Horticulture, hybrid cultivars and exotic plant invasion: a case study of *Wisteria* (Fabaceae)

JENNIFER L. TRUSTY^{1*}, B. GRAEME LOCKABY¹, WAYNE C. ZIPPERER² and LESLIE R. GOERTZEN³

¹Center for Forest Sustainability, School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, 602 Duncan Dr., Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA
²Southern Center for Wildland-Urban Interface Research and Information, USDA Forest Service, 408 W. University Ave. (Suite 306), Gainesville, FL 32601, USA
³Department of Biological Sciences, 101 Life Sciences Bldg., Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

Received 21 February 2007; accepted for publication 11 June 2008

Exotic Wisteria species are highly favoured for their horticultural qualities and have been cultivated in North America since the early 1800s. This study determines the identity, genetic diversity and hybrid status of 25 Asian Wisteria cultivars using plastid, mitochondrial and nuclear DNA data. Fifteen (60%) hybrid cultivars were identified. All of the 'Wisteria sinensis' cultivars sampled are hybrids with W. floribunda. Although W. sinensis and W. floribunda are recognized invasive species in the southeastern USA, the relationships of horticultural cultivars to naturalized plants was previously unknown. Haplotype analysis of nuclear data identifies four haplotypes shared between cultivated stock and naturalized populations in the southeastern USA. In addition, US invasive haplotypes are present in New Zealand-derived cultivars although, to date, naturalized Wisteria has not been documented in New Zealand. Finally, these data are used to make recommendations to horticulturalists of select species cultivars which may be less likely to invade US landscapes. © 2008 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2008, **158**, 593–601.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: genetic diversity – hybridization – naturalization – plant breeding – urban ecosystems – Wisteria floribunda – Wisteria sinensis.

INTRODUCTION

Ornamental plant horticulture has had a long history in the USA, beginning with the first botanical garden started by John Bartram in Philadelphia in 1728 (Hedrick, 1988). From this modest start, the nursery and horticulture business in the USA was flourishing by the early 19th century. The growth and sale of useful and attractive plant species inspired American plant collectors to travel around the world in search of novel plants. Both native and exotic plants continue to be selected and introduced into the horticultural trade for their beauty and ease of propagation (Mack, 2005). Today, nearly 60 000 species and varieties of plants are offered by North American nurseries (Ewel $et \ al.$, 1999).

Unfortunately, many horticultural plants are able to escape the garden and invade natural ecosystems (Reichard & White, 2001). In the eastern USA, 61–68% of plants that were deliberately introduced before 1900 have become naturalized (Mack & Erneberg, 2002). In addition, Reichard & Campbell (1996) found that over 50% of all US invasive plants were introduced for horticultural or ornamental purposes. Because of the increasing negative impacts of invasive species on natural ecosystems, it is crucial to understand the relationship between the plants that are propagated and sold and those that are degrading native habitats.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: jtrusty@auburn.edu

HISTORY OF WISTERIA CULTIVATION

Plants in the genus *Wisteria* Nutt. are woody vines with deciduous compound leaves and showy, pendent flowers. Currently, *Wisteria* includes five recognized species (Valder, 1995), a number that may grow with taxonomic revision (Zhi, 2005). In the USA, all five species are commonly available in horticulture: the North American natives *W. frutescens* (L.) Poir. and *W. macrostachya* Nutt. ex Torr. & Gray and three exotics, *W. brachybotrys* Siebold & Zucc., *W. floribunda* (Willd.) DC. and *W. sinensis* Sweet (Valder, 1995; Isely, 1998). *Wisteria brachybotrys* and *W. floribunda* are native to Japan and *W. sinensis* is native to southeastern China.

