

ASSESSMENT OF SITE AND STAND DISTURBANCE FROM CUT-TO-LENGTH HARVESTING¹

Clyde G. Vidrine, Cornelis deHoop, and Bobby L. Lanford²

Abstract—Assessment of stand and soil disturbance resulting from cut-to-length (CTL) winter season harvest demonstrations performed on a 12-year-old pine plantation first thinning, 23-year-old second thinning, and a mixed pine/hardwood natural stand clearcut harvest is reported. The harvests were performed on Martin Timber Company lands in central Louisiana, during February and March, 1997. Soil disturbance results show that 11.0 percent of the total harvest area was disturbed to some level, soil bulk density in disturbed areas was increased by 21.4 percent in the most severe cases, rut depth averaged 13.0 inches in the most severely disturbed areas along the corridor trail, and logging slash occupied up to 70 percent of the corridor trail distance. Mean soil bulk density in traveled areas covered with slash was 0 to 14 percent higher than the undisturbed areas. In first thinning harvest trials, 2.1 percent of the residual trees had bole injuries. In second thinning trials less than 1 percent of the residual trees had bole injuries.

INTRODUCTION

Forest managers in the southern central region of the United States are becoming increasingly interested in Scandinavian-designed timber harvesting/forwarding systems developed during the early 1970's for thinning and clearcutting southern pine plantations, principally for environmental reasons. The ability of the system to perform thinning harvests with minimum damage to the residual stand and to the soil is important to forest managers. Also, logging slash being distributed at the harvest site rather than being left in piles at the processing site is important to forest managers and loggers as reported by Gingras (1994). Logging slash distributed at the site and in direct contact with the soil is also important for minimizing nutrient losses. Gingras also reported that CTL harvest costs are comparable or lower than full-tree harvesting with processing at roadside, that CTL systems require reduced supervision and support requirements, and CTL systems minimize adverse impact in harvesting wet sites allowing more operable days per year—all of importance to loggers. Guimier (1997) reported that about 30 percent of the timber being harvested in Canada uses CTL logging systems, up from 10 percent in 1990. The CTL system is generally composed of two machines: a harvester and a forwarder. The harvester consists of a rubber-tired carrier with a felling/processing head and usually an on-board computer-linked measuring system which allows the operator to cut stems to lengths and diameters in accordance with mill specifications for logs. The forwarder consists of a carrier with a load-carrying rack and loader which allows it to self-load and self-unload onto logging trucks. Advantages claimed for this systems includes less damage to the residual stand than harvesting by conventional systems, the ability to merchandise products in the woods, increased recovery of higher valued products, minimum site damage, elimination of the need for a loader, large forwarder payload, and operator safety and comfort (Tufts and Brinker 1993, Richardson and Makkonen 1994, and O'Connor 1991). Disadvantages of CTL systems include high initial cost of individual machines, complexity requiring highly skilled

mechanics and operators, productivity sensitive to tree size (due to single-stem processing), log size/weight limitation, forwarders less versatile than skidders, and log length limitation of the forwarder (Tufts and Brinker 1993, O'Connor 1991, and Conway 1982). Because the harvester felling head is boom-mounted, the machine does not have to drive to every tree harvested, as is the case with commonly used wheeled feller-bunchers. This may reduce the number and severity of residual tree injuries and extent of ground area compaction. In a site and stand impact study of a first thinning harvest of an 14-year-old pine plantation stand comparing a CTL operation to a feller-buncher-skidder operation, Lanford and Stokes (1995) reported the CTL system disturbed significantly less area than the skidder system. They also reported that the skidder system injured 25 trees per acre compared to 10 trees per acre for the CTL system. After severing the tree from the stump, the harvester's boom is retracted to allow the top to fall within the growing space the tree occupied rather than being forced through the canopy or carried through the residual stand. Processing the tree in front of the machine and then driving over the slash is reported to reduce soil compaction from the harvester and the forwarder. Seixas and others (1995) reported that for a single forwarder pass on wet soil, slash coverage at 20 kg/m² was effective at controlling soil compaction. However, on dry, loamy sand soils, Seixas reported the presence of slash did not decrease soil compaction for a single forwarder pass but did for multiple passes. The forwarder typically follows in the same path as the harvester and makes fewer trips than a skidder for the same production. Although the CTL system is not being used extensively in Louisiana, many forest managers are interested in its potential for their lands.

OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

The objectives of this study were to assess ground disturbance of a CTL harvesting system to the harvested area for first thinning, second thinning and clearcut operations, and damage to residual stands in the first and second thinning harvests.

¹ Paper presented at the Tenth Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference, Shreveport, LA, February 16-18, 1999.

² Professor, School of Forestry, Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA 71272; Associate Professor, School of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803; and Associate Professor, School of Forestry, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, respectively.

STUDY SITES

The CTL harvests were performed on Martin Timber Company lands in the north central Louisiana parishes of Bienville and Natchitoches during February and March, 1997. The first thinning operation was in Bienville parish, Section 28 of Township 15 North, Range 8 West. At that site, the soil is described by the Soil Survey of Bienville Parish as being of the Malbis fine sandy loam (**MgB**) series and Sawyer very fine sandy loam (**SnC**) series. The **MgB** and **SnC** soils have 1 to 5 percent slopes and are described as well drained soils. Moist bulk density of the **MgB** soil is 1.30 to 1.60 g/cc and for the **SnC** soil 1.45 to 1.60 g/cc-according to the Soil Survey. Soil texture classification performed on a sample taken from the first thinning study site indicated the soil to be composed of 6 percent clay, 36 percent silt, and 58 percent sand which is classified as a sandy loam soil.

The second thinning was located in Section 17 and the **clearcut** harvest site was in Section 23—both in Township 11 North, Range 8 West of Natchitoches Parish. According to the Soil Survey of Natchitoches Parish the soils are described as belonging to the Gore-Acadia-Wrightsville series, which are level to gently sloping, moderately well drained, some poorly drained, and poorly drained soils that have a loamy surface layer and a clayey subsoil. Those soils are formed in old stream deposits. According to the soil survey, moist bulk density is from 1.30-l .50 for the Gore series, 1.35-l .70 for the Acadia series, and 1.35-l .65 for the Wrightsville series.

In the second thinning site a bulldozer cleared out the old rows of the first thinning to facilitate timber cruising. Unfortunately, this caused some problems with the logging equipment's flotation, so the CTL operators cut new rows where the ground was particularly soft. The new rows were approximately perpendicular to the original thinning rows. No soil bulk density samples were taken in the bulldozer tracks.

The logging occurred during the time of year when the ground conditions are typically the least favorable for equipment flotation because ground conditions are at their wettest from winter rains and because evapotranspiration is at its seasonal lowest. The logging conditions during these trials were even wetter than normal. Originally, it was planned to perform conventional **harvest** operations adjacent to the CTL operations using feller-bunch&skidders, but ground conditions were too wet on both thinning sites to permit conventional skidder operations.

Timber cruise summaries for the first and second thinning trials are provided in Table 1. Cruise data on the **clearcut** harvest site, which was a mature upland pine-hardwood stand, was not taken.

THE CTL MACHINES

The CTL machines used in this study were a Ponsse HS 15 Ergo harvester and Ponsse S 15 Ergo forwarder (Lumpkin, 1996). Both **114-kw (153-hp)** diesel engine powered machines were 6-wheeled all-wheel drive and were equipped with **700/55-34** tires on the single axle and **700/50-26.5** tires on the **tandem/bogie** axles. The bogie axles on both machines were equipped with **34-inch** wide "over-the-tire" type metal tracks and tire chains were fitted to the **700/55-34** single axle tires for the tests. According to Ponsse technical data, **total** weight of the harvester is 13,050 kg (28,770 **lb**), and for the forwarder total weight is 10,970 kg (24,184 **lb**) + 12,000 kg (26,455 **lb**) load capacity. Specified ground clearance for the front axle was 560 mm (22-inches) and 640 mm (25-inches) for the rear axles-for both machines. Wheel tread measured 2.1 m (83-inches) and wheelbase measured about 4.8 m (190-inches) with the bogies spaced 1.4 m (57-inches). The **knuckleboom/**slideboom-mounted harvester head had a reach of **10-**meters (32.8 feet) from the pivot center. Operators for the harvester and forwarder were provided by Ponsse USA, Incorporated.

