



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest Service

Southern Forest  
Experiment Station

New Orleans,  
Louisiana

General Technical Report  
SO-116  
September 1995



# Response of Competing Vegetation to Site Preparation on West Gulf Coastal Plain Commercial Forest Land

Gale L. Wolters, Henry A. Pearson, Ronald E. Thill,  
V. Clark Baldwin, and Alton Martin, Jr.

## SUMMARY

The response of woody and herbaceous vegetation to site preparation, subsoil texture, and fertilization was measured on the West Gulf Coastal Plain. The influences of these treatments on competing vegetation were short-term. Drastic soil disturbance and fertilization briefly increased **herbage** production. Shear-windrow and shear-disk were generally the most effective methods to control early shrub density, height, and crown cover. Seven years after treatment, however, shrub and sapling densities were unrelated to treatments.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Boise Cascade; Cavenham Forest Industries, Inc.; Georgia-Pacific Corporation; International Paper Company; Manville Forest Products Corporation; T.L. James and Company, Inc.; Willamette Industries; and the USDA Forest Service, Kisatchie National Forest, for providing suggestions, land, equipment, and labor throughout the study. We also acknowledge the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service for suggestions in soil classification and site selection; the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry, Office of Forestry, for providing uniform loblolly pine seedlings; and numerous USDA Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, employees who contributed to this study. We also thank James D. **Haywood**, James H. Miller, and **Allan E.** Tiarks of the Southern Station for their helpful review comments and suggestions on earlier drafts of this document.

# Response of Competing Vegetation to Site Preparation on West Gulf Coastal Plain Commercial Forest Land

Gale L. Wolters, Henry A. Pearson, Ronald E. Thill, V. Clark Baldwin, and Alton Martin, Jr.

## INTRODUCTION

Land managers of the West Gulf Coastal Plain of Louisiana and Arkansas routinely apply an array of hardwood control techniques to improve the establishment and growth of planted loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). Under proper environmental conditions, mechanical (e.g., shearing, chopping, and disking), chemical, and fire treatments used singularly or in combination provide the control necessary for pine regeneration (Grano 1970, Slay and others 1987, Tiarks and Haywood 1986, Trousdell 1970, Trousdell and Langdon 1967). Cultural treatments are also frequently used the first few years after planting to increase survival and promote rapid growth of young pines (Schmidting 1973, Tiarks and Haywood 1986). However, little information is available on the effects of site preparation and cultural treatments on associated understory woody and herbaceous vegetation. This study was initiated to determine: (1) the response of saplings, shrubs, and herbaceous vegetation to various mechanical, chemical, and burning treatments on soils common throughout the West Gulf Coastal Plain of Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas and (2) how fertilization affects understory vegetation response to site preparation on these soils.

## STUDY AREA AND METHODS

### Study Area

This study was conducted on commercial forest land dispersed throughout the West Gulf Coastal Plain of southern Arkansas and northwestern and west-central Louisiana that had been managed for loblolly and shortleaf pines (*P. echinatu* Mill.). The dominant over-

story consisted primarily of mixed loblolly and shortleaf pines, but proportions varied greatly (Wolters and Wilhite 1973). Hardwoods that commonly formed a moderately dense midstory were blackgum, *Nyssa sylvatica* Marsh.; flowering dogwood, *Cornus florida* L.; mocker-nut hickory, *Carya tomentosa* (Poir.) Nutt.; post oak, *Quercus stellata* Wangenh.; red maple, *Acer rubrum* L.; southern red oak, *Q. falcata* Michx.; sweetgum, *Liquidambar styraciflua* L.; and white oak, *Q. alba* L. Woody species common in the understory included American beautyberry, *Callicarpa americana* L.; blueberries, *Vaccinium* spp.; greenbriers, *Smilax* spp.; hawthorns, *Crataegus* spp.; yaupon, *Ilex vomitoria* Ait.; and a mixture of small hardwood trees. Little bluestem, *Schizachyrium scoparium* (Michx.) Nash, was usually the main grass in forest openings. Longleaf uniola, *Chasmanthium sessiliflorum* (Poir.) Yates, and spike uniola, *C. laxum* (L.) Yates, were associated with little bluestem where the overstory was moderately dense, and uniola species occurred in nearly pure, although sparse, stands on heavily shaded sites. Other grasses, legumes, and composites were common but ordinarily produced little herbage.