Wisteria spp. are highly favoured for their horticultural qualities and have been cultivated in Japan and China for over 12 centuries (Valder, 1995). The first North American Wisteria species was reported in cultivation in England by 1724 under the name Glycine (Hedrick, 1988). The Asian species arrived into Western cultivation after China and Japan opened to foreign collectors in the early 19th century. In 1816 John Sims sent Glycine (Wisteria) sinensis to England from a garden in Canton, China; Wisteria floribunda was first introduced by Phillipp von Siebold to Europe in 1856. These species were introduced to the USA nearly simultaneously (Valder, 1995). Today, Wisteria spp. are commonly cultivated throughout the temperate regions of North America, Europe, Asia, Australia and New Zealand.

During their long history of cultivation, *Wisteria* spp. have been selected for a number of different morphological forms. Variation in flower characteristics, including white, pink, mauve, lilac and purple petal coloration and double-flowered forms are known. In addition, there are cultivars with variegated leaves or impressive golden autumn leaf colours, and even dwarf (bonsai) forms are available. Valder (1995) recognized six commercially available cultivars of *W. frutescens*, five of *W. macrostachya*, five of *W. brachybotrys*, 14 of *W. sinensis* and over 27 of *W. floribunda*. In addition, there are a number of unverified cultivar names, cultivars of unknown species identity and suspected hybrids available for purchase (Valder, 1995).

INVASIVE WISTERIA

In areas where *Wisteria* spp. are cultivated, these plants have had the opportunity to become naturalized into their new environment. Chinese and Japanese *Wisteria* spp. are considered invasive in 15 eastern states (Remaly, 1999; Alien Plant Working Group, 2005). Naturalized plants occur throughout the eastern USA and range from Vermont to Florida and westward to Texas and Arkansas (USDA, NRCS, 2004). Asian *Wisteria* spp. are listed as invasive by state Exotic Pest Plant Councils (EPPC) in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, South Carolina and Virginia (Miller, Chambliss & Bargeron, 2004; ALIPC, 2006). Exotic *Wisteria* are designated and monitored as suspected invasive species by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USDA Forest Service, 2001). It is unknown how long naturalized Asian *Wisteria* plants have been in the USA, but, based on the size of escaped plants, it is likely to exceed 50 years.

HYBRID STATUS OF INVASIVE PLANTS

Preliminary research conducted in our laboratory showed that naturalized plants throughout the southeastern USA are cryptic hybrids between *W. floribunda* and *W. sinensis* (Trusty *et al.*, 2007b). It is unknown whether these naturalized hybrids were formed in urban gardens or whether they are hybrid horticultural cultivars that have escaped. Although the high genetic diversity of naturalized hybrids suggests that hybridization is an ongoing phenomenon, understanding the role of horticulture in the spread of potentially invasive cultivars or genotypes is integral to limiting the future spread of these invasive plants.

This study investigates the identity, genetic diversity and hybrid status of 25 *Wisteria* cultivars currently available in horticulture. This information is the basis for determining the relationships of horticulturally propagated *Wisteria* cultivars to invasive plants in order to identify cultivars/genotypes that are potentially invasive. Finally, these data are used to make recommendations for the horticultural propagation of select non-invasive cultivars and the continued use of *Wisteria* in US landscapes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collections of named Wisteria cultivars were made from the living collections of Scott Lathrop (Santa Ana, CA, USA) and Peter Valder (Sydney, Australia). A reference collection of Wisteria species from their native ranges was made from wild-collected plants housed in botanical garden living collections. Variable mitochondria and plastid regions were discovered by amplification of previously published gene regions (Taberlet et al., 1991; Zanlugo, Litvak & Jordana, 1991). Two nuclear regions were developed to work within Wisteria species in our laboratory through the use of sequence characterized amplified regions (Trusty et al., 2007a, b). Data from 25 individuals in 10 populations of naturalized Wisteria are as described in Trusty et al. (2007b). Details of the plant material and GenBank accession numbers of the taxa sampled in this study are listed in Table 1. DNA was extracted from fresh or silica dried leaf samples using

