2.3	11
BENE	3.3	88	6.9	78	3.6	100	7.5	89
CHME	1.0	13	1.5	11	1.7	19	1.0	30
CHUM	3.0	13	1.0	6	1.3	19	1.5	48
COCO2	2.5	25	2.0	11	2.2	31	1.4	26
CQNU					2.5	13	1.5	15
GASH	2.5	25	2.0	28	3.9	63	3.6	41
HODI	1.5	25			3.0	6		
MEFE			1.0	6	1.0	6		
OECE	3.0	13	1.3	17	1.0	13		
OPHO	2.0	25	6.3	100	1.6	31	1.2	44
PAMY							1.0	4
RHPU			2.0	6	2.0	13		
RILA	2.0	25	1.0	17			1.0	4
ROGY	1.7	38	1.4	39	2.0	50	1.6	52
RUNI	1.0	13	2.3	22	1.7	19	1.0	37
RUPA	1.0	13	1.5	11	2.0	6	2.0	11
RUSP	2.0	38	1.0	28	2.5	13	1.0	26
RUUR	1.2	75	1.5	56	1.2	56	1.8	63
SARA	2.0	25	2.0	6	1.0	6		
SYMO			3.0	11	5.0	19	4.3	11
VAAL	1.0	13	3.4	28	1.0	13	3.0	37
VAME	1.0	13	2.3	17	2.0	6	2.5	37
VAOV	4.0	13	2.0	6	1.0	13	1.7	22
VAPA	3.0	63	2.2	78	1.9	81	2.5	81

Appendix 3 (cont.)

	TSHE/ATFI		TSHE/ OPHO/POMU		TSHE/POMU		TSHE/TITR	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
<b>HERBS</b>								
ACRU	1.0	25	1.0	28	1.0	25	1.0	15
ACTR	2.4	88	4.4	89	2.7	69	4.2	85
ADBI	2.5	25	1.9	56	2.6	44	1.5	56
ADPE	2.0	38	2.7	33	2.3	19	1.3	11
ANDE	1.0	13	1.6	44	1.8	69	1.8	70
ANLY2	1.0	13			1.5	13	1.0	4
ARMA3			3.0	6	5.0	6		
ASCA3	2.0	25	1.3	39	4.0	6	2.0	15
ATFI	5.8	100	2.9	83	1.0	31	1.4	33
BLSP	1.8	50	3.0	33	1.5	38	1.2	37
CASC2			1.5	22	3.0	19	3.7	11
CLUN	1.0	38	1.4	44	1.5	25	2.1	59
COCA	2.0	13	2.1	39			2.0	41
DIFO	3.7	38	1.2	28	2.0	6	2.0	4
DIHO	1.0	50	1.4	28	3.0	31	1.8	44
DRAU2			1.8	28	1.0	6	1.0	15
GATR	2.3	75	1.4	67	2.9	44	1.3	44
GOOB	1.0	13	1.3	39	1.0	13	1.1	26
GYDR			4.3	22	2.0	6	3.4	19
HAL			1.0	17	2.8	25	1.1	30
HYTE	10.0	13	1.0	22				
LAMU			1.0	6	2.0	6		
LAPU					4.0	6		
LIBO2	3.0	25	2.1	39	3.6	31	2.7	67
LYAM			2.0	6				
MIBR	4.0	13					1.0	4
MAD12	1.0	13	1.0	28	1.5	13	1.6	19
MOSI	8.3	50	4.3	44			i.?	11
NEPA								
OSCH	2.0	25	1.3	17			1.0	4
OXOR			17.3	17	2.0	6		
POMU	22.8	100	15.6	100	10.3	100	5.0	89
PTAQ	1.5	50	1.7	33	2.3	69	1.8	41
PYSE	1.0	13			1.0	6	1.0	4
SMRA			1.0	6	1.0	13	1.0	7
SMST	2.0	13	1.4	44	2.0	25	1.7	56
TITR	2.6	88	5.3	83	1.7	63	7.3	96
TOME	4.3	38	13.5	11				
TRLA2	1.0	38	1.3	22	2.4	56	2.6	26
TROV	1.0	75	1.4	72	1.4	88	1.4	93
VAHE	2.0	75	5.3	67	2.3	56	2.9	67
<b>VICIA</b>								
VIOR2			1.3	17	3.0	38	2.1	33
WISE	1.0	25	1.0	28	1.5	13	1.5	30
XETE	2.0	13			1.0	6	1.0	11
Moss	16	100	32	100	35	100	32	100
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS

Appendix 3 (cont.)