Climate of the area is semihumid subtropical. The frost-free season generally extends from mid-March to mid-November, approximately 235 days. Annual precipitation averages about 55 inches, more than 3 inches each month, and about 25 inches during the April-September growing season.

### Soils

This study included five classes of soil ranging in B-horizon texture from loam to clay. The series represented were primarily Boswell, Henry, Kirvin, Ruston, and Sawyer. See Haywood and Burton (1990) for a comprehensive description of these five soil series. The

---

Gale L. Wolters (retired) was a range scientist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Albuquerque, NM 87106; Henry A. Pearson (retired) was a range scientist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, South Central Family Farm Research Center, Booneville, AR 72927; Ronald E. Thill is a research wildlife biologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Nacogdoches, TX 75962; V. Clark Baldwin and Alton Martin, Jr., are forest biometrician and range technician, respectively, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Pineville, LA 71360.

A-horizon for all soils generally ranged from sandy to silty loam.

### Site-Preparation Treatments

Seven site-preparation treatments were tested on each soil:

(1) Underplant-inject-plots were burned where possible to reduce logging slash and residual live plant material. Living hardwoods 21 inch in d.b.h. were injected with 2,4-D [(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) acetic acid] the spring after planting.

(2) Chop-burn-vegetation was chopped with a crawler-tractor-drawn, rolling-drum chopper and burned after fine fuels had dried.

(3) Chop-burn-disk-(hereafter referred to as chop-disk) same as chop-burn except plots were plowed with a crawler-tractor-drawn disk after burning.

(4) Double-chop-vegetation was chopped with a crawler-tractor-drawn, rolling-drum chopper and then rechopped after several days to several weeks, usually at a 90° angle to the initial chop.

(5) Shear-burn-a shearing blade mounted on a crawler tractor was used to fell cull pine and hardwood trees; after all fine fuels had dried, the plots were burned.

(6) Shear-windrow-cull pine and hardwood trees were felled as with shear-burn, then piled into windrows with brush rakes.

(7) Shear-windrow-disk—(hereafter referred to as shear-disk) same as shear-windrow except the cleared area between windrows was cultivated with a crawler-tractor-drawn disk.

### Fertilization Treatments

Each site-preparation treatment was applied to rectangular 144- by 152-ft (0.51-acre) plots. Each treated plot was then split into a 144-by 50-ft fertilized and a 144- by 102-ft control area. All fertilized split plots received 150 lb/acre of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> triple superphosphate (65.5 lb/acre phosphorus, P) surface broadcast before pines were planted (Haywood and Burton 1990).

### Site Description

Before site preparation, all merchantable timber was harvested. Residual woody stems ≥1 inch in d.b.h. averaged about 2,700 per acre with a total (nonmerchantable pine plus hardwood) basal area of 72 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre (Wolters and others 1977). Due to extreme variation in density and basal area of hardwoods on the upper West Gulf Coastal Plain, study plots selected contained at least 500 hardwood stems with 20 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre of basal

area before site preparation. Such stands generally had been selectively cut for merchantable pines and hardwoods and protected from fire.

Loblolly pines (1+0 seedlings) were hand planted on 6- by 8-ft spacings either the winter preceding herbicide injection of hardwoods or the winter after mechanical site preparation. One set of 35 plots planted during the winter of 1970-71 was considered a replication; a second set (replication) was planted during the winter of 1971-72, with a few of the second set planted during the winter of 1972-73.

