EFFECTS OF SPRING PRESCRIBED FIRE ON SHORT-TERM, LEAF-LEVEL PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN LONGLEAF PINE

John K. Jackson, Dylan N. Dillaway, Michael C. Tyree, and Mary Anne Sword Sayer¹

Abstract--Fire is a natural and important environmental disturbance influencing the structure, function, and composition of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) ecosystems. However, recovery of young pines to leaf scorch may involve changes in leaf physiology, which could influence leaf water-use efficiency (WUE). This work is part of a larger seasonal burning study containing three treatments: spring burn, fall burn, and no burn. The study site is a 21.4-ha (53-acre) longleaf pine plantation planted in 2005 and located on the Winn Ranger District of the Kisatchie National Forest in central Louisiana. This work assessed changes in leaf gas exchange and their resultant WUE in 7-year-old longleaf pine among spring burned and no burn (control) treatments during the 2011 and 2012 growing seasons. Treatment effects on intrinsic WUE [carbon assimilation/ stomatal conductance (g_{si} ; iWUE] were assessed using an infrared gas analyzer. We hypothesized that leaf area loss resulting from scorch will: (1) increase photosynthesis, and (2) increase leaf-level iWUE as a result of increased photosynthesis. In the 3 months after the prescribed burn, we observed increases in photosynthesis (A_{Sat}) in the new foliage of scorched trees that decreased below control levels during the following growing season. During the 2012 growing season, 1 year after the burn, there were larger diurnal increases in iWUE in scorched trees.

INTRODUCTION

The longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) ecosystem, once the dominant ecosystem of the southeastern United States, is fire-dependent and currently occupies < 3 percent of its original range (Frost 1993). Prescribed fire is an important management prescription used in longleaf pine stands (Brockway and Lewis 1997). These fires consume the grassy fuel layer and litter layer but do not burn the crowns of large overstory trees. However, the foliage of younger trees and seedlings are often scorched or desiccated resulting in the full or partial defoliation of the tree (Andrews 1917).

Defoliation by fire reduces the photosynthetic area per tree and causes a variety of physiological responses in trees. Some of these responses have been interpreted as recovery and compensatory growth mechanisms, largely because they enhance the rate of photosynthesis and could lead to higher rates of growth relative to a non-defoliated tree (Sword Sayer and Haywood 2009, Vanderklein and Reich 1999). Most studies show that partial or the entire removal of leaf area results in an increase in photosynthetic rates of remaining or new tissue (Detling and others 1979, Heichel and Turner 1983, Painter and Detling 1979, Reich and others 1993, Vanderklein and Reich 1999, Wallace and others 1984). Defoliation studies done with red pine (Pinus resinosa Ait.) have shown that partial defoliation stimulated gas exchange rates that diminished with time (Reich and others 1993). Net photosynthesis was 25 to 50 percent higher in defoliated trees than in non-defoliated control trees 6 weeks after defoliation, and this effect continued at a declining rate until there was no longer any difference between defoliated and nondefoliated control trees (Reich and others 1993). A defoliation study done with red pine and Japanese larch (Larix leptolepis Sieb. and Zucc.) seedlings showed increases in photosynthesis during the year following a defoliation (Vanderklein and Reich 1999). Red pine also showed photosynthetic increases in the second year after being defoliated (Vanderklein and Reich 1999).

Research has been conducted on changes in water-use efficiency in plant communities that experience fire or defoliation. Cowan and Farquhar (1977) proposed that plants control stomata to optimally satisfy the trade-off between the amount of carbon (C) assimilated and the amount of water transpired (Cowan and Farquhar 1977). Stomatal conductance (g_s)

¹Graduate Research Assistant, Louisiana Tech University, School of Forestry, Ruston LA 71270; Assistant Professor, Unity College, Center for Natural Resource Management and Protection, Unity, ME 04988; Assistant Professor, Louisiana Tech University, School of Forestry, Ruston, LA 71270; and Research Plant Physiologist, USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Pineville, LA 71360.