#PLOTS	TSHE/ BENE-GASH		TSHE/GASH		TSHE/ CONU/ACTR		TSHE- PSME-ARME	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
	42		20		10		2	
<b>MATURE TREES</b>								
ABAM	3.0	2						
ABGR					5.0	10		
ABPR	2.0	2	1.0	15				
ACMA	3.0	24	3.0	30	4.3	30	1.0	100
ALRU	3.5	5	1.0	10				
ARME							2.0	100
PIMO			2.8	20				
POTR								
PSME	33.2	98	28.2	100	64.1	100	11.5	100
TABR	6.0	10	1.0	5	1.0	10		
THPL	6.2	48	4.2	65	10.0	10	1.0	50
TSHE	13.9	79	13.0	80	42.5	20	1.0	50
<b>REGENERATING TREES</b>								
ABAM	1.9	19	1.0	15				
ABGR					5.0	10		
ACMA	1.0	10	1.5	10	1.5	20	1.0	50
ALRU	2.0	2						
PSME	1.8	29	1.3	35	2.3	30	1.0	50
THPL	3.0	38	1.9	50	1.0	10		
TSHE	3.7	90	2.6	85	5.0	10	1.0	100
<b>SHRUBS</b>								
ACCI	9.9	79	6.2	55	29.7	100	1.5	100
ACGLD	1.7	7	3.0	5	1.0	10		
AMAL	1.0	5	1.0	5	1.0	10		
BENE	16.2	100	3.2	100	12.9	100	10.0	50
CHME	1.2	21	1.0	10	1.3	30		
CHUM	1.8	48	2.2	30	1.5	20	1.0	100
COCO2	3.3	21	3.3	15	4.0	40	1.0	100
CONU	3.8	40	2.3	30	13.3	100	1.0	50
GASH	23.4	100	34.3	100	3.0	10	70.0	50
HODI	1.5	14	1.0	5	2.0	40	1.0	50
MEFE	1.0	2						
OECE	2.0	2						
OPHO			1.0	5				
PAMY	1.4	12	1.7	15				
RHPU	1.0	10						
RILA	1.0	2						
ROGY	1.5	64	1.1	60	2.9	100	1.0	50
RUNI	1.4	12	1.0	5				
RUPA	1.3	14	1.0	10	2.3	30		
RUSP	1.0	19	1.0	15			1.0	50
RUUR	1.8	50	1.3	60	2.0	40		
SARA	2.0	2						
SYMO	1.8	29	1.7	15	2.5	80		
VAAL	1.5	5	2.5	10				
VAME	2.0	10	1.0	25	2.0	10		
VAOV	1.0	7	1.5	10				
VAPA	2.0	83	2.4	90	2.3	30	1.0	50

Appendix 3 (cont.)

	TSHE/ BENE-GASH		TSHE/GASH		TSHE/ CONU/ACTR		TSHE- PSME-ARME	
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS
HERBS								
ACRU								
ACTR	1.8	62	1.6	40	19.2	100	2.0	50
ADBI	1.2	29	2.0	10	3.9	90	1.0	50
ADPE								
ANDE	1.6	50	1.0	25	3.3	80		
ANLY2	1.0	5			1.0	10		
ARMA3	1.0	2			2.0	30		
ASCA3								
ATF1	1.0	7			1.0	10		
BLSP	1.0	7						
CASC2	1.5	5			1.6	50		
CLUN	1.3	14	1.0	5	2.2	60		
COCA	2.0	17	1.0	10	3.0	20		
DIFO								
DIHO	1.0	5	1.0	5	2.0	60		
DRAW								
GATR	1.2	24	1.0	10	2.3	70	1.0	50
GOOB	1.0	26	1.0	20	1.5	20		
GYDR								
HIAL	1.2	14	1.0	5	2.0	10	1.0	50
HYTE								
LAMU								
LAP0	2.0	2			2.0	20		
LIBO2	1.9	62	1.9	60	2.7	30	1.0	50
LYAM								
MIBR								
MAD12	1.0	5						
MOS1	1.5	5			4.0	20		
NEPA								
OSCH	1.3	7			2.4	7G		
OXOR	1.0	7	2.0	5				
POMU	2.1	52	1.2	30	2.5	80	1.0	50
FTAQ	2.0	62	2.6	70	2.3	40	1.0	50
PYSE	1.0	5						
SMRA	1.3	7			2.0	10		
SMST	1.3	17	1.5	10	1.9	80		
TITR	1.0	10	1.0	10	2.0	20		
TOME								
TRLA2	1.2	45	1.3	45	4.2	90	1.0	100
TROV	1.1	43	1.0	15	1.7	90		
VAHE	1.6	26	1.0	15	4.0	80		
VICIA								
VIOR2	1.6	48	1.5	20	2.8	90	1.0	50
WISE	1.0	17	1.3	30				
XETE	2.5	5	1.3	20	2.0	10		
Moss	29.5	100	28	95	19	90	25	100
	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS	%COV	CONS


APPENDIX 4

Use these charts to estimate percent cover of both understory and overstory species.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

COMPARISON CHARTS FOR VISUAL  
ESTIMATION OF FOLIAGE COVER 1



1. Developed by Richard D. Terry and George V. Chilingar. Published by the Society of Economic Paleontologist and Mineralogist in its Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 25 (3): 229-234, September, 1955.