### Vegetation Sampling

Ovendry weight of herbaceous plant material (grasses, grasslikes, and forbs) was estimated in 20 quadrats 9.6 ft<sup>2</sup> in size on each split plot using a weight-estimate procedure (Pechanec and Pickford 1937). Density of shrubs (shrubs, vines, and hardwood seedlings <1 inch in d.b.h.) was ocularly estimated in four 0.01-acre circular quadrats on each split plot. Height and crown cover of shrubs were also ocularly estimated on these four quadrats. Production of herbaceous vegetation and density, height, and crown cover of shrubs were sampled during fall of the first, third, and seventh growing seasons after loblolly pine seedlings were planted. Seven growing seasons after planting loblolly pines, naturally regenerated sapling (21 inch in d.b.h.) densities were sampled in four 0.025-acre circular quadrats on each split plot. For ease of discussion, saplings are separated into one of the following genera or groups: maples (*Acer* spp.), hickories (*Carya* spp.), oaks (*Quercus* spp.), conifers (*Pinus* and *Juniper-us* spp.), gums (*Liquidambar styraciflua* and *Nyssa silvatica*), other big-tree species (all other species generally taller than 30 ft at maturity), and small-tree species (all species generally less than 30 ft tall at maturity).

### Experimental Design and Analysis

Data collected the first and third year after treatment were tested by analysis of variance for a completely randomized split-plot design with blocking by year ( $P = 0.10$ ). The data from replication two were not available for analysis in year 7; thus, year 7 data were tested only for main effects. Main effects were separated using Scheffe's Test (Steele and Torrie 1980) at the 0.10-probability level.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Site Preparation Effects

**Herbaceous Plant Response.**-Although herbage production varied greatly among treatments, differences were not significant within any year (table 1). Herbage production remained high the third year after treatment, but approached pretreatment levels (Wolters and others 1977) by the seventh year.

Species composition changed greatly from the first to the third year after treatment. Early sere forbs were released by site-preparation disturbance and comprised about 75 percent of total herbage the first year after treatment compared with less than 5 percent before treatment (Wolters and others 1977). By year 3, perennial grasses and forbs comprised about 65 percent and 35 percent, respectively, of total herbage production. Three years after treatment, forb production was significantly less on underplant-inject sites than on the sites drastically disturbed by mechanical treatment.

Abundance of herbaceous vegetation, particularly forbs, apparently had little impact on survival and early diameter growth of planted loblolly pines (Haywood and Burton 1990). In fact, diameter and density of 12-year-old loblolly pines were generally greater on chop-disk and shear-burn, where forb production was greatest immediately following treatment, than on underplant-inject. However, Tiarks and

Haywood (1986) and Haywood and Tiarks (1990) reported significantly greater survival of planted loblolly pines with complete herb removal three times a year. Similar response to frequent cultivation was reported in Mississippi (Schmidting 1973) and Florida (Swindel and others 1988).

**Shrub Response.**-Shear-disk provided the best control of shrub density, height, and crown cover the first 3 years after treatment, although differences among other treatments were generally not significant (table 1). DeWit and Terry (1983) also reported that shear and pile treatment reduced hardwood sprouting more than treatments where hardwood rootstocks were left intact. Shear-disk and chop-disk also resulted in the best loblolly pine regeneration (Haywood and Burton 1990). Shrub density, height, and crown diameter were similar on all site-preparation treatments after seven growing seasons.

**Sapling Response.**-Total sapling density was not influenced by site-preparation method seven growing seasons after treatment (table 2). Large variation among species within methods apparently masked treatment responses. Even though differences were not significant, sapling density ranged from a high of 847 per acre on underplant-inject to a low of 435 per acre on double-chop sites. Pehl and Bailey (1983) also

Table 1.— *Herbage and shrub response to seven site-preparation methods 1, 3, and 7 years after treatment*