Table 1-Timber cruise summaries of the first-thinning and second-thinning tracts; confidence intervals are 95 percent

Variable	First thinning trial		Second thinning trial	
	Pm-harvest	Post-harvest	Pre-harvest	Post-harvest
Tract number	4-5-320		4-6-814	
Age, years	12		23	
Average d.b.h., in	6.1 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.2	9.9 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.4
Quad. mean d.b.h., in	6.2 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.8	10.8 ± 0.6
Basal area, ft ² /ac	91.6 ± 7.09	42.6 ± 2.62	90.7 ± 9.98	65.4 ± 6.94
Merch. height, ft ³	26 ± 0.3	28 ± 0.2	48 ± 1.0	49 ± 1.0
Harvested area, ac	15.6		19.5	
Number of trees/ac	431 ± 40	160 ± 12	163 ± 16	103 ± 11
Volume (cords/ac)	21.67 ± 1.84	10.36 ± 0.84	32.39 ± 3.75	23.51 ± 2.40
(CCF/ac)	16.02 ± 1.25	7.57 ± 0.49	25.91 ± 3.00	18.81 ± 1.92

^aAverage merchantable height to a 2-inch top diameter.

METHODS

In the first thinning trials of the **12-year** genetically-improved **loblolly** pine plantation planted on 8 by 8-foot spacing, every seventh row was removed for an expected corridor center spacing of 56 feet. Desired residual stand density was 165 trees per acre. The corridor row was **clearcut** and the adjacent three rows to right and left of travel were thinned by operator selection. Logging slash consisting of limbs and tops discarded by the **harvester** was placed in the path in front of the harvester travel way to act as a cushion for the wheeled machines to travel on and to provide ground cover to minimize the disturbances. Stems processed by the harvester were placed on either side of the corridor in sorted piles as pine pulpwood, hardwood pulpwood, or pine logs. Pulpwood was taken to a minimum top diameter of 3-inches and lengths of 8 to 20 feet. Pine logs for plywood manufacture were cut to lengths of either 9 or 17.5 feet, with the small end diameter greater than **5-inches**. **Traffic** on each trail consisted of a minimum of three machine passes—one pass of the harvester as it traveled in operation from roadside into the **harvest** area (or returning as it operated from the far end of the area to roadside), one pass of the forwarder as it traveled empty from roadside to the far end of the **harvest** area, and one pass as it loaded itself while traveling to the roadside—all in an effort to minimize loaded travel distance. Two additional machine passes would result when the forwarder completed its load before reaching roadside.

In the second thinning study of the **23-year** pine plantation with a targeted final stand-density of 100 trees/acre, the harvester operator did not align machine travel with planted rows or the corridor cut out from the first thinning but cut new corridors generally perpendicular to the original corridors. Corridor spacings were in accordance within the **32.8-foot** "reach" distance of the harvester's boom. The **clearcut** harvest was in a natural stand and operating width of the harvester was also in accordance with the "reach" of the **harvester** head.

Site disturbance for the CT L **harvest** system was assessed by determining the portion of the total **harvest** area disturbed and the severity of disturbance for the three **harvest** trials. Percentage of area disturbed was determined from measurements of **corridor** center-to-center distances and machine trail width along the cut corridor. Severity of disturbance was determined by measuring distance occupied by slash along the corridor trails, depth and width of rutting, and level of soil compaction resulting from the operations of the wheeled machines. Rutting depth and width means were determined from ten measurements each, taken at areas of severe disturbance along the corridor trail—away from slash accumulations or where roots limited rutting depth. Measurements were confined to the most **severely** disturbed areas and not taken at random along the corridor trail. Soil compaction was determined by comparing values of soil bulk density in undisturbed areas to that of disturbed areas from surface soil core samples taken 0 to 4-inches deep. Soil bulk density values were determined from ten samples each from each of the three **harvest trials**—**first** thinning, second thinning, and **clearcut** operations for undisturbed area values, under slash, and in the deepest of the rutted areas which resulted in a total of ninety samples analyzed. All soil samples for bulk density