Citation for proceedings: Holley, A. Gordon; Connor, Kristina F.; Haywood, James D., eds. 2015. Proceedings of the 17th biennial southern silvicultural research conference. e–Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS–203. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 551 p.

determines both diffusion of CO₂ into the leaf and diffusion of water out of the leaf with the diffusion coefficient being higher for the lighter H₂O molecules. Decreased stomatal apertures restrict diffusion of H₂O about 1.6 times more than CO₂ (Whelan and others 2013). Because this process acts on the leaf water use efficiency (WUE), the ratio between photosynthesis and qs called intrinsic WUE (iWUE) is more appropriate than WUE for describing the biochemical functions of vascular plants (Beer and others 2009). Whelan and others (2013) showed increased iWUE in longleaf pine in the 30 days after being burned due to reductions in evapotranspiration and g_s. Busch and Smith (1993) examined changes in iWUE in the dominant woody taxa from low-elevation riparian plant communities of the southwestern U.S that were recently burned. These plants experienced higher iWUE when burned compared to unburned control plants. However, Reich and others (1993) reported a tendency for defoliated plants to have lower iWUE than control plants due to large increases in g_s. Sword Sayer and Haywood (2009) have identified increased photosynthesis as a possible recovery mechanism to crown scorch in young longleaf pine and increased iWUE as a function of increased photosynthesis.

When resulting in crown scorch, prescribed fire may increase rates of C capture in new foliage and increase iWUE in longleaf pine during the hot and dry summer months in Louisiana. The overall objective of this study was to determine how spring prescribed fire affects photosynthesis under saturating light (A_{Sat}) and intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE) in a young longleaf pine stand. We monitored the changes in photosynthesis and iWUE for 15 months in longleaf pine following a spring prescribed fire. Our first objective was to analyze the immediate changes in photosynthesis and iWUE in new foliage during the same growing season that the burn was prescribed. Our second objective was to analyze the diurnal changes in photosynthesis and iWUE during the growing season 1 year following the spring burn. Understanding the effects of crown scorch on water-use as well as photosynthetic capacity of longleaf pine is needed to develop sustainable management practices to restore these threatened ecosystems and to minimize growth loss in plantation grown longleaf pine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Study Site

The study site is located in a 7-year-old longleaf pine stand on the Winn Ranger District of Kisatchie National Forest in central Louisiana (compartment 24, stand 20, T12N, R5W, sec 2, latitude: 32° 3, 10.345"N, longitude: 92° 51' 20.279" W). This region has an average annual temperature of 18.44 °C and receives an average of 116.84 cm of precipitation annually (NOAA 2012). Prior to longleaf pine planting, this site was used as a loblolly pine plantation that was clearcut in 2001. The site is a 21.4-ha (53-acre) longleaf pine plantation that was planted in 2005 and previously burned in 2008. The soil at the site consists of a fine sandy loam (0 to 20 percent slopes) and is classified as the Sacul series (fine, mixed, active, thermic Aquic Hapludults)(NRCS 2012). The Sacul series consists of very deep, moderately well-drained. slowly permeable soils that formed in acid, loamy, and clayey marine sediments. These soils are located on the uplands of the western and southern coastal plains (NRCS 2012).

The study was set up as a randomized complete block (RCB) design replicated three times. Each plot was subjected to either a spring burn or no burn (control) treatment designed to result in significant crown scorch. In September 2010 firebreaks were laid out using GPS equipment (Trimble Juno SD Handheld; Sunnyvale, CA) to prevent the spread of fire to undesired areas. Firebreaks were also installed and maintained around the entire perimeter of the study area.

The spring plots were burned on May 16, 2011, just prior to a significant drought event in Louisiana that lasted until the summer of 2012. The three spring plots that were burned consisted of 9.3 ha (22.98 acres). Fuel samples were collected from three randomly located 0.22-m² vegetation subplots per plot (nine total subplots) that were scheduled to be burned. Vegetation was sampled as described by Haywood (2009). Pre-burn fuel loading for the spring burn plots was done on May 12, 2011, and the post-burn sampling was done on May 26, 2011 (table 1). All vegetation was oven dried at 70 °C and weighed to determine the fuel consumption per plot on a dry-weight basis.