Plant variable and year after site preparation	Site-preparation method						
	Underplant inject	Chop-burn	Chop-disk	Double-chop	Shear-burn	Shear-windrow	Shear-disk
----- <i>Lb / acre</i> -----							
<b>Herbage production</b>							
First year	1,582*	2,376	2,502	2,125	1,945	1,733	1,882
Third year	1,485	1,833	1,961	2,102	1,869	1,924	2,145
Seventh year	84	109	87	381	250	102	99
----- <i>Number / acre</i> -----							
<b>Shrub density</b>							
First year	10,347ab	12,321a	7,229ab	7,550ab	8,786ab	8,295ab	5,329b
Third year	7,347ab	8,042a	5,400ab	6,186ab	5,745ab	5,354ab	4,679b
Seventh year	5,733	5,401	5,516	6,128	5,330	6,690	5,431
----- <i>Ft</i> -----							
<b>Shrub height</b>							
First year	7.22a	5.01bc	4.28bc	4.11bcd	5.47abc	3.57cd	2.54d
Third year	14.87a	14.16a	10.87ab	10.94ab	11.75ab	8.88b	6.76b
Seventh year	26.21	24.38	21.23	16.95	21.60	22.30	18.19
<b>Shrub crown diameter</b>							
First year	2.60a	2.18ab	1.82bc	1.67bc	1.83bc	1.63bc	1.22c
Third year	4.42a	4.39a	3.01ab	3.04ab	3.30ab	2.8413	2.19b
Seventh year	5.86	5.77	5.20	4.57	4.73	5.78	4.32

\*Values within rows lacking letters or followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P = 0.10$ ).

Table 2.—Effect of seven site-preparation methods on sapling density and basal area 7 years after treatment

Species group	Site-preparation method						
	Underplant inject	Chop-burn	Chop-disk	Double-chop	Shear-burn	Shear-windrow	Shear disk
	----- <i>Number/acre</i> -----						
Maples	71*	134	63	15	40	37	51
Hickories	66	23	11	8	41	71	55
<b>Oaks</b>	242	217	99	103	178	158	101
Conifers	1	0	2	0	7	97	123
Gums	182	185	127	134	221	226	134
Other big trees <sup>†</sup>	110	29	69	31	43	61	25
Small trees <sup>‡</sup>	173	190	246	144	179	67	114
<b>Total density</b>	847	781	603	435	720	717	606
	----- <i>Ft<sup>2</sup>/acre</i> -----						
Basal area	13.7	11.4	6.8	5.5	11.0	8.6	8.4

\*Values within rows are not significantly different ( $P = 0.10$ ).

<sup>†</sup>All other species generally taller than 30 ft at maturity.

<sup>‡</sup>All species generally less than 30 ft tall at maturity.

reported fewer hardwood stems 21 inch in d.b.h. on intensively prepared sites (disk and chop) 10 years after planting than on the control. Double-chop may be preferred in stands dominated by maples, hickories, oaks, and other big-tree species. On the other hand, shear-windrow and shear-disk may favor natural regeneration of loblolly pines.

Sapling basal area was nearly twice as great on underplant-inject, chop-burn, and shear-burn as on chop-disk and double-chop 7 years after treatment, but as with sapling density, these differences were not significant (table 2).

### Soil Effects

**Herbaceous Plant Response.**—The effects of subsoil texture on **herbage** production varied with time (table 3). The first year after treatment, **herbage** production ranged from nearly 1,600 lb/acre on gravelly clay subsoil to about 2,400 lb/acre on loam, although these differences are not significant. Two years later, however, **herbage** production was significantly greater on loam than on gravelly clay and silt. By the seventh year, total **herbage** production had declined 75 to 95 percent on all soils.

Graminoid (grass and grasslike plants) production was significantly greater on loam the first and third years after treatment than on silty clay and clay, whereas the opposite was true for forbs. But, by the end of the seventh growing season, production of grasses, forbs, and total **herbage** was greater on silty clay than on loam. Nevertheless, loblolly pines became better established on the more **mesic, medium-textured,**

silty clay subsoils than on well-drained loam, gravelly clay, and silt (Haywood and Burton 1990).

**Shrub Response.**—Subsoil texture significantly influenced density of shrubs the first three growing seasons after site preparation (table 3), although densities the first growing season after treatment did not differ greatly from pretreatment densities (Wolters and others 1977). The early differences were probably due to the amount of residual rootstock associated with the various subsoil textures. However, by the seventh year, shrub densities were similar across soil textures due to natural mortality, particularly on gravelly clay, but to a lesser extent on clay and silty clay subsoils. Subsoil texture effects on shrub height and crown diameter were minor and insignificant. Seven years after treatment, shrub density, height, and crown diameter were not influenced by subsoil texture.

