

Working on  
Behalf of *Cerulean*  
*Warblers*

BY PAUL B. HAMEL

**W**HAT WAS mother nature thinking when she assigned ecological traits to this bird? She should have known that any species sensitive to forest fragmentation was destined to struggle. The Cerulean Warbler navigates perhaps the longest migratory path of any North American-Neotropical songbird. Why should it breed most effectively in Appalachian habitats where all that coal rests, awaiting extraction to fuel lights, computers, and schools? The warbler could have picked more benevolent landlords than armed groups who control much of Colombia's forests and coca crops. Even in safer Andean terrain, these birds encounter dense human populations and intense land uses such as cultivation of coffee.

How can the juggernaut of progress be prevented from obliterating this small bird? Although it's a conservation issue, all too often economic and development interests prevail, as day by day the number of human mouths increases.





Participants in the combined Cerulean Warbler/Golden-winged Warbler Summit held in Bogotá, Colombia, October 2008 © Diana Balcázar Niño, assistant director of communications, ProAves-Colombia.

Although the conservation challenges seemed overwhelming and the solutions unmanageable, a few dedicated persons recognized that a concerted, sustained effort by people of diverse backgrounds and expertise could make a difference. In 2001 a group led by Pat Keyser, then at Mead-Westvaco and now at the University of Tennessee, Deanna Dawson of the U.S. Geological Survey, and Ben Wigley of the National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (a forest industry group) made an open-ended invitation: help develop high-quality biological information about the bird, which could be applied to assess ecological limitations and creatively redress threats. I am one of those with the opportunity and institutional support enabling me to serve in this effort. We say, "Leave your agenda outside the door, and open your mind to what works," as we seek economically productive and socially responsible conservation solutions. While that has at times been a difficult charge, it has proven to be a wise one. Our common focus has allowed us to provide substantial information to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service in its evaluation of the bird (Federal Register 71[234]:70717-70733, 2006).

The Cerulean Warbler Technical Group has progressed vigorously in science, in land management, and in linking diverse partners. It has assembled high quality information about how to manage landscapes for Cerulean Warbler use. Results such as those of Rogers (*Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 118:145-51, 2006) in Michigan are especially useful. None of us could do this work individually. Like the proverbial blind observers of a pachyderm, each of us could contribute only part of the story. So we produced a joint evaluation of population status (*Journal of Wildlife Management* 72:646-653, 2008). We collaborate on a common experimental protocol to determine how the birds actually respond to land use changes in the breeding range. This experiment will produce a blueprint for breeding habitat management. Partnerships with the coal industry would advance knowledge of the reclamation of mined lands to forest habitats. Those partners working in Latin American nonbreeding habitats face even greater difficulties, for they

are fewer, the lands are more inaccessible, and those armed and dangerous groups are present. Yet the greater energy and excitement may well be found among those Latin American biologists who agreed to include these birds in their overfull dance cards of conservation activities.

Meeting first in Ecuador, then in Venezuela, and most recently in Colombia, these biologists, known as El Grupo Cerúleo, developed a predictive model for Cerulean Warbler occurrence and organized a rigorous test of that model. After two years, initial results suggest that a strong understanding of the nonbreeding distribution of the bird will result from the model, including knowledge of survivorship during the nonbreeding stationary period (what we call winter, although it's not winter where the birds are). Already ProAves-Colombia and American Bird Conservancy have established a Cerulean Warbler Reserve in Colombia.

Three insights suggest guidance for the future. First, adult survivorship may well exert the strongest effect on population size and thus the ultimate persistence of the birds. Second, migration is likely the toughest season, yet our knowledge of this period is embarrassingly scant. Maybe you can help study this. Third, the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group is developing a coal-coffee-Cerulean partnership that includes the Appalachian Regional Reforestation Initiative. This effort links industries that are important to the birds.

Ultimately, the efforts of the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group lead me to suggest that rather than seeking conservation strategies simply to protect those birds that remain, perhaps working to produce more birds might put us into a posture healthier for both the birds' future and our own.

---

Paul B. Hamel (phamel@fs.fed.us) is a research wildlife biologist with the U.S. Forest Service in Stoneville, MS. He grew up in Grand Rapids, was a member of the Grand Rapids Audubon Club, and graduated from Michigan State University. He has been fascinated and stymied by Cerulean Warblers since the mid-1980s.