Genus	Species	Cultivar name	trnL/F	cob	Nuclear 997	Nuclear 824	Notes	Naturalized allele species and location	Genebank accession number
Wisteria Wisteria	floribunda floribunda	'Domino' Hagoromo	E	E . E	F/F S/F	F/F F/F	Lilac-blue flowers Golden variezated		EF371949-EF371950 EF371943-EF371944
ma 10404 L		Nishiki'	4		1	- 1 -	leaves		
Wisteria	floribunda	'Harlequin'	Г	Ē.	F/F	F/F			EF371971-EF371972
Wisteria	floribunda	'Honbeni'	Ł	۲	F/F	F/F	Often called Rosea;	F2/Charleston, SC,	EF371935-EF371936
							рик-таvепцег flowers	WCU	
Wisteria	floribunda	'Issai Perfect' 1	Ł	ы	F/F	F/F	15 leaflets; light		EF371947-EF371948
Wisteria	floribunda	'Issai Perfect' 2	ы	ы	F/F	F/F	15 leaflets; light		EF371953-EF371954
Wisteria	floribunda	'Issai Perfect' 3	Ч	ы	F/F	F/F	11ac-blue nowers 15 leaflets; light		EF371973-EF371974
Wisteria	floribunda	'Jako'	∞	ы	F/F	F/F	Illac-blue flowers White-flowered;		EF371969-EF371970
							Japanese introduction		
Wisteria	floribunda	'Macrobotrys'	ы	Γ	F/F	F/F	Exceptionally long racemes		EF371939-EF371940
Wisteria	floribunda	'Macrobotrys Cascade'	S	∞	F/F	S/F	Ellerslie Racecourse, Auckland, New Zealand	F1/throughout southeastern USA S1/throughout	EF427645-EF427646
Wisteria	floribunda	'Nana Richins Purmle'	Ч	۲	S/F	F/F	Dwarf cultivar	southeastern USA	EF371977-EF371978
Wisteria	floribunda	Royal Purple'	Ŀч	۲	S/F	F/F	Darkest-flowered		EF371937-EF371938
Wisteria	floribunda	'Shiro Noda'	ы	ы	F/F	F/F	Only white-flowered		EF371931-EF371932
Wisteria	floribunda	'Violaceae Plena' 1	ы	Ы	F/F	F/F	Jurtounuu Only double-flowered Wisteria		EF371945-EF371946
Wisteria	floribunda	'Violaceae Plena' 2	£	Γ.	F/F	F/F	Only double-flowered Wisteria	F1/throughout southeastern USA	EF371985-EF371986

Table 1. Genetic identity of study accessions

Genus	Species	Cultivar name	trnL/F	cob	Nuclear 997	Nuclear 824	Notes	Naturalized allele species and location	Genebank accession number
Wisteria	sinensis	'Amethyst'	s	ß	S/F	F/F	New Zealand		EF371951-EF371952
Wisteria	sinensis	'Augusta's Pride'	ы	۲	S/S	F/F	US introduction		EF427647-EF427648
Wisteria	sinensis	'Blue Sapphire'	S	S	S/S	S/F	New Zealand	F1/throughout	EF371955-EF371956
Wisteria	sinensis	'Cooke's Special' 1	Ŀч	S	F/F	F/F	introduction California introduction	souuneasuern USA F3/ Eufala, AL, USA	EF371959-EF371960
Wisteria	sinensis	Cooke's Special'	S	S	S/S	S/F	California introduction	F1/throughout	EF371979-EF371980
Wisteria	sinensis	'Mercury'	S	S	S/S	S/F		Sutureastern CCA S1/throughout southeastern 11SA	EF371957-EF371958
Wisteria	sinensis	'Southern Belle'	S	S	S/S	S/F	US introduction	F1/throughout southeastern USA	EF371981-EF371982
Wisteria Wisteria	sinensis	'Texas White' 'C C Salact'	۲ ۲	۲. U	F/F F/F	F/F F/F	US introduction		ЕF371933-EF371934 ЕF371961_FF371969
Wisteria		'Cannington'	- E-	2 🗗	E/F	E/F	UK National Wisteria		EF371965-EF371966
							collection site; Somerset, UK		
Wisteria		'Caroline' 1	۲	S	S/F	F/F	Hybrid from New Zealand	F1/throughout southeastern USA	EF371929-EF371930
Wisteria		'Caroline' 2	S	∞	S/F	S/S	Hybrid from New Zealand		EF371963-EF371964
Wisteria		'Lavender Lace'	ГЦ	Γų	F/F	F/F	Hybrid from US		EF371941-EF371942
Wisteria		1 'Lavender Lace' م	Ч	S	S/F	F/F	garden Hybrid from US		EF371983-EF371984
Wisteria		ے 'Texas Purple'	S	S	S/S	S/F	garuen Hybrid from Texas	F1/throughout	EF371967-EF371968
Wisteria		White $Delight$	Ч	Ē	F/F	F/F		NULLEASUELLI CON	EF371975-EF371976
Recommer cob, cytoch	nded cultivars a nrome b; F, Wisi	tre in bold type. teria floribunda, S,	Wisteria si	inensis					