The high density of shrubs on gravelly clay subsoil during the critical establishment period may explain why loblolly pine survival at age 12 was lowest for this subsoil class (Haywood and Burton 1990). Gravelly clay subsoils are typically found on dry upper slopes and ridgetops where **herbage** production is low and where low loblolly pine survival would be expected. It is also possible that low woody plant density the first year after treatment on silty-clay and clay subsoils allowed greater loblolly pine establishment there than on other subsoils.

**Sapling Response.**—Density of all sapling groups was influenced by subsoil texture seven growing seasons after treatment (table 4). Before treatment, only red maple, elms, and common persimmon were significantly influenced by subsoil, with silt subsoils support-

Table 3.— *Herbage and shrub responses to five subsoil textures 1, 3, and 7 years after treatment*

Plant variable and year after treatment	Soil texture				
	Loam	Gravelly clay	Silt	Silty clay	Clay
..... <i>Lb / acre</i> .....					
<b>Herbage production</b>					
First year	<b>2,374*</b>	1,582	1,874	2,070	2,303
Third year	<b>2,584a</b>	<b>1,544bc</b>	<b>1,305c</b>	<b>1,940abc</b>	<b>2,237ab</b>
Seventh year	75b	56b	<b>103ab</b>	343a	218ab
..... <i>Number / acre</i> .....					
<b>Shrub density</b>					
First year	<b>6,243b</b>	<b>11,188a</b>	<b>8,862a</b>	<b>8,257ab</b>	<b>7,906ab</b>
Third year	<b>5,134b</b>	<b>7,512a</b>	<b>6,346ab</b>	<b>5,912ab</b>	<b>5,325ab</b>
Seventh year	5,629	5,732	7,377	5,320	4,670
..... <i>Ft</i> .....					
<b>Shrub height</b>					
First year	<b>3.90b</b>	<b>4.11ab</b>	<b>5.23a</b>	<b>4.20ab</b>	<b>5.30a</b>
Third year	10.75	10.50	12.26	11.19	10.59
Seventh year	22.75	20.61	22.01	19.70	22.44
<b>Shrub crown diameter</b>					
First year	1.58	1.82	1.90	1.83	2.10
Third year	2.6513	<b>3.39ab</b>	<b>3.88a</b>	<b>3.28ab</b>	<b>3.20ab</b>
Seventh year	5.74	4.78	5.56	4.92	4.86

\*Values within rows lacking letters or followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.10$ ).

Table 4.— *Effect of five subsoil textures on density and basal area of saplings 7 years after treatment*

Species group	Soil texture				
	Loam	Gravelly clay	Silt	Silty clay	Clay
..... <i>Stem density / acre</i> .....					
Maples	<b>163a*</b>	78ab	12b	11b	44b
Hickories	14bc	66ab	84a	<b>6c</b>	23ab
Oaks	330a	132b	105b	121b	111b
Conifers	Ob	Ob	153a	Ob	3b
Gums	345a	206b	94b	82b	153b
Other big trees <sup>†</sup>	126a	84ab	<b>9b</b>	34b	17b
Small trees <sup>‡</sup>	152ab	301a	122ab	79b	144ab
Total density	<b>1,130a</b>	867ab	583bc	334c	500bc
..... <i>Ft<sup>2</sup> / acre</i> .....					
Basal area	18.4	15.1	8.0	4.0	5.6

\*Values within rows lacking letters or followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.10$ ).

<sup>†</sup>All other species generally taller than 30 ft at maturity.

<sup>‡</sup>All species generally less than 30 ft tall at maturity

ing more saplings than other soils (Wolters and others 1977). After treatment, density of maples, oaks, gums, other big-tree species, and total saplings was greater on loam subsoil than on silt, silty clay, or clay subsoil. Hickories and pines generally were denser on silt subsoil and were scarce to absent on silty clay subsoil.