and moisture content reporting were oven-dried to a constant weight at **105 °C**. Representative values of soil moisture content were determined for background information. Damage to the final stand was determined by visual inspection for tree injuries in one **harvest** area each for the first thinning and second thinning trials.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Site disturbance assessment values are given in Table 2. For the first thinning trial of the plantation planted on 8 by **8-ft** spacing with seventh **row** removal, corridor trail spacing was **56.0 feet**—in exact agreement with the expected value. Mean corridor center spacing for the second thinning harvest was 52.0 feet. Trail spacing for the **clearcut** harvest was not measured because the harvest area was irregular in that mixed pine-hardwood natural stand. Overall width of the corridor opening was about 15 feet, which was controlled by spacing of the planted stand. Mean spacing between rutted centers along the trail was from 84.6 inches for the **clearcut** harvest to 86.4 inches for the **first** thinning. Those values are in agreement with the **83-inch** wheel tread measurement and indicates that the forwarder traveled in the tracks made by the **harvester**. Mean rut depth and width were 13.0 and **37.1-inches** for each rut in the most severely rutted areas along the corridor trail for the **first** thinning study. Mean rut depth in the second thin and **clearcut** harvests were somewhat lower. Considering the rutted width of **37.1-inches** and trail center spacing of **56-feet** for the 1st thinning, 11 .0 percent of the area was disturbed by travel of the harvester and forwarder but at varying levels of severity. The 11 .0 percent disturbance value did not include disturbance at the end of the **harvest** area as the **harvester** travels from the end of a completed corridor to the beginning of the next corridor. Considering the depth of the harvest area in this trial was typically 581 feet and the corridor spacing was 56 feet, which the harvester traversed at the far end of the **harvest** area every second pass, the area disturbed by wheel traffic was 11.6 percent. Ground area disturbance for the 2nd thin **harvest** was somewhat higher at 12.4 percent since the rutted width was higher and the trail center spacing was less than for the first thinning operation. Again, the 12.4 percent value did not include ground disturbance at the end of the **harvest** area as the harvester moved from a completed corridor to the next corridor. Ground area disturbed for the **clearcut** was not determined because trail centers could **not** meaningfully be measured. Hunt, 1995, in a CTL harvest **soil** disturbance study reported average trail spacing to be 17.7 m (58 feet) with trail width 3.1 m (10 feet) and resulting area disturbance of 17.9 percent but with space between **ruts not** accounted for.

Soil compaction occurred along the wheel traffic areas for the three harvest trials as was evident from the resulting permanently formed wheel ruts. Soil bulk density was as high as 1.53 g/cc in the deepest ruts of the sandy loam **soils** of moisture contents from 22.4 to 24.1 percent (dry weight basis) for the first and second thinning harvest trials where mean rutting depth was as high as **13.0-inches**. The presence of logging slash deposited along the trail reduced compaction levels resulting from multipass wheel traffic from 1.53 g/cc in the unprotected rutted areas to 1.35 **g/cc**. Undisturbed soil bulk density determined from samples taken near the vicinity of the trails varied from 1.35 for the first thinning **harvest**, 1.24 for the second thinning, to 1.23

Table 2—Site disturbances from first thinning, second thinning, and clearcut CTL harvests