 Table 1. Continued

© 2008 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2008, 158, 593-601

NUCLEAR DATA

Two nuclear regions, 824 and 997, were amplified separately using primers w898-824F (5'CATGTTG CATTCAATCTTGG3'), w898-824R (5'GCCTCCATA CAAGTTAGTTG3'), w843-997F (5'GAATCAACGCT GAACGTT3') and w843-997AluR (5'GGTTCAATT TATTGATGTG3'). These primers were used to amplify all the samples in this study with the following PCR reaction conditions: $0.4 \,\mu$ M forward primer, $0.4 \,\mu$ M reverse primer, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer, $0.2 \,\mu$ M dNTPs, $0.25 \,$ U Taq polymerase (Eppendorf) and $1 \,\mu$ L of DNA in a 25- μ L reaction volume. Thermocycler conditions were 94 °C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; followed by 72 °C for 10 min.

Region 824

The PCR product was cloned for each species using the TOPO-TA cloning kit with plasmid vector pCR2 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). Colonies were screened for inserts using PCR primers and the thermocycler programme described in the cloning kit. PCR products of clones were cleaned using Microcon PCR filter units (Millipore). Clones were sequenced in two directions with the cloning primers using the dideoxy chain termination method with an ABI PRISM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit. Sequences were obtained on an ABI 3100 automated sequencer following the manufacturer's instructions. Two to four clones were sequenced in two directions for each sample.

Cloned sequences of region 824 were 632 bp long and were aligned manually. Haplotypes were classified as identical only if they matched at every sequence character. Each sample had either one (homozygous) or two (heterozygous) haplotypes corresponding to a diploid chromosome number within Wisteria. The sequence data were imported into the program TCS 1.13 (Clement, Posada & Crandall, 2000) and a haplotype network was generated using the 90% statistical parsimony limit. The use of statistical parsimony allows for the valid estimation of genealogical relationships among haplotype (allele) copies at the population level (Clement *et al.*, 2000). This methodology assumes that ancestral haplotypes are the most frequent sequences sampled and allows for recombination between existing haplotypes to be represented in the phylogram or network (Templeton, Crandall & Sing, 1992). Population studies of haplotypes represented by traditional bifurcating trees would be erroneous.

Region 997

Amplified products of nuclear region 997 were approximately 400 bp and contained a single HpyCH4 IV restriction site at 84 bp in *W. floribunda* haplotypes. For all individuals, 5 μ L of amplified product was cut for 1 h at 37 °C under the following conditions: 0.5 μ L HpyCH4 IV enzyme, 2 μ L of 10 × NEB (New England Biolabs) buffer 1 and 12.5 μ L of water. Twenty microlitres of the reaction volume were run out on a 1.5% agarose gel containing 4 μ L ethidium bromide in 1 × SB (sodium borate) buffer and visualized on an ultraviolet (UV) transilluminator. Haplotypes were scored as *W. sinensis* (single, uncut band), *W. floribunda* (two, smaller cut bands) or hybrid (all three bands).

