



A Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Group with Two Simultaneous Nest Trees

Author(s): Richard N. Conner, James R. McCormick, Richard R. Schaefer, Daniel Saenz and D. Craig Rudolph

Source: *The Wilson Bulletin*, Vol. 113, No. 1 (Mar., 2001), pp. 101-104

Published by: [Wilson Ornithological Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4164311>

Accessed: 14/02/2014 10:24

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Wilson Ornithological Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Wilson Bulletin*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Short Communications

Wilson Bull., 113(1), 2001, pp. 101–104

A Red-cockaded Woodpecker Group with Two Simultaneous Nest Trees

Richard N. Conner,^{1,3} James R. McCormick,² Richard R. Schaefer,¹ Daniel Saenz,¹
and D. Craig Rudolph¹

ABSTRACT.—During a study of Red-cockaded Woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*) nesting in eastern Texas, we discovered a single breeding pair of woodpeckers with two simultaneous nests in nest trees that were 24 m apart. Incubation of eggs in each nest tree was at least 13 d and may have been as long as 16 d. The breeding male incubated and fed a nestling in one nest tree and the breeding female incubated and fed a nestling in the other nest tree until the nestlings were ≥ 24 d old. Prior to fledging, both the breeding male and female were observed feeding both nestlings in both nest trees. The pair successfully fledged the two nestlings, a single fledgling from each nest tree, during one nesting cycle. After the nestlings were fledged, both the male and female woodpeckers were observed feeding both fledglings about 350 m from the pair of nest trees. Our observations indicate that there are exceptions to the current theory that the contribution by the breeding male and female to incubation and feeding of nestlings is essential and that neither the male nor the female can normally rear young birds without the contribution of the other. Received 2 June 2000, accepted 17 January 2001.

The Red-cockaded Woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*) is a cooperatively breeding species that typically uses a single cavity for nesting (Ligon 1970, Walters et al. 1988). A single tree, or aggregation of cavity trees, termed the cluster, is inhabited by a group of woodpeckers that includes a single breeding pair and up to several helpers, which are typically male offspring of previous breeding seasons (Ligon 1970, Lennartz et al. 1987). Each group of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers usually produces one nest per breeding season, but will often nest again during the same breeding season if

the first nest fails. Double clutching and double brooding (where both nests are successful) are known to occur in Red-cockaded Woodpeckers in the southern and northern portion of the species' range (LaBranche et al. 1994, Franzreb 1997, Phillips et al. 1998). In all previously documented cases of double brooding in Red-cockaded Woodpeckers the second nest was initiated only after young in the first nest had fledged. Among other *Picoides*, Downy Woodpeckers (*P. pubescens*) are known to double brood in the southern U.S., whereas Hairy Woodpeckers (*P. villosus*) are not known to double brood (Bent 1939).

Ligon (1999) suggested that the contribution of each sex of a pair of woodpeckers to incubation and feeding of nestlings is essential and that neither the male nor the female can normally rear young birds without the contribution of the other. He also suggested that because of this, woodpeckers are constrained against the evolution of multinest polygyny and multinest polyandry. Only one instance of plural breeding by Red-cockaded Woodpeckers has been reported (Rossell and Britcher 1994). This instance involved a group of four woodpeckers, a breeding male and female, one helper male, and one unrelated, unbanded female, that simultaneously produced two nests in the same cavity tree cluster. Although two separate clutches were laid in two different nest cavities within the same cavity tree, only the initial nest, presumably laid by the breeding female, was successful. The second nest, discovered seven days after the initial nest, was apparently never incubated as the eggs never hatched (Rossell and Britcher 1994). Owens and Owens (1992) observed a possible case of polygyny in Red-bellied Woodpeckers (*Melanerpes carolinus*) where a male and two females appeared to simultaneously fledge young from two nests in two different nest trees.

¹ Wildlife Habitat and Silviculture Laboratory and College of Forestry, Stephen F. Austin State Univ., Southern Research Station, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Nacogdoches, TX 75962.

² Dept. of Biology, Stephen F. Austin State Univ., Nacogdoches, TX 75962.

³ Corresponding author; E-mail: c.connerrn@titan.sfasu.edu

Here we report an instance where a single breeding pair, in the absence of nest helpers, successfully fledged two nestlings from two cavity trees (one nestling per cavity tree) during the same nesting cycle.

