Predicting Root Biomass of Burned and Unburned White Oak Advance Reproduction from Diameter and Height
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ABSTRACT: The size, especially the root size, of advance oak (Quercus spp.) reproduction provides the best indication of the growth potential after release or top-kill. This study examined the relationship between the size of the root system and various diameter/height measurements for small (<60 cm) white oak (Q. alba L.) seedlings. Diameters measured at the top of the litter and humus layers and at the root collar were tested for their ability to predict root biomass on burned and unburned sites. Despite their close physical proximity, separate equations were required for burned and unburned sites when predicting root biomass from diameters measured at the humus and root collar locations, as well as height and diameter/height combinations. On burned sites, all diameter measures were good predictors, accounting for 75 to 86% of the total variance of root biomass. On the unburned site, the best predictor was the diameter measured at the root collar, accounting for 85% of the total variance of root biomass. Diameter at the litter level accounted for only 55% of the total variance of root biomass whereas diameter at the top of the humus layer accounted for 53%. When dealing with stands of unknown disturbance history, the diameter measured at the root collar should be used to predict root biomass for small seedlings. South. J. Appl. For. 30(1):40–45.
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Successful regeneration of oak (Quercus spp.)-dominated forest stands is a serious challenge facing foresters today (Smith 1992). It is widely acknowledged that the presence of advance reproduction is a prerequisite for oak regeneration success (Sander 1972, Hannah 1987, Johnson 1992, Johnson et al. 2002). However, its presence by no means guarantees the eventual dominance of oaks in the canopy, especially on mesic or good quality sites. Unlike their competitors, such as red maple (Acer rubrum L.), American beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.), or yellow-poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera L.), oaks proportionally allocate more resources to root growth and less to shoot growth during regeneration stages (Kolb and Steiner 1990). Because of their inherent slower shoot growth and lower shade tolerance, understory oak seedlings are at a disadvantage when competing with shade-tolerant species (e.g., red maple and American beech). Severe competition often prevents advance oak reproduction from achieving a competitive size under a closed canopy condition (Sander 1972, Hannah 1987). In the event of canopy removal, most advance oak reproduction that has not achieved a competitive size would fail to become a canopy species because they would be out-competed by very fast growing shade-intolerant species (e.g., yellow-poplar) or advance reproduction of shade-tolerant species of larger size.

Oaks are more tenacious sprouters than other hardwood species (Waldrop et al. 1987) and they maintain a high root-to-shoot ratio even under limited light conditions (unpublished data). After each top-kill or dieback, oak seedling sprouts re-establish their shoots while continuing to grow their root systems. Consequently, advance oak reproduction commonly possesses root systems that are much older than the stems (Merz and Boyle 1956, Tyron and Powell 1984). Large root systems serve as carbohydrate reserves and enhance absorption of water and nutrients, which account for rapid shoot growth after dieback, top-kill, or release (Cobb et al. 1985).

Accelerated shoot growth after canopy release is essential for oak seedlings or sprouts to successfully overcome intense competition. On release from the overstory, the growth potential of advance oak reproduction is primarily dependent on the size of the root system (Johnson et al.)
2002), which, unfortunately, cannot be measured without destructive sampling. Consequently, diameter and/or height before release from the overstory are used to predict the growth potential after release (Sander 1972, Loftis 1990, Belli et al. 1999). However, the relationship between postrelease growth and prerelease diameter or height has not been very strong (Loftis 1990). Because of recurrent dieback, diameter, and/or height may not be good surrogate measures of root biomass, and thus postrelease growth potential.

The objectives of this study were to test if root biomass of white oak (Q. alba L.) advance reproduction can be reliably predicted by various diameter measures and/or height, and if burning history affects the strength of this prediction. Root size predictions should allow foresters to better estimate growth potential of advance reproduction and the probability to achieve canopy status after release.

Materials and Methods
Study Site
The study was conducted on the Clemson University Experimental Forest (CUEF) (latitude 34.68 N, longitude 82.84 W) within the Piedmont physiologic province in northwestern South Carolina. CUEF has a humid temperate climate, with an average high of 25° C in July, an average low of 7°C in January, and an average annual precipitation of 1,310 mm. It is characterized by rolling topography with soil associations dominated by Pacolet-Madison-Wilkes and Cecil-Hiawassee-Catuala (Byrd 1972). Soils are strongly acidic, firm, and clayey and are derived from gneiss, mica schist, hornblende schist, and schist parent materials (Smith and Reith 1979). Similar to other areas of the Piedmont, CUEF land was farmed intensively until the 1930s for corn, cotton, and other row crops. Massive soil erosion resulted from these early agricultural practices (Trimble 1974).