PLASTID DATA

The plastid trnL intron and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer (trnL/F) of wild collected W. floribunda and W. sinensis were amplified using the c and f primers according to the protocol described in Taberlet *et al.* (1991). Products were sequenced using the amplification primers as described above. Primers WistrnLF (5'AGTTGACGACATTTCCTTAC3') and WistrnLR (5'GGAGTGAATGGTTTGATCAATG3') were designed to amplify a 250-bp region that contains a 30-bp deletion in W. sinensis. Products for all samples were visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel. Bands were scored by size as W. floribunda (F) or W. sinensis (S).

MITOCHONDRIAL DATA

A 398-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome $b \ (cob)$ gene that contains an AseI restriction site at base 125 in W. sinensis was amplified using primers COBRSF1 (5'CATATTGACTTTCTCTCGCC3') and COBRSR1 (5'GAATAGGATGACTCAGCGTC3') according to the PCR conditions described in the nuclear methodology above. Five microlitres of PCR product were cut with 0.5 µL AseI, 2 µL of NEB buffer 3 and 12.5 µL of distilled water for 1 h at 37 °C and visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel containing 4 µL ethidium bromide in $1 \times SB$ buffer and on a UV transilluminator. Bands were scored by size as cut or uncut, indicating W. floribunda (F) or W. sinensis (S), respectively.

RESULTS

Table 1 contains the plastid, mitochondrial and nuclear region identification for the 31 accessions of 25 named cultivars sampled in this study. The presence of nuclear haplotypes shared with naturalized individuals is noted (Table 1). Fifteen (60%) hybrid cultivars were identified. Plastid and mitochondrial markers are normally uniparentally inherited in plants and therefore represent a single maternal or paternal lineage without recombination. In *Wisteria*, the mitochondrial marker *cob*, used in this study, is maternally inherited, whereas the plastid marker (trnL/F) is paternally inherited (Hu, Zhang & Sodmergen, 2005; Trusty *et al.*, 2007a). Of the cultivars sampled, three (*W. floribunda* 'Jako', *W. floribunda* 'Lavender Lace' 2 and *W.* 'Caroline') had contrasting cytoplasmic DNA parentage indicating hybrid status.

Nuclear regions are biparentally inherited and are present in two copies in diploid Wisteria. If an individual is the product of a first generation cross (F1) between W. sinensis and W. floribunda, it should have a single copy from each parent for both region 824 and 997. None of the hybrid cultivars sampled was an F1 plant. Hybrid cultivars were identified as later generation (F2+ and backcrosses) hybrids. A single cultivar, W. sinensis 'Augusta's Pride' was homozygous for W. floribunda alleles for region 824 and homozygous for W. sinensis alleles for region 997, whereas most cultivars were hybrid for only one of the two nuclear regions (14 cultivars). The use of two nuclear regions aided in the overall identification of hybrids. Region 997 alone only identified seven (47%) of hybrid individuals whereas region 824 alone identified six (40%) different hybrid lines. In contrast, cytoplasmic markers only identified three hybrid individuals (20%). The discovery and use of additional nuclear markers may be useful in identifying more hybrids within the cultivars sampled.

Haplotype analysis of sequence data of nuclear region 824 identified two networks (Fig. 1). The top network contains the seven haplotypes related to W. sinensis, and the bottom network represents the relationships of the 45 W. floribunda haplotypes. The central box haplotype represents the inferred ancestral haplotype and is the most common haplotype in each network (Templeton et al., 1992). All of the W. sinensis haplotypes were found in hybrid plants (black circles), with the exception of the wild-collected W. sinensis individual. In contrast, 58% of W. floribunda cultivars were true W. floribunda as represented by grey circles. Three out of seven (43%) putative hybrid cultivar individuals were actually true W. floribunda species. The hybrid and species haplotypes are intermixed, indicating that hybridization is not restricted to one or a few cultivated lineages.