Factor evaluated	Mean values with 95 percent confidence interval ^a		
	First thin	Second thin	Clearcut
Trail traverse results			
Rut depth, inches	13.0 ±1.6	9.3 ± 1.3	10.4 ±1.3
Rut width, inches	37.1 ±2.7	30.7 ± 2.4	41.6 ±3.9
Rut center spacing, inches	86.4 ±2.0	84.7 ± 1.7	84.6 ±2.9
Corridor trail center spacing, feet	56.0 ±2.3	52.0 ± 6.5	—
Percentage of total area disturbed	10.9	12.4	—
Soil mc in undisturbed site, percent ^b	25.8 ±2.8	27.0 ± 4.4	29.4 ±2.2
Soil mc under slash, percent	28.9 ±3.5	29.0 ± 5.0	26.7 ±1.9
Soil mc in trail rut, percent	24.1 ±2.4	22.4 ± 2.8	24.8 ±3.6
Soil mc in shallow ruts (2-6 inches), percent	—	—	23.1 ±2.5
Soil bulk density, undisturbed site, g/cc	1.35±0.05	1.24± 0.08	1.23±0.09
Soil bulk density, under slash, g/cc	1.35±0.06	1.34± 0.08	1.39±0.05
Soil bulk density, in rutted site, g/cc	1.53±0.03	1.53± 0.09	1.49±0.09
Spacing between slash deposits, feet	18.8 ±3.7	22.0 ±11.0	10.4 ±2.37
Distance along slash deposits, feet	8.7 ±1.7	10.0 ± 4.27	18.9 ±5.3
Space along trail occupied by slash, percent	46.5	34.6	69.9
Slash depth, inches	6.7 ±1.2	6.2 ± 1.3	8.5 ±2.0
Soil mc too wet to operate, percent	41.6 ±8.4	—	—
Stand damage, percent residual trees injured	2.1	.6	—

^a Confidence interval reported only when applicable.

^b Soil moisture content reported on dry weight basis.

g/cc for the clearcut harvest. In the first thinning, the bulk densities of the samples from the undisturbed soil were essentially identical to the samples from under the slash. Samples taken from the exposed ruts (1.53±0.03 g/cc) had bulk densities that were significantly higher than samples from the undisturbed (1.35±0.05 g/cc) and slash sites (1.35±0.06 g/cc)-according to those means with 95 percent confidence intervals.

In both the second thinning and the clearcut corridor trails however, bulk densities of samples from the rutted areas, under slash, and undisturbed areas were all significantly different indicating that compaction occurred in both the rutted areas and the under slash trafficked areas. In the rutted areas soil bulk density was 1.53±0.09 g/cc compared to 1.34±0.08 g/cc in the under slash trafficked areas. Undisturbed soil bulk density was 1.24±0.08 g/cc-all as reported in Table 2.

All bulk density values reported are on a dry weight basis and were determined from cores taken 0 to 4-inches deep. According to Proctor Density tests for sandy loam soils, the optimum moisture content for maximum compaction is about 12 percent (Oglesby and Hicks, 1982) which indicates that compaction may have been even more severe had soil moisture been about 12 percent-

An interesting side-note was an opportunity to measure soil moisture where operations were limited by high soil

moisture. It was found that logging operations could not be performed on the sandy loam soil having a moisture content of 41.6 percent because of wheel rutting to the machine ground clearance limit of 22 inches. Operations were performed with some level of rutting where the soil moisture was about 22 to 29 percent as discussed earlier.

Slash accumulations were highly variable in depth and spacing. Spacing between slash deposits along the corridor travel paths, which resulted in soil unprotected from wheel compaction, varied from a mean of 10.4 feet for the clearcut harvest to 22.0 feet for the second thinning harvest. Distance occupied by slash varied from an average of 8.7 feet for the first thinning to 18.9 feet for the clearcut trials. In the second thinning, slash occupied 34.6 percent of the corridor trail distance while in the clearcut slash occupied 69.6 percent of the trail. Considering that the presence of slash significantly reduced soil bulk density in the traveled areas for the three harvest trials and that from 34.6 to 69.9 percent of the distance was covered with slash, it is important to note that considerably less than 12.4 percent of the total area is impacted as reported for the second thinning harvest. Mean slash depth varied from 6.2 inches for the second thinning to 8.5 inches for the clearcut harvest after having been compacted by at least three machine passes.

Damage to the residual stand was found to be 2.1 percent in the first thinning trial which was determined by inspecting all

trees in a randomly selected harvest block which was of area 0.75 acres. Three trees out of 137 trees were found to be injured—one injury being 2 by 3 inches at 1.5 ft above ground, 3 by 4 inches 4-ft above ground, and one a continuous strip from 2.5 to 6-ft above ground. This was equivalent to 4 trees per acre being injured. Lanford and Stokes (1995). reported 10 trees per acre to be injured in a similar study. In the second thinning harvest trial damage to the 100 tree/acre final stand was found to be 0.6 percent in which only one tree was found to be injured out of 163 trees inspected in one of the harvest areas. That injury was 2 by 3 inches at 6-ft above ground and that tree was next to the corridor. The machine operators were highly skilled, which resulted in a minimum of injuries to the final stand.