We studied Red-cockaded Woodpecker nesting behavior on the Angelina National Forest (31° 15' N, 94° 15' W) in eastern Texas during the 1999 breeding season. During routine checks of eggs and nestlings in cavities, we discovered that a group (a color-banded breeding pair) on the northern portion of the forest had two nest trees simultaneously within the same cavity tree cluster. Between 24 April and 18 June 1999 a total of 17 visits were made to this cluster to examine eggs and nestlings in the two nests. We also made early morning visits to the cluster to verify group size on eight days. During six of those mornings (22 May to 10 June), we observed adults feeding nestlings for a 3-h period after the adults left their respective nest cavities to determine which nestlings were fed by each adult woodpecker. To verify fledging success, fledglings and the breeding pair were relocated within their territory on 18 June 1999.

On 24 and 25 April 1999 three eggs were present in a cavity in tree 985-A, suggesting a completed clutch was present because eggs are typically laid on consecutive days until the clutch is complete. However, when the nest cavity was examined on 1 May, five eggs were present. Five eggs were still present on 2, 6, and 7 May. On 7 May we discovered a second nest cavity containing two eggs in a second nest tree (tree 983-A) that was 24 m distant from the initial nest tree. A total of seven eggs were laid in the two nest cavities.

On 11 and 15 May, two eggs were still present in the second nest tree (983-A), and on 17 May one nestling and one egg were present. On 19 May, one nestling and one egg were still present in the second nest tree, and one nestling was detected in the first nest tree (985-A). Examination of the nestlings suggested that the nestling in the first nest tree (985-A) was approximately 2 d older than the nestling in the second nest tree (983-A; see Ligon 1970, 1971). We estimated that the nestling in the first nest tree hatched on 14 May and the nestling in the second nest tree hatched on 16 May.

Observations of the breeding pair indicated

that the breeding male incubated eggs and brooded the nestling in the second nest tree (983-A), whereas the breeding female incubated the eggs and brooded the nestling in the initial nest tree (985-A). The breeding male and female woodpeckers were the only adult woodpeckers present in this cluster, and no other adult woodpeckers or helpers were observed in or around the cavity tree cluster prior to, during, or after the nesting season.

Three-hour observation periods of adults feeding nestlings on 22 May, 27 May, 2 June, and 7 June (12 h of observation at each nest) revealed that only the breeding male fed the nestling in the second nest tree, whereas only the breeding female fed the nestling in the initial nest tree. On 9 and 10 June, the male and female were feeding both nestlings in both the initial and second nest trees (6 h of observation at each nest). We do not know the exact day each nestling fledged; the nestling in tree 985A was 28 d old and the nestling in tree 983A was 26 d old on 10 June. By 16 June, both nestlings (two females) had fledged successfully from their respective nest cavities and were observed being fed by the breeding pair on 18 June approximately 350 m east of the two nest trees.

Although we have no direct observation of the breeding male switching roost trees, our field notes of dated events suggest that the breeding male apparently switched roost trees sometime after the initial clutch of three eggs in tree 985-A failed to hatch. After a possible gap in egg laying, the breeding female laid two more eggs in the old nest cavity (tree 985-A), and then laid two additional eggs in the breeding male's new roost cavity (tree 983-A). While laying the four final eggs she may have begun to roost and incubate the eggs in the first nest cavity. A second, less likely alternative is that the breeding female skipped a day when laying eggs in the first nest and completed the first clutch of five eggs in tree 985-A on 27 April, and after a break in egg laying, laid a second clutch of two eggs in the male's new roost tree (tree 983-A). Under this second scenario, the female could have delayed starting incubation of the first nest, or the nest had an extremely long incubation period. Our calculations under the first scenario suggest that incubation of the nests in both cavities was at least 13 d and may have been

as long as 16 d. A single woodpecker apparently did all incubation and brooding duties in each of the nest cavities. At a minimum, this incubation period was likely 2 d longer (and could have been 5 d longer) than the usual 10 to 11-d incubation period (Ligon 1970, Jackson 1994, LaBranche and Walters 1994) when multiple adults share incubation duties.