When the morphological characteristics of the Asian cultivated forms of *Wisteria* are mapped onto the nuclear haplotype network, it is apparent that the white-flowered and double-flowered forms have been selected more than once. *Wisteria sinensis* 'Texas White', W. 'White Delight' and *W. floribunda* 'Jako' are all white-flowered cultivars that have unique nuclear

haplotypes. In addition, despite the species identification in the cultivar name, all white-flowered cultivar haplotypes belong to the *W. floribunda* evolutionary lineage. This pattern of unique nuclear haplotypes is also found in the two accessions of the double-flowered form *W. floribunda* 'Violaceae Plena'. Although there is only a single cultivar name for double-flowered *Wisteria*, the plants sampled in this study are genetically distinct and represent separate selection events.

DISCUSSION

Ornamental cultivars are often selected for a wide range of morphological characters, such as colour, scent, height, leaf shape and autumn colour. Plant collectors and breeders search out unique morphological and phenological characteristics in wild populations and from open breeding collections to enhance the original stock and to offer diverse forms for sale (Vainstein, 2002). These actions enhance genetic diversity in cultivated stock (Sakai et al., 2001). The large natural ranges, long history of cultivation and wide variety of unique cultivated forms of Chinese and Japanese Wisteria suggest that these species represent a diverse gene pool. Our research has shown that the great majority of cultivars have unique haplotypes for nuclear region 824. These data imply that the high genetic diversity of Asian Wisteria may be a result of a large number of introductions from wild populations and/or a high proportion of outcrossed seeds currently maintained in cultivation. Finally, the genetic diversity of white- and doubleflowered Wisteria cultivars corroborates the numerous selection events in the history of Wisteria cultivation.

Once a unique horticultural plant form is found, often it must be asexually propagated to remain 'true' to its morphological traits of interest. *Wisteria* cultivars are asexually propagated through grafting or cutting techniques (Ingram & Yeager, 1990). This process ensures that the progeny is an exact genetic replica of the parent plant. Such unique forms as double-flowered *Wisteria* (*W. floribunda* 'Violaceae Plena') and the many white-flowered cultivars must be asexually propagated to retain these morphological characters. The three accessions of *W. floribunda* 'Issai Perfect' were genetically identical and are likely to have been the result of asexual propagation.

In contrast to asexually propagated lines, most of the cultivars in the study that were represented by two or more accessions were not genetically identical. The two accessions of *W. floribunda* 'Violaceae Plena', *W. sinensis* 'Cooke's Special', *W.* 'Caroline' and *W.* 'Lavender Lace' were markedly different from one another. It may be that some of the cultivated forms breed true for their morphological traits and are



Figure 1. Haplotype networks for nuclear region 824 of cultivated *Wisteria* samples. Top network contains all *W. sinensis* haplotypes; bottom network contains all *W. floribunda* haplotypes. Each branch length implies a single mutational difference and black dots represent unsampled haplotypes. Haplotype names correspond to cultivars listed in Table 1.

being propagated by seed. Additionally, there may be a high diversity of similar morphological forms that are being combined under a single name. Finally, there is the possibility that the cultivar sampled has been mislabelled/misidentified.

Hybrids of Japanese and Chinese *Wisteria* have become common invasive plants in the southeastern USA (Trusty *et al.*, 2007a, b). This study found that hybrids are also common in horticultural cultivation. All of the recognized *W. sinensis* species cultivars sampled in this study were hybrids with *W. floribunda*. In fact, all of the cultivated hybrids are late generation (F2 or greater), which indicates that these hybridization events were not recent. As most of the hybrid *W. sinensis* cultivars sampled in this study were developed in the USA and New Zealand, it is likely that they are the result of hybridization events outside of China. The 824 nuclear region haplotype S1 was found in 53% of naturalized US *Wisteria*, in 14% of cultivar accessions and occurred in the Chinese wild-collected accession (Trusty *et al.*, 2007b). To date, this is the only cultivated *W. sinensis* hayplotype found in US naturalized populations. Six other *W. sinensis* haplotypes occurred in cultivation, but none of these haplotypes was present in the naturalized individuals sampled in the previous study.