Data did not permit testing to determine if site-preparation methods were less effective on loam subsoils or if deciduous trees typically regenerate better there. However, pretreatment data (Wolters and others 1977) suggest that density of some woody species varied greatly with subsoil texture. Thus, viable rootstock may have been more extensive on loam subsoils; therefore, site-preparation methods may or may not have been as effective in controlling hardwood regeneration on these subsoils.

Sapling basal area was not influenced by subsoil texture even though basal area ranged from 4 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre on silty clay to over 18 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre on loam subsoils. Large within-soil variation may have masked true subsoil differences.

Loblolly pine survival at age 12 (Haywood and Burton 1990) appeared to be inversely related to sapling and sapling-plus-shrub density 7 years after treatment. For example, sapling and shrub densities were lowest on clay and silty clay where the best survival of loblolly pines was reported; survival of loblolly pines was lower on loam, gravelly clay, and silt subsoils where density of saplings and shrubs was greater.

## Fertilization Effects

**Herbaceous Plant Response.**-Phosphorus significantly increased **herbage** production the first 3 years after application (table 5). Early **sere** forbs responded rapidly to fertilization and produced approximately 24 percent more on fertilized plots than on the control plots the first year after application. Three years after application, forbs were still producing more on fertilized plots (770 lb/acre) than on the controls (580 lb/acre), but graminoids had increased in importance and were producing about twice as much as forbs. **Herbage** production diminished on both fertilized and control plots with time but was greater on the control plots 7 years after treatment than on fertilized plots.

Swindel and others (1988) reported that fertilization alone can quintuple volume of southern pines at age 4, and fertilization plus complete control of competing vegetation can produce a short-term tenfold increase in pine volume on some sites. Tiarks and Haywood (1986) and Shiver and others (1990) reported that control of herbaceous vegetation significantly increased pine growth. The greater volume per tree and larger d.b.h. of planted pines on fertilized sites after 12 years of growth (Haywood and Burton 1990) sug-

gest that, even though **herbage** production was greater on fertilized plots during the early years of establishment, herbaceous vegetation did not significantly impair loblolly pine establishment or growth.

**Shrub Response.**-**Shrub** density was not influenced by phosphorus fertilization (table 5). Height and crown diameter were significantly greater on fertilized plots than on the controls 3 years after treatment, but by the seventh year that advantage had disappeared. Wolters and Schmidting (1975) also found that shrub density and crown cover were greater on fertilized plots 12 years after treatment than on the control. Haywood and Burton (1990) reported fertilization did benefit growth of planted loblolly pines.

**Sapling Response.**-Fertilization alone did not influence total sapling density, density of sapling groups, or total sapling basal area 7 years after treatment (table 6).

## Interactions

**Herbaceous Plant Response.**-A few significant interactions were identified that could have important management implications.

First- and third-year average forb production was greatest on fertilized clay sites and somewhat less on fertilized loam, gravelly clay, silt, and silty clay subsoils, and still less on all nonfertilized subsoils. Interestingly, Tiarks and Haywood (1986) and Haywood and Burton (1990) reported rapid loblolly pine tree growth when phosphorus was applied to loam, gravelly clay, and silty clay subsoils. Apparently, young loblolly pines use supplemental phosphorus more effectively than do forbs on some subsoils.

Total **herbage** production was greatest on loam subsoil 3 years after treatment. However, greatest **herbage** response to fertilization (25 percent) occurred on clay subsoils.

Fertilizer x subsoil texture effects were somewhat blurred 7 years after treatment. For example, forb production, representing about one-third of the total **herbage**, averaged less than 15 lb/acre on loam, gravelly clay, and silt subsoils and about 85 lb/acre on silty clay, whether fertilized or not. Fertilizer seemed to have a negative effect on clay subsoil, reducing forb production from more than 100 lb/acre to less than 40 lb/acre.

**Shrub Response.**-Significant site-preparation x subsoil texture interactions also influenced shrub height. Underplant-inject was generally least effective in controlling first-year shrub heights on nearly all soils, especially clay. Shear-burn was least effective on silt soils. Thus, underplant-inject and shear-burn should probably not be applied across subsoil textures if first-year shrub height is a concern.