Our observations are the only known record of a breeding pair of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers, in the absence of helpers, simultaneously using two nests in two different cavity trees to successfully fledge two young woodpeckers. It is likely that the extended incubation period we observed (13–16 vs. 10–11 d) and the slightly longer nestling period (27–29 d vs. 23–26 d) are due to only a single woodpecker doing all the incubation and brooding at each nest. The apparently longer incubation and nestling periods we observed tends to support Ligon's (1999) conclusion that the contribution of both male and female woodpeckers are normally essential for the successful production of fledglings.

Successful Red-cockaded Woodpecker nests in loblolly (*Pinus taeda*)-shortleaf (*P. echinata*) pine habitat on the Angelina National Forest have fledged from 1.8–2.3 young per nest in the past (Schaefer 1996, Conner et al. 1996). During 1999, successful pairs without helpers in loblolly-shortleaf pine habitat ($n = 12$) in Texas produced an average of 2.08 fledglings per nest (JRM, pers. obs.). The productivity of the two nests in this instance might be considered below average on a "per nest" basis, but when viewed per pair, the woodpeckers successfully fledged two young, which is nearly identical to the average number of young fledged by pairs without helpers during the 1999 breeding season.

Ligon (1999) noted that among woodpeckers, both sexes incubate eggs and provision nestlings with prey that are generally difficult to obtain. In addition, males incubate eggs and brood nestlings during the night, but males do not feed incubating females during the day. These characteristics led Ligon to hypothesize that the essential contribution of each sex during the nesting cycle constrains the evolution of multinest polygyny and multinest polyandry in woodpeckers. We suggest that, by itself, nocturnal incubation by male woodpeckers is not a significant constraint on the evolution

of multinest breeding strategies. Female woodpeckers are fully capable of nocturnal incubation and brooding while they roost in a cavity at night, as was the case in our observation. However, the possibly extended incubation and nestling periods do lend support to Ligon's hypothesis that diurnal incubation and brooding, combined with the lack of male provisioning of incubating females, may be ecological constraints.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank F. C. James, J. D. Ligon and J. R. Walters for constructive comments on an early draft of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- BENT, A. C. 1939. Life histories of North American woodpeckers. U.S. National Museum Bull. 174: 1–334.
- CONNER, R. N., D. C. RUDOLPH, D. SAENZ, AND R. R. SCHAEFER. 1996. Red-cockaded Woodpecker nesting success, forest structure, and southern flying squirrels in Texas. *Wilson Bull.* 108:697–711.
- FRANZREB, K. E. 1997. Success of intensive management on a critically imperiled population of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers in South Carolina. *J. Field Ornithol.* 68:458–470.
- JACKSON, J. A. 1994. Red-cockaded Woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*). In *The birds of North America*, no. 85 (A. Poole and F. Gill, Eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- LABRANCHE, M. S. AND J. R. WALTERS. 1994. Patterns of mortality in nests of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers in the sandhills of southcentral North Carolina. *Wilson Bull.* 106:258–271.
- LABRANCHE, M. S., J. R. WALTERS, AND K. S. LAVES. 1994. Double brooding in Red-cockaded Woodpeckers. *Wilson Bull.* 106:403–408.
- LENNARTZ, M. R., R. G. HOOPER, AND R. F. HARLOW. 1987. Sociality and cooperative breeding of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers. (*Picoides borealis*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 20:77–88.
- LIGON, J. D. 1970. Behavior and breeding biology of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker. *Auk* 87:255–278.
- LIGON, J. D. 1971. Some factors influencing numbers of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker. Pp. 30–43 in *Symposium on the Red-cockaded Woodpecker* (R. L. Thompson, Ed.). Bur. Sport Fisheries and Wildlife and Tall Timbers Research Sta., Tallahassee, Florida.
- LIGON, J. D. 1999. The evolution of avian breeding systems. Oxford Univ. Press, New York.
- OWENS, M. AND S. OWENS. 1992. Observations on a promiscuous Red-bellied Woodpecker (*Melanerpes carolinus*). *Alabama Birdlife* 39:7.
- PHILLIPS, L. F., JR., J. TOMCHO, JR., AND J. R. WALTERS.