Although true W. floribunda cultivars are available in the horticulture trade, 60% of *floribunda* cultivars sampled were hybrids with W. sinensis. Most of these cultivars were found to be those developed in the USA and New Zealand (Valder, 1995). Although there is a long history of co-cultivation of these plants in Japan, only one Japanese introduction (W. floribunda 'Jako') was found to be a hybrid. Six of the W. floribunda-W. sinenisis hybrid cultivars share W. floribunda haplotypes with naturalized plants, whereas only two 824 nuclear region haplotypes are shared between naturalized plants and W. floribunda species cultivars. The three haplotypes shared are F1, F2 and F3. Haplotype F1 was present in 43% of naturalized individuals and 23% of cultivated individuals, whereas haplotypes F2 and F3 were each recovered in a single cultivar (3% of the total, respectively).

Why do US- and New Zealand-bred Asian Wisteria cultivars share haplotypes with naturalized plants? This result can arise in two ways; US- and New Zealand-bred W. sinensis cultivars may be more invasive in the USA or perhaps US breeders have selected their breeding stock from open-bred or naturalized populations. The availability and abundance of naturalized hybrid Wisteria in the southeastern USA, and the relatively recent introduction of US cultivars to horticulture, lends weight to the latter hypothesis. These US hybrid cultivars may then have been sent to New Zealand to form the breeding/propagation stock there. No reports of naturalized Wisteria have been found from New Zealand, but the availability of potentially invasive haplotypes suggests that these cultivars should be monitored closely.

Once a plant invasion has reached critical numbers, the costs of control increases, while the effectiveness of control decreases (Cousens & Mortimer, 1995). Eradication of the abundant fertile hybrid *Wisteria* in natural environments throughout the southeast will be difficult because of time and cost constraints (Miller, 2003). In areas where naturalized *Wisteria* is not yet a problem, preventing the introduction of invasive genotypes may be the best strategy. This study provides information that can be used to reduce or eliminate the further introduction of potentially invasive genotypes. From our data, we recommend that all hybrid cultivars be voluntarily removed from the US nursery trade. Most of these cultivars are known to be or are potentially invasive in the USA. In addition, the removal of these cultivars would reduce the chance of spontaneous hybridization with true *W. floribunda* cultivars. Nursery owners should focus propagation efforts on native (*W. frutescens/W. macrostachys*) or the non-invasive *W. floribunda* cultivars identified here (Table 1). In addition, all non-native *Wisteria* propagation should proceed via asexual techniques. It is recommended that all newly developed cultivars be genetically tested to determine their hybrid status before release to the US buying public. This study is the first to recognize the invasive potential of hybrid *Wisteria* cultivars and identify species cultivars whose propagation may not negatively impact natural ecosystems in the USA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Mr Scott Lathrop and Dr Peter Valder for their generosity in providing the cultivated *Wisteria* samples used in this study; in addition, Dr Valder's extensive knowledge of *Wisteria* horticulture greatly helped in the preparation of this manuscript. Funding for this project was provided by the Center for Forest Sustainability through the AU School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences and the USDA Forest Service, Gainesville Research Unit 4951.