Gas Exchange Measurements

Gas exchange data was collected after the spring burn during the growing season. Rates were monitored using a Li-Cor 6400 infrared gas

analyzer/portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). The cuvette of the analyzer was operated under ambient CO₂ concentrations, and saturating light (photosynthetically active radiation of 1800 µmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$) was applied so that photosynthesis was maximized throughout the measurement period. All rates were measured at ambient air temperature and ambient relative humidity. Measurements were made on the spring burn and control plots in August and October of 2011, 3 and 5 months after the spring plots were burned, respectively. Morning and afternoon gas exchange measurements were collected three times during the summer of 2012 (June, July, and August). Rates were measured on six individuals per experimental unit during each campaign along with tree height, scorch height, and diameter. Gas exchange rates were monitored on the current year's most recently elongated flush. All needles were excised from the eastern, upper one third of each tree. Two needle fascicles per tree were measured, and the rates were averaged and adjusted for needle area. Needle surface area was calculated by measuring fascicle diameters for all needles measured. Needle area was computed using the following formula from Ginn and others (1991):

$$SA = 3.14159(d)(l) + (n)(d)(l)$$
(1)

where d = fascicle diameter, I = needle length in cuvette, and n = number of needles in the fascicle. The Li-Cor 6400 calculated A_{Sat} and g_S , and iWUE was calculated following each gas exchange campaign by dividing A_{Sat} by g_S .

Statistical Analysis

Statistics were conducted on the absolute values for objective 1, and the results are displayed in a relative differences graph showing the percentage change from the control absolute values. The results for objective 2 are displayed showing the diurnal ratio of change from morning to afternoon measurements for each treatment. All statistics for objective 2 were conducted on the ratio of change from a.m. to p.m. for each variable in each treatment. All analyses were performed using the GLM and REG procedures. The treatment effects were analyzed by ANOVA at $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level. All data were analyzed using the SAS statistical package Version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The range of A_{Sat} values we observed were similar to the photosynthetic rates reported for loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) and white pine (Pinus strobus L.) grown in the southeastern United States (Maier and Teskey 1992, Teskey and others 1987). After the initial renewal of leaf area following the prescribed fire we observed short-term (3 to 4 month) increases in A_{Sat} (p < 0.001) and g_s (p < 0.001) in scorched trees (fig. 1). These increases returned to control levels by October 2011 (5 months post-burn). This shortterm increase in Asat is consistent with most defoliation studies (Detling and others1979, Ericsson and others 1980, Eyles and others 2013, Heichel and Turner 1983, Quentin and others 2010, Painter and Detling 1979, Reich and others 1993, Vanderklein and Reich 1999, Wallace and others 1984). Reich and others (1993) showed that photosynthesis is possibly enhanced via reduced stomatal limitation, showing a tendency for defoliated plants to have greater g_s and lower iWUE than control plants. Increases in A_{Sat} after defoliation may be due to increased leaf nitrogen (N) concentrations, since photosynthetic capacity and leaf N are functionally related (Kozlowski and Keller 1966); however Reich and others (1993) showed that red pine foliar N concentrations were consistently unaffected by defoliation, regardless of intensity of defoliation or the timing of defoliation. The physical structure of a tree canopy can also influence photosynthetic rates. Gold and Caldwell (1990) showed that increases in photosynthesis in defoliated tussock grass were directly related to a higher proportion of vounger foliage and a smaller fraction of shaded foliage. Crown scorch on young longleaf pine inherently removes the lower canopy foliage and reallocates new foliage to the upper crown

Treatment	Pre-burn fuel biomass	Standard error	Post-burn fuel biomass	Standard error	Fuel consumption	p-value
	mg ha⁻¹		mg ha⁻¹		percent	
Spring	16.24	±1.97	1.76	±0.51	89.17	<0.001





Figure 1-- Comparison of relative changes of A_{Sat} , g_s , and iWUE between spring burned and unburned trees. The bars represent the relative difference of the burned value, showing the percentage change from the control absolute values.

where light levels are more favorable. During May 2012 (the following growing season), A_{Sat} in the scorched trees was slightly lower (p < 0.05) than control trees while there were no statistical differences in g_s . As the summer of 2012 progressed, A_{sat} and g_s continually declined to well below control levels (p < 0.001; p < 0.001) as the climate became increasingly hotter and drier at the end the 15-month study (fig. 1). These findings support our hypothesis for greater A_{Sat} in scorched trees; however, the decrease in A_{Sat} during the 2012 growing season was unexpected. We expected to see increases in A_{sat} during the second growing season (2012) similar to Prudhomme (1982) and Vanderklein and Reich (1999).