## APPENDIX 5

### RELATIONSHIP OF FOREST ZONES TO COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN WORKING GROUPS

In general, the working groups for the new Forest Plan correspond to the forest zones as defined by climax tree species. **Some** important differences must be noted. The Forest planning models have limits to the number of working groups which can be dealt with in the detail employed by the Gifford Pinchot National Forest planners. Therefore **decisions** were made to **combine** or separate Forest Zones according to the greatest differences which would affect the many resources modeled and the most recent mapping units available. The biological definitions of forest zones were used as defined by the Regional Ecology Programs. The major **combination** of zones into a working group was the addition of the Grand Fir Zone to the western hemlock working group. The working groups also include areas mapped by **dominant** canopy tree species which sometimes do not correspond to climax tree species used to delimit the forest zones. The Forest Zone composition of the working groups is as follows:

<u>WORKING GROUP</u>	<u>FOREST ZONE</u> (and associated tree species)
Western hemlock	W. Hemlock, Grand Fir, & Ponderosa Pine Zones (western redcedar and Douglas-fir)
Pacific silver fir	<b>Pacific</b> Silver Fir Zone (lacks silver fir-mtn. hemlock mixture)
Mountain hemlock	Mountain Hemlock Zone (Engelmann spruce, Alaska yellow cedar, subalpine fir & silver fir-mtn. hemlock)
Red alder	Riparian areas and Western Hemlock Zone (black cottonwood)
Lodgepole pine	<b>Lodgepole</b> Pine Zone (western larch)
Subalpine fir	Subalpine Fir Zone (mixed <b>subalpine</b> fir-mtn. hemlock forest with alpine parkland)

# KEY TO WESTERN HEMLOCK ZONE PLANT ASSOCIATIONS

See page 60 for complete instructions for the use of this key.  
 See Table 1 and 17 for English names of plant association species codes.  
 See Halverson et al. 1986 for photos and descriptions of these species.

- 1a Skunk-cabbage (LYAM) cover  $\geq$  2% TSHE/LYAM (p 70)
- 1b Skunk-cabbage cover  $<$  2% 2
  
- 2a Devil's club (OPHO) cover  $\geq$  3% TSHE/OPHO/POMU (p 74)
- 2b Devil's club cover  $<$  3% 3
  
- 3a Lady fern (ATFI) cover  $\geq$  5% TSHE/ATFI (p 72)
- 3b Lady fern cover  $<$  5% 4
  
- 4a Oregon oxalis (OXOR) cover  $\geq$  5% 5
- 4b Oregon oxalis cover  $<$  5% 6
  
- 5a Alaska huckleberry (VAAL) cover  $\geq$  3% TSHE/VAAL/OXOR (p 78)
- 5b Alaska huckleberry cover  $<$  3% TSHE/POMU-OXOR (p 76)
  
- 6a Cool wort foamf lower (TITR,=TIUN) plus  
inside-out flower (VAHE) cover  $\geq$  5% TSHE/TITR (p 80)
- 6b Coolwort foamflower & VAHE COVER  $<$  5% 7
  
- 7a Alaska huckleberry (VAAL) cover  $\geq$  5% 8
- 7b Alaska huckleberry cover  $<$  5% 9
  
- 8a Salal (GASH) cover  $\geq$  5% TSHE/VAAL-GASH (p 88)
- 8b Salal cover  $<$  5% TSHE/VAAL/COCA (p 86)
  
- 9a Swordfern (POMU) cover  $\geq$  10% 10
- 9b Swordfern cover  $<$  10% 11
  
- 10a Dwarf Oregon grape (BENE) cover  $\geq$  10% TSHE/BENE/POMU (p 84)
- 10b Dwarf Oregon grape cover  $<$  10% TSHE/POMU (p 82)
  
- 11a Madrone (ARME) cover  $\geq$  2% TSHE-PSME-ARME (p 105)
- 11 b Madrone cover  $<$  2% 12
  
- 12a Oceanspray (HODI) cover  $\geq$  3% TSHE-PSME/HODI (p 102)
- 12b Oceanspray cover  $<$  3% 13