CONCLUSIONS

Assessments of ground and stand disturbances from CTL winter harvest demonstrations performed on a first pine plantation thinning, second thinning, and a mixed pine-hardwood clearcut harvest in northern central Louisiana show low adverse impact from operation of the system for extremely wet soil conditions. Ground disturbance results show about 11 percent of the total harvest area was disturbed to some level which is considerably less than reported by Lanford and Stokes (1995) in a study of a first thinning harvest using conventional harvesting equipment. (They reported only 30 percent of the site was undisturbed, or 70 percent disturbed, using a drive-to-tree type feller-buncher and wheeled skidders performing a thinning harvest using 5th-row corridor removal for access and the remaining trees selectively removed.) Soil compaction in disturbed areas was increased by 21.4 percent in the most severe cases. Rut depth averaged 13-inches in the most severe cases and was limited to the corridor trail and only in that portion of the trail not covered with slash. Logging slash from tops and limbs removed from felled trees covered up to 69.9 percent of the corridor trail distance in the clearcut trials and was shown to limit compaction significantly in that portion of the trail. In first thinning harvest trials with 160 trees per acre left, 2.1 percent of the residual trees had some bole injury and in the second thin trials injury to the stand was 0.6 percent- The ability of the harvester to operate with seventh row removal in an 8 by 8-ft spacing stand should allow leaving more quality crop trees in first thinning harvest operations compared to conventional feller-buncher/grapple-skidder operations doing 3rd or 5th row removal in first thinning harvests- The value of that capability needs to be further explored. Also, the value of slash distributed at the harvest site rather than left concentrated in piles at the processing site needs to be evaluated for soil nutrient benefits for southern conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Martin Timber Company employees William F. Weiger (Forest Manager) and Sam Soule (District Forestry Manager) for their cooperation with this study, Ponsse employees Hannu Suutari and Kalevi Turkia, and cooperating logging contractor Travis Taylor who purchased the machine system. The timber cruise was performed by Martin Timber Company employees.

REFERENCES

- Conway, S. 1982. Logging practices-principles of timber harvesting systems. Miller Freeman Publications. 432 p.
- Gingras, J.F. 1994. A comparison of full-tree versus cut-to-length systems in a Manitoba model forest. For. Eng. Res. Inst. of Canada Spec. Rep. SR-92.
- Guimier, Daniel Y. 1997. Forestry operations in the next century. In: Proceedings of the 20th annual meeting of the Council on Forest Engineers; Rapid City, SD.
- Hunt, J.A. 1995. Commercial thinning a coastal second-growth forest with a timberjack cut-to-length system. For. Eng. Res. Inst. of Canada Tech. Note TN-235.
- Lanford, Bobby L.; Stokes, Bryce J. 1995. Comparison of two thinning systems. Stand and site impacts. Forest Products Journal. 45(5): 74-79. Pt. 8.
- Lumpkin, Russ. 1996. Ponsse It'd: a good hunting dog. Forest Products Equipment. 5(1): 6-8.
- O'Connor, Paul R. 1991. Advantages and implications of forwarding cut-to-length wood. ASAE Pap. 91-7571. Presented at the 1991 American Society of Agricultural Engineers international winter meeting; Chicago, IL.
- Oglesby, C.H.; Hicks, R.G. 1982. Highway engineering. 4th ed.: 522.
- Richardson, R.; Makkonen, J. 1994. The performance of cut-to-length systems in eastern Canada. For. Eng. Res. Inst. of Canada Tech. Rep. TR-109.
- Selxas, F; McDonald, T.; Stokes, B.; Raper, R. 1995. Effect of slash on forwarder soil compaction. In: Proceedings of the 16th annual meeting of the Council on Forest Engineers; Cashiers, NC.
- Tufts, R.A.; Brinker, R.W. 1990. Valmet's woodstar series harvesting system: a case study. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 17(2): 69-74.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1990. Soil survey of Natchitoches. Parish, Louisiana.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. [In press]. Soil survey of Bienville Parish, Louisiana.