REFERENCES

- Alabama Invasive Pest Plant Council. 2006. List of Alabama's invasive plants by land-use and wateruse sectors. Available at http://www.se-eppc.org/alabama/ ALIPCplantlist.pdf
- Alien Plant Working Group. 2005. Wisteria sinensis. Available at http://www.nps.gov/plants/alien/maps/wisi1. htm
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA. 2000. TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* 9: 1657–1659.
- Cousens R, Mortimer M. 1995. Dynamics of weed populations. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- **Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987.** A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemistry Bulletin, Botanical Society of America* **19:** 11–15.
- Ewel J, Hughes CE, Leakey RB, Lugo AE. 1999. Deliberate introduction of species: research needs. *BioScience* 49: 619–630.
- Hedrick UP. 1988. A history of horticulture in America to 1860. Portland, OR: Timber Press.
- Hu Y, Zhang Q, Sodmergen. 2005. Potential cytoplasmic inheritance in Wisteria sinensis and Robinia pseudoacacia (Leguminosae). Plant and Cell Physiology 46: 1029–1035.
- Ingram DL, Yeager TH. 1990. Propagation of landscape plants. University of Florida Institute for Food and Agricul-

tural Sciences, Extension Publication CIR579. Available at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/MG/MG10800.pdf

- **Isely DE. 1998.** Native and naturalized Leguminoseae (Fabaceae) of the United States (exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii). Provo, UT: Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum, Brigham Young University Press.
- Mack RN. 2005. Predicting the identity of plant invaders: future contributions from horticulture. *HortScience* 40: 1168–1174.
- Mack RN, Erneberg M. 2002. The United States naturalized flora: largely the product of deliberate introductions. *Annals* of the Missouri Botanical Garden 89: 176–189.
- Miller J. 2003. Nonnative invasive plants of southern forests, United States Department of Agriculture. Forest Service, Southern Research Station General Technical Report SRS-62. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service.
- Miller JH, Chambliss EB, Bargeron CT. 2004. Invasive plants of the thirteen southeastern states. Available at http://www.invasive.org/seweeds.cfm
- Reichard S, Campbell F. 1996. Invited but unwanted. American Nurseryman July: 39–45.
- Reichard SH, White P. 2001. Horticulture as a pathway of invasive plant introductions in the United States. *Bio-Science* 51: 103–113.
- Remaly T. 1999. Exotic wisterias. Available at http:// www.nps.gov/plants/alien/fact/wist1.htm
- Sakai AK, Allendorf FW, Holt JS, Lodge DM, Molofsky J, With KA, Baughman S, Cabin RJ, Cohen JE, Ellstrand NC, McCauley DE, O'Neil P, Parker IM, Thompson JN, Weller SG. 2001. The population biology of invasive species. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 32: 305–332.
- Taberlet P, Gielly L, Pautou G, Bouvet J. 1991. Universal

primers for the amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Molecular Biology* **17:** 1105–1109.

- Templeton AR, Crandall KA, Sing DF. 1992. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haploytpes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics* 123: 619–363.
- Trusty JL, Johnson KJ, Lockaby BG, Goertzen LR. 2007a. Bi-parental cytoplasmic DNA inheritance in Wisteria (Fabaceae): evidence from a natural experiment. Plant and Cell Physiology 48: 662–665.
- Trusty JL, Lockaby BG, Zipperer WC, Goertzen LR. 2007b. Identity of naturalized exotic Wisteria (Fabaceae) in the southeastern United States. Weed Research 47: 479– 487.
- **USDA, Forest Service, Southern Region. 2001.** Regional invasive exotic plant species list. Regional forester's list and ranking structure: invasive exotic plant species of management concern. Available at http://www.se-eppc.org/fslist.cfm
- USDA, NRCS. 2004. The PLANTS database, version 3.5. Baton Rouge, LA: National Plant Data Center. Available at http://plants.usda.gov
- Vainstein A, ed. 2002. Breeding for ornamentals: classical and molecular approaches. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Valder P. 1995. Wisterias: a comprehensive guide. Portland, OR: Timber Press.
- Zanlugo S, Litvak S, Jordana X. 1991. Isolation and nucleotide sequence of the potato mitochondrial gene for apocytochrome b. *Plant Molecular Biology* 17: 527–530.
- Zhi W. 2005. Fabaceae: various genera draft. Available at http://flora.huh.harvard.edu/china/mss/volume10/Fabaceae. htm