Study Design
A mature hardwood stand containing a large component of white oak was selected for the study. The stand was divided into three areas that had similar amounts of white oak seedlings growing under comparable conditions. One area of the stand had been treated with prescribed fire in 1990, and a second area was prescribed-burned in 1992. A third, unburned area remained as a control (Watt 1992). Therefore, it can be assumed that many of the oak seedlings had experienced dieback at some point, from either the prescribed fire or natural suppression. The resulting reproduction was composed of a combination of seedlings and seedling sprouts (all referred to as seedlings hereafter).

In the summer of 2003, two transect lines were randomly located across each of the three study areas. Sampled seedlings were randomly selected from all those that fell within 50 cm on either side of the transect lines. In total, there were 132 oak seedlings excavated from the treatment sites, with 34 seedlings coming from the first burned section, 36 seedlings coming from the second, and the remaining 62 from the unburned site (control). Samples from the burned areas were pooled together for a total of 70 burned seedlings.

Before excavation, three positions along each stem were marked: at the top of the litter level, at the top of the humus level, and at the mineral soil level. Each selected seedling was carefully excavated, labeled, and then put into plastic bags and brought back to the laboratory.

Data Collection
The root collar of each collected seedling was identified after the root system was washed in the laboratory. The root collar is where the stem and roots come together and is identified by Brose and Van Lear (2004) as a ring of callous tissue and dormant buds. Diameters were measured at the top of the litter (D1) and at the top of the humus (D2), as well as just above and below the root collar using a digital caliper. Diameters above and below the root collar were then averaged to give a root collar diameter (RCD) measurement that was used in data analysis. Depth of the root collar in mineral soil was measured and a depth of zero was recorded if the root collar was located above the mineral soil. Height from the litter (H) was measured for each seedling. Seedlings were dried in an oven at 80°C to a constant mass, and aboveground biomass and root biomass (RB) were then determined. Summary statistics of sampled seedlings for the burned and unburned sites are given in Table 1. Due to lack of larger seedlings or saplings, all sampled seedlings were less than 60 cm in height.

Data Analysis
Scatterplots with locally weighted smooth curves were used to explore relationships between root biomass and various aboveground variables, based on which the following model was selected:

\[
\log Y = a + b \log X \tag{1}
\]

where Y is root biomass, X is the aboveground variable, and a and b are model parameters to be determined. Linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship between the root biomass and various aboveground variables, including \(D_1\), \(D_2\), RCD, H, \([D_1^2+H]\), \([D_2^2+H]\), and \([RCD^2+H]\). Other models tested include exponential functions, transformations including square roots and inversions, and the addition of height as a second independent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Burned Mean</th>
<th>Burned SD</th>
<th>Control Mean</th>
<th>Control SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter at litter (mm)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>(1.25)</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>(0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter at humus (mm)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>(1.46)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>(1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root collar diameter (mm)</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>(2.18)</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>(1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of root collar in mineral soil (mm)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>(1.01)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>(0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>(12.80)</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>(7.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root biomass (g)</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>(6.59)</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>(4.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass (g)</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>(10.15)</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>(6.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with diameter. These models did not perform as well as model [1].

To test if separate models were necessary for burned and unburned sites, extra sum of squares F-tests were conducted based on full and reduced models (Neter et al. 1985, Huang 1997, Huang et al. 2000):

\[
F = \frac{\text{SSE}(R) - \text{SSE}(F)}{(df_R - df_F) \cdot \text{MSE}_F^2}
\]

where \(\text{SSE}(R)\) and \(\text{SSE}(F)\) are the sum of square errors for the reduced and full models, respectively, \(df_R\) and \(df_F\) are degrees of freedom for reduced and full models, respectively, and \(\text{MSE}_F\) is the full model mean square error. The reduced model fit model [1] to the entire data (all 132 seedlings) whereas the full model incorporated both site types into model [1] by using indicator variables, as specified in the following:

\[
\log Y = (a + (bZ)) + ((c + dZ)(\log X)),
\]

where \(Y\) is root biomass, \(Z\) is the site type indicator (1 = burned, 0 = unburned), \(X\) is the aboveground variable, and \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) are model parameters to be determined. A significant \(F\)-test indicates that two separate equations should be used for burned and unburned sites. All statistical analyses were conducted using SYSTAT Version 10.2 (SYSTAT Software Inc., Richmond, CA).