Three years after treatment, shear-disk was the most effective method for control of shrub height.

Table 5.— *Herbage and shrub response to fertilization 1, 3, and 7 years after treatment*

Plant variable and year after treatment	Not fertilized	Fertilized
----- <i>Lb / acre</i> -----		
<b>Herbage production</b>		
First year	1,809b*	2,255a
Third year	1,794b	2,031a
Seventh year	192a	125b
----- <i>Number / acre</i> -----		
<b>Shrub density</b>		
First year	8,588	8,436
Third year	6,128	6,011
Seventh year	5,863	5,635
----- <i>Ft</i> -----		
<b>Shrub height</b>		
First year	4.42	4.64
Third year	10.54b	11.60a
Seventh year	21.52	21.45
----- <i>Ft</i> -----		
<b>Shrub crown diameter</b>		
First year	1.82	1.85
Third year	3.14b	3.43a
Seventh year	5.26	5.08

\*Values within rows lacking letters or followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P = 0.10$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

The response of most competing vegetation to site preparation, fertilization, and subsoil texture appeared to be short-term. Neither a single treatment nor a combination of treatments controlled all competing woody and herbaceous vegetation 1, 3, and 7 years after treatment. In fact, all combinations of overstory removal and site preparation actually stimulated herbage production for a few years.

All site-preparation methods except underplant-inject significantly increased production of early seral forbs. Shear-disk was the most effective treatment for controlling density of shrubs up to 3 years after application. Most site-preparation methods reduced shrub density, height growth, and crown diameter slightly the first 3 years after treatment. Shear-disk was one of the most effective methods, whereas underplant-inject and chop-burn were generally ineffective.

Herbage production was generally lower on silt subsoil than on other subsoil textures the first 7 years after site preparation. Early shrub reestablishment was greatest on gravelly clay subsoil and minimal on loam. Seven years after treatment, shrub and sapling

densities were greatest on silt and loam subsoils, respectively. Herbage production was greatest on silty clay subsoil where sapling density was lowest. Although no single attribute limited the success of loblolly pine regeneration, Haywood and Burton (1990) reported greatest survival at age 12 on silty clay where 7-year herbage production was high and sapling density, low.

Fertilization significantly increased forb and total herbage production up to 3 years after treatment. Shrub height and crown diameter were also greater on fertilized plots 3 years after application.

Fertilizer produced the greatest herbage response on clay subsoil; production on other subsoils was only minimally influenced. Site-preparation methods were equally effective in controlling shrub height the first year after treatment, except that shear-burn was ineffective on silt subsoil.

Phosphorus fertilization alone did not appear to increase shrub density, crown cover, or height growth enough to create pine regeneration problems. Shrubs were significantly taller 3 years after fertilization of underplant-inject and shear-burn plots than on the controls, but fertilization did not influence shrub height growth on other site-preparation treatments.

The density of gums 21 inch in d.b.h. 7 years after site preparation was greater on fertilized than on con-

Table 6.— *Effect of fertilization on sapling density and basal area 7 years after treatment*

Species group	Not fertilized	Fertilized
----- <i>Stendensity / acre</i> -----		
Maples	60*	59
Hickories	34	45
Oaks	165	149
Conifers	24	39
Gums	176	171
Other big trees†	63	42
Small trees‡	135	185
Total density	659	693
----- <i>Ft<sup>2</sup> / acre</i> -----		
Basal area	9.8	10.6

\*Values within rows lacking letters or followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P = 0.10$ ).

†All other species generally taller than 30 ft at maturity.

‡All species generally less than 30 ft tall at maturity.

trol gravelly clay and silt subsoils but not on any other subsoils. Site preparation did not influence density or basal area of saplings 7 years after treatment, but subsoil texture did influence sapling density. Sapling density was minimal on silty clay subsoil, where Haywood and Burton (1990) observed maximum density of 12-year-old loblolly pine trees.