Most studies show increased iWUE and decreased g_s in the days after defoliation (Ewers and others 2001, Whelan and others 2013); however, some studies have suggested that iWUE may be reduced in defoliated plants as a



Figure 2-- Comparison between spring burned and unburned diurnal changes in A_{Sat}, g_s, and iWUE. The bars represent the ratio of change for each measurement from a.m. to p.m. A value of 1 indicates no change from a.m. to p.m., a value above 1 indicates an increase from a.m. to p.m., and a value below 1 indicates a decrease from a.m. to p.m. The orange bars represent the spring burned values, and the gray bars represent the unburned values.

result of increased gs associated with a defoliation-induced shift in plant leaf area per unit root surface (Gold and Caldwell 1990, Reich and others 1993). We observed significant decreases in iWUE (p < 0.001) 3 months after the prescribed fire due to large increases in q_s (p < 0.001). Five months following the spring burn, these differences were no longer present. These findings are consistent with other defoliation studies conducted on pines (Reich and others 1993). During the summer after the spring plots were burned, there were no differences in iWUE until late summer. In August 2012 (15 months after the prescribed fire), iWUE increased significantly (p < 0.01) above control levels due to large decreases in g_s (p < 0.001) (fig. 1). Our findings partially support our original hypothesis in that we expected to see increases in iWUE in the scorched trees throughout the summer of

2012; however, we hypothesized these increases would be due to greater A_{Sat} .

During the summer of 2012 we observed no difference in diurnal changes in A_{Sat} or g_s between scorched and un-scorched trees. There were larger diurnal increases in iWUE in the scorched trees than in control trees in June and August as the year progressed into the hot and dry summer months (p < 0.05) that occur in central Louisiana (fig. 2). This increase was due to greater diurnal changes in g_s . So as temperature increased and water availability decreased, trees defoliated through crown scorch had significantly greater diurnal increases in iWUE than control trees

CONCLUSIONS

A prolonged drought after the prescribed fire during the 2011 and 2012 study period may have interfered with recovery from crown scorch and may have affected gas exchange rates. Interactions among environmental stresses such as drought and crown scorch may decrease plant resistance to structural damage (Ellsworth and others 1994). The initial short-term increase in A_{Sat} in the new foliage of scorched trees may represent an initial recovery mechanism. However, in the year after the prescribed fire. the new foliage in scorched trees unexpectedly had lower A_{Sat} levels than control trees. Even though new foliage in scorched trees assimilated C at a lower rate than control trees, iWUE increased. This increase was not a result of increased A_{Sat} in new foliage of scorched trees but rather a function of decreased gs. Our results demonstrate potential reductions in longleaf pine C fixation a year after foliage removal. Future research will continue to monitor how the crown scorch affects gas exchange during different seasonal prescribed fires in young longleaf pine and how leaf area removal influences above and belowground carbohydrate allocation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station for funding this project. Thanks to Louisiana Tech University ANS Research Mini-Grant for financial support. Also, thanks to Dustin Dill and Larry Kile with the Forest Service, Winn Ranger District for assisting with study installation, burning, and study site maintenance. We would also like to thank Wesley Palmer for all GPS, GIS, and maps associated with this project. Finally, thanks to Wilson Hood, Brian Byrd, Jessica LaGrone, Savannah Best, Chelsea Stringfield, Tyler Durbin, Jared Simoneaux, and JinYan Yang for assisting with study installation, field, and laboratory measurements.

LITERATURE CITED

Andrews, E.F. 1917. Agency of fire in propogation of longleaf pines. Botanical Gazette. 64: 497-508.

Beer, C.; Ciais, P.; Reichstein, M. [and others]. 2009. Temporal and among-site variability of inherent water use efficiency at the ecosystem level. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. 23: 1-13.

Brockway, D.G.; Lewis C.E. 1997. Long-term effects of dormant-season prescribed fire on plant community diversity, structure and productivity in a longleaf pine wiregrass ecosystem. Forest Ecology and Management. 96: 167-183.

Busch, D.E.; Smith, S.D. 1993. Effects of fire on water and salinity relations of riparian woody taxa. Oecologia. 94(2): 186-194.

Cowan, I.R.; Farquhar, G.D. 1977. Stomatal function in relation to leaf metabolism and environment. In: Jennings, D.H., ed. Integration of activity in the higher plant. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press: 471-505.

Detling, J.K.; Dyer, M.I.; Winn, D.T. 1979. Net photosynthesis, root respiration, and regrowth of *Bouteloua grucilis* following simulated grazing. Oecologia. 41: 127-134.

Ellsworth, D.; Tyree, M.; Parker, B.; Skinner, M. 1994. Photosynthesis and water-use efficiency of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) in relation to pear thrips defoliation. Tree Physiology. 14: 619-632.