**Results**

\(F\)-tests based on results from fitting full and reduced models indicate that separate models for the burned and unburned sites were needed for predicting root biomass from \(D_2\), \(RCD\), \(H\), \([D|^2H]\) \([D^2H]\), and \([RCD^2H]\), but not for \(D_1\). The \(P\) values from the extra sum of squares test and \(R^2\) values of the appropriate models are given in Table 2. Although the extra sum of squares test indicated a single model is appropriate for \(D_1\), separate models were also developed to show large differences in \(R^2\) values between burned and unburned sites (Table 2).

Excluding \(RCD\), aboveground variables were much better predictors of root biomass on the burned sites when compared to the unburned site (Table 2). On the burned sites, \(H\) was slightly better than \(D_2\) but inferior to other variables in predicting root biomass. All three diameter measures predicted root biomass very well, with 75 to 86\% of the total variance in root biomass explained (Table 2, Figure 1). Incorporating \(H\) into each of the diameter measures only slightly improved root biomass prediction, raising \(R^2\) for \(D_1\) from 0.85 to 0.86, \(R^2\) for \(D_2\) from 0.75 to 0.83, and \(R^2\) for \(RCD\) from 0.86 to 0.88 (Table 2).

On the unburned site, \(H\) was inferior to each of the diameter measurements in predicting root biomass. \(RCD\) was the best predictor among all three diameter measures, with 85\% of the total variance in root biomass explained (Table 2, Figure 2). \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) were both poor predictors of root biomass, with only 55\% and 53\% of total variance explained, respectively (Table 2, Figure 2). Incorporating \(H\) into \(RCD\) did not improve root biomass prediction, with \(R^2\) reduced from 0.85 to 0.83. Incorporating \(H\) into \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) marginally improved root biomass prediction, with \(R^2\) increased from 0.55 to 0.56 and from 0.53 to 0.62, respectively.

**Discussion**

In stands where advance oak reproduction exists, accurate assessment of its growth potential after disturbance or canopy release is critical to sustainable management of oak ecosystems. Previous studies have suggested that the size of the root system determines postrelease growth performance of advance oak seedlings (Loftis 1990, Johnson et al. 2002). However, the size of the root system may not be well represented by the aboveground size of the seedling due to repeated top dieback under the canopy. Our study was designed to test if simple allometric relationships could reliably predict root biomass of small advance oak reproduction. Our results indicate height and various diameter measures were significantly related to root biomass of white oak advance reproduction. We also found that the strength of these relationships differed depending on past disturbance (burned versus unburned) history.

Among the three diameter measures taken in the study, \(RCD\) was expected to be the best predictor of root size because it is measured at a position closest to the root system. Understory oak advance reproduction experiences repeated top dieback (Merz and Boyce 1956), with the majority of resprouting buds at or above the point of this measurement. As a result, stems at the root collar are of the same age as the roots while the sprouts above the root collar may be much younger. Results from our study indicated that \(RCD\) was the most consistent predictor, regardless of the disturbance history, with \(R^2 = 0.86\) for burned and \(R^2 = 0.85\) for unburned sites. However, because of the method of germination of oaks, their root collars are buried in the soil (Burns and Honkala 1990). To measure \(RCD\), a few centimeters of mineral soil may need to be removed to expose the root collar. Among the 132 seedlings sampled in our study, the root collars of 36\% of the seedlings were buried in the mineral soil, with 22\% on the unburned site and 47\% on the burned sites. However, the average burying depth of

**Table 2.** \(R^2\) values from regression analyses \((\log Y = a + b \log X; Y = \text{root biomass (g); } X = \text{aboveground variable}; a \text{ and } b \text{ are model parameters}) \text{ for burn and control sites together as well as separately.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Burn and control</th>
<th>Burned</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(D_1)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.0726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_2)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RCD)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_1^2H)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_2^2H)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RCD^2H)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All equations are statistically significant \((P < 0.001)\). \(P\) values are for the extra sum of squares test of full versus reduced models for burned and unburned sites.