## LITERATURE CITED

- DeWit, James N.; Terry, Thomas A. 1983. Site preparation effects on early loblolly pine growth, hardwood competition, and soil physical properties. In: Jones, Earle P., Jr., ed. Proceedings of the 2nd biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1982 November 4-5; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-24. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 40-47.
- Grano, Charles X. 1970. Small hardwoods reduce growth of pine overstory. Res. Pap. SO-55. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 8 p.
- Haywood, James D.; Burton, James D. 1990. Phosphorus fertilizer, soils, and site preparation influence loblolly pine productivity. *New Forests*. 3: 275-287.
- Haywood, James D.; Tiarks, Allan E. 1990. Eleven-year results of fertilization, herbaceous, and woody plant control in a loblolly pine plantation. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 14(4): 173-177.
- Pechanec, Joseph F.; Pickford, G.D. 1937. A weight estimate method for determination of range or pasture production. *Journal of American Society of Agronomy*. 29: 894-904.
- Pehl, C.E.; Bailey, Robert L. 1983. Performance to age ten of a loblolly pine plantation on an intensively prepared site in the Georgia Piedmont. *Forest Science*. 29(1): 96-102.
- Schmidtling, Ronald C. 1973. Intensive culture increases growth without affecting wood quality of young southern pines. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 3(4): 565-573.
- Shiver, Barry D.; Rheney, John W.; Oppenheimer, Michael J. 1990. Site-preparation method and early cultural treatments affect growth of flatwoods slash pine plantations. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 14(4): 183-188.
- Slay, J. Melinda; Lockaby, B.G.; Adams, J.C.; Vidrine, C.G. 1987. Effects of site preparation on soil physical properties, growth of loblolly pine, and competing vegetation. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 11(2): 83-86.
- Steele, Robert G.D.; Torrie, James H. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach. 2d ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. 633 p.
- Swindel, Bence F.; Neary, Daniel G.; Comerford, Nicholas B. [and others]. 1988. Fertilization and competition control accelerate early southern pine growth on flatwoods. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 12(2): 116-121.
- Tiarks, Allan E.; Haywood, James D. 1986. *Pinus taeda* L. response to fertilization, herbaceous plant control, and woody plant control. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 14: 103-112.
- Trousdell, Kenneth B. 1970. Disking and prescribed burning: sixth-year residual effects on loblolly pine, and competing vegetation. Res. Note SE-133. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. 6 p.
- Trousdell, Kenneth B.; Langdon, O. Gordon. 1967. Disking and prescribed burning for loblolly pine regeneration. *Journal of Forestry*. 65: 548-551.
- Wolters, Gale L.; Martin, Alton, Jr.; Clary, Warren P. 1977. Timber, browse, and herbage on selected loblolly-shortleaf pine-hardwood forest stands. Res. Note SO-223. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 9 p.
- Wolters, Gale L.; Schmidtling, Ronald C. 1975. Browse and herbage in intensively managed pine plantations. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 39: 557-562.
- Wolters, Gale L.; Wilhite, A.T., Jr. 1973. Loblolly-shortleaf pine-hardwood range. Bull. N.S. 9. In: Range resources of the South. Athens, GA: University of Georgia: 20-23.

Wolters, Gale L.; Pearson, Henry A.; Thill, Ronald E.; Baldwin, V. Clark; Martin, Alton, Jr. 1995. Response of competing vegetation to site preparation on West Gulf Coastal Plain commercial forest land. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-116. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 8 p.

The response of herbaceous and woody vegetation to site preparation and fertilization of common loblolly pine forest soils was studied in southern Arkansas and northwestern and west-central Louisiana. The succession of competing vegetation is quantified the first, third, and seventh years after site preparation and fertilization.

**Keywords:** Browse, ecology, herbage, loblolly pine, plant succession, regeneration.

The use of trade or firm names in this publication is for reader information and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service.

This publication reports research involving pesticides. It does not contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses discussed here have been registered. All uses of pesticides must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be recommended.

**CAUTION:** Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife-if they are not handled or applied properly. Use all herbicides selectively and carefully Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus pesticides and their containers.