Ericsson, A.; Hellkvist, J.; Hillerdal-Hagstromer, K. [and others]. 1980. Consumption and pine growth - hypotheses on effects on growth processes by needle-eating insects. Ecological Bulletins. 32: 537-545.

Ewers, B.E.; Oren, R; Phillips, N.; Stromgren, M.; Linder S. 2001. Mean canopy stomatal conductance responses to water and nutrient availabilities in *Picea abies* and *Pinus taeda*. Tree Physiology. 21:841-850.

Eyles, A.; Pinkard, E.A.; Davies, N.W. [and others]. 2013. Whole-plant versus leaf-level regulation of photosynthetic responses after partial defoliation in *Eucalyptus globulus* saplings. Journal of Experimental Botany. 64: 1625-36.

Frost, C.C. 1993. Four centuries of changing landscape patterns in the longleaf pine ecosystem. In: Proceedings, 18th Tall Timbers fire ecology conference. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station. 18: 17-44.

Ginn, S.E.; Seiler, J.R.; Cazell, B.H.; Kreh, R.E. 1991. Physiological growth responses of eight-year-old loblolly pine stands to thinning. Forest Science. 37(4): 1030-1040.

Gold, W.G.; Caldwell, M.M. 1990. The effects of the spatial pattern of defoliation on regrowth of a tussock grass: III. Photosynthesis, canopy structure and light interception. Oecologia 82: 12–17.

Haywood, J.D. 2009. Eight years of seasonal burning and herbicidal brush control influence sapling longleaf pine growth, understory vegetation, and the outcome of an ensuing wildfire. Forest Ecology and Management. 258: 295-305.

Heichel, G.H.; Turner, N.C., 1983. CO_2 assimilation of primary and regrowth foliage of red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) and red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.): response to defoliation. Oecologia. 57: 14–19.

Kozlowski, T.T.; Keller, T. 1966. Food relations of woody plants. The Botanical Review. 32: 293-382.

Maier, C.; Teskey, R. 1992. Internal and external control of net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of mature eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*). Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 22: 1387-1394.

NOAA, N.W.S. 2012. Climate data, Quitmann, LA. http://www.noaa.gov. [Date accessed: January 6, 2013].

NRCS [Natural Resources Conservation Service]. 2012. Web soil survey. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/_ [Date accessed: April 4, 2013]

Painter, E.L.; Detling, J.K. 1979. Effects of defoliation on net photosynthesis and regrowth of western wheatgrass. Journal of Range Management. 34: 68-71.

Prudhomme, T.I. 1982. The effect of defoliation history on photosynthesis rates in mountain birch. Report of the Kevo Subarctic Research Station. 18: 5–9.

Quentin, A.G.; Pinkard, E.A.; Beadle, C.L. [and others]. 2010. Do artificial and natural defoliation have similar effects on physiology of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. seedlings? Annals of Forest Science. 67: 203-203.

Reich, P.B.; Walters, M.B.; Krause, S.C. [and others]. 1993. Growth, nutrition and gas exchange of *Pinus resinosa* following artificial defoliation. Trees. 7: 67-77.

Sword Sayer, M.A.; Haywood, J.D. 2009. Fire and longleaf pine physiology--does timing affect response? In: Proceedings of the Society of American Foresters national convention. Washington, DC: SAF: 1-11.

Teskey, R.O.; Bongarten, B.C.; Cregg, B.M. [and others]. 1987. Physiology and genetics of tree growth response to moisture and temperature stress: an examination of the characteristics of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). Tree Physiology. 3: 41-61.

Vanderklein, D.W.; Reich, P.B. 1999. The effect of defoliation intensity and history on photosynthesis, growth and carbon reserves of two conifers with contrasting leaf lifespans and growth habits. New Phytologist. 144: 121-132.

Wallace, W.; Secor, J.; Schrader, L.E. 1984. Rapid accumulation of gamma-aminobutyric acid and alanine in soybean leaves in response to an abrupt transfer to lower temperature, darkness, or mechanical manipulation. Plant Physiology. 75: 170-175.

Whelan, A.; Mitchell, R.; Staudhammer, C.; Starr, G. 2013. Cyclic occurrence of fire and its role in carbon dynamics along an edaphic moisture gradient in longleaf pine ecosystems. PLOS ONE 8(1): e54045. http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjo urnal.pone.0054045. [Date accessed: March 2, 2013].