\(a\) \(D_1\) = diameter at litter (mm); \(D_2\) = diameter at humus (mm); \(RCD\) = root collar diameter (mm); \(H\) = height (cm).

\(b\) Separate equations are needed for the burned and control sites based on the extra sum of squares method.
the root collar was less than 1 cm, with a maximum of 4 cm. The average on the unburned site, where root collar measurements are more important for prediction, was only 0.35 cm (Table 1). It is, therefore, feasible to measure RCD in the field.
The ability of other diameter variables to predict root biomass depended on disturbance history. On the burned sites, all diameter measurements ($D_1$, $D_2$, and RCD) were adequate predictors, with 75 to 86% of the total variance in the root biomass explained. Thus, the routine measurement of diameter (i.e., diameter measured at litter level—$D_1$) provides an adequate indicator of root biomass without digging down to the root collar. Diameter measured at the humus level yielded a slightly lower $R^2$ value, while the root collar measurement resulted in only slight improvement in biomass prediction. In contrast, on the unburned site the location of the diameter measurement had much to do with the reliability of root biomass prediction. Only the diameter closest to the root system (RCD) predicted root biomass as reliably as on the burned sites. There was little improvement when height was added to diameter measurements, suggesting that diameter alone, when measured at the appropriate position on the stem, is sufficient to predict root biomass.

An unforeseen result of our study was the strong difference in allometric relationships in oak advance reproduction between burned and unburned sites, despite their close physical proximity. The sites were burned more than 10 years ago, with no other recent disturbance or notable site differences, suggesting that a single burn can have lasting effects on a stand. Burning in mixed hardwood stands reduces the midstory and allows advance oak reproduction to respout vigorously (Brose and Van Lear 1998), with the stored carbohydrates in the roots supplying the necessary starches for rapid shoot growth (Hodges and Gardiner 1992). Because these sprouts started regrowth at the same time and had been growing under similar postburn conditions, the growth of each individual was strongly influenced by the amount of carbohydrates stored in their respective root systems. As a result, it was not surprising to find that all three diameter measures ($D_1$, $D_2$, and RCD) on the burned sites were closely related to the size of the root system.

In contrast, advance oak reproduction on the unburned site had been growing under a closed canopy condition for a longer period before sampling. Because the growth potential of oak seedling sprouts is not expressed until release (Johnson et al. 2002), these seedlings had not had the opportunity to sprout proportionately to the root system. Although dieback and resprouting can be assumed to have occurred, these events were not likely synchronized among individuals throughout the stand. Consequently, oak advance reproduction on the unburned site was at various stages of regrowth. In addition, these sprouts could not fully use the carbohydrate reserves in their root systems because of the growth-inhibiting shade from the canopy (Sander 1971, Johnson et al. 2002). As a result, aboveground measurements were not consistent in reflecting root size on the unburned site.

We acknowledge that the seedlings sampled in the study were generally small in size (Table 1), as large seedlings were not found in our study sites. Consequently, we are not sure if the strong influence of disturbance history on predicting root biomass detected in our study would persist for larger seedlings. Additionally, the study was limited to one stand for seedling selection. The lack of repetition in comparing burned and unburned sites limits what conclusions can be drawn about the effect of burn history on root biomass prediction. However, this study provides strong evidence that burn history affects root biomass prediction and should be explored more thoroughly in future research.

**Conclusion**

Root biomass, an indicator of the potential for regeneration success, of white oak advance reproduction less than 60 cm tall can be fairly accurately predicted from aboveground measurements. Although the root collar diameter yields the best prediction regardless of site history, burning of advance reproduction appears to affect the root biomass prediction of other measurements. Root biomass estimates of burned reproduction can be obtained from logarithmic regressions using diameter measurements taken at the top of the litter layer. Unburned reproduction, however, requires the diameter measurement to be taken at the root collar. Because root collars are either above the mineral soil or buried up to a few centimeters in the mineral soil, the root collar diameter could be easily measured in the field. Given the fact that stand disturbance history may not be readily known, we recommend that the root collar diameter be used to predict root biomass. Root biomass increases with increasing root collar diameter, implying an increase in the growth potential of advance reproduction. Improved ability in predicting root biomass should help foresters to time release treatments according to the growth potential of advance white oak reproduction.
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