1998. Double-clutching and double-brooding in Red-cockaded Woodpeckers in Florida. *Florida Field Nat.* 26:109–113.
- ROSSELL, C. R. AND J. J. BRITCHER. 1994. Evidence of plural breeding by Red-cockaded Woodpeckers. *Wilson Bull.* 106:557–559.
- SCHAEFER, R. R. 1996. Red-cockaded Woodpecker re-
- production and provisioning of nestlings in relation to habitat. M.S. thesis, Stephen F. Austin State Univ., Nacogdoches, Texas.
- WALTERS, J. R., P. D. DOERR, AND J. H. CARTER, III. 1988. The cooperative breeding system of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker. *Ethol.* 78:275–305.

Wilson Bull., 113(1), 2001, pp. 104–108

Aspects of the Breeding Biology of the Crested Gallito

Eduardo T. Mezquida^{1,2}

ABSTRACT.—I studied the breeding biology of the Crested Gallito (*Rhinocrypta lanceolata*) in the Reserve of Ñacuñán (western Argentina) from September 1995 to January 1999. The laying period extended from mid-September to late January, a month longer than previous reports from Argentina. The closed nest was globular with a side entrance and was made primarily of grasses. In Ñacuñán, this species preferred to nest in atamisque (*Capparis atamisquea*). Atamisques selected for nesting were 1.6–3.5 m in height, and nests were 1.0–2.1 m above the ground. Mean clutch size (2.2 eggs) did not show a year or a seasonal variation. Mean egg size and mass were less than those reported previously. The incubation period lasted 16–17 d and the nestling period 14–15 d. Eggs hatched asynchronously. No cases of brood parasitism by Shiny Cowbird (*Molothrus bonariensis*) were observed. Received 31 July 2000, accepted 3 February 2001.

Crested Gallito (*Rhinocrypta lanceolata*) and the Sandy Gallito (*Teledromas fuscus*; Narosky and Yzurieta 1987). The Crested Gallito is a relatively large bird with a bushy crest (Fjeldsá and Krabbe 1990, Ridgely and Tudor 1994) and is resident in the Reserve of Ñacuñán (Mendoza Province, Argentina; Marone 1992). The breeding biology of this species is poorly known and only limited characteristics of the nests and eggs have been described (Fraga and Narosky 1985). Other important life history traits, such as the duration of incubation and nestling stages, have not been published previously. This note presents data about breeding biology parameters of the Crested Gallito, including phenology of clutch initiation, nest, nest sites, eggs, laying interval, clutch size, length of incubation and nestling periods, and development of nestlings, in an arid area of Argentina.

The Family Rhinocryptidae includes 35 recognized species found primarily in southern South America (Fjeldsá and Krabbe 1990). These birds are almost entirely insectivorous, predominantly terrestrial, and have secretive habits (Goodall et al. 1957, Ridgely and Tudor 1994). Most nest in holes, crevices, and burrows, but some species (of the genera *Eugralla* and *Rhinocrypta*) build closed nests in trees and shrubs (Fraga and Narosky 1985).

Two species of Rhinocryptidae inhabit the plains of Mendoza Province, Argentina: the

METHODS

I studied the Crested Gallito in the Reserve of Ñacuñán (34° 03' S, 67° 54' W; 12,282 ha), Mendoza Province, Argentina, from 1995–1999 during the breeding seasons (September through February). The reserve (mean elevation = 540 m) is in the central portion of the Monte Desert Biome, a narrow latitudinal strip in western Argentina, at the foot of the Andes. The predominant habitat in the reserve is an open *Prosopis flexuosa* woodland with abundant shrub (mainly *Larrea divaricata*, *Capparis atamisquea*, *Lycium* spp.) and grass cover (e.g., genera *Pappophorum*, *Trichloris*, *Sporobolus*, *Digitaria*). Ñacuñán's climate is dry and temperate with cold winters. Mean annual rainfall is 331 mm, although it is highly variable from year to year, with most (78%) precipitation occurring in spring and summer (October through March; Marone 1992, Mezquida 2000).

¹ Ecodes, UF&EV, IADIZA, Casilla de Correo 507, 5500 Mendoza, Argentina.

² Current address: P. Alameda de Osuna 74 I C, 28042 Madrid, Spain; E-mail: ricardo.mezquida@adi.uam.es