STATUS OF SHORT ROTATION FORESTRY MECHANISATION WORLDWIDE

WORKSHOP & STUDY TOUR : March 2nd - 4th 1993

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY / BIOENERGY AGREEMENT

TASK IX : Harvesting and Supply of Woody Biomass for Energy

ACTIVITY 1 : Mechanisation of Short Rotation Forestry

Complied by :

Damian Culshaw, ETSU, Harwell laboratory, Oxford OX11 ORA, UK

STATUS OF SHORT ROTATION FORESTRY IN THE USA

Tim McDonald & Bryce Stokes

USDA Forest Service, Auburn, Alabama, USA

Status of Short Rotation Forestry in the USA

Introduction

Woody biomass remains a relatively small component of the total energy supply in North America (Energy Information Administration, 1992). United States industrial consumption of wood energy has remained steady for about the last ten years at 1.6 trillion MJ. Total wood energy consumption for 1990 was about 2.9 trillion MJ, or 3.4% of the national total.

It is unlikely that wood fuels will become an important energy source in the foreseeable future. Demand for fossil fuel energy sources has risen slowly. Over the last ten years in the United States, energy consumption has increased an average of less than 1% annually. And that small increase has come mainly in the residential sector where the potential for SRIC biomass energy utilization is low. Availability of fossil fuels, except for the brief interruption seen during the Persian Gulf war, has been steady, keeping real costs of heating oil and gasoline below the levels of the early 1980's. Without a drastic change in the world energy situation, costs are unlikely to reach a point to make SRIC biomass a realistic alternative in the near future.

As the market for biomass energy remained weak, the demand for hardwood fiber increased dramatically. From 1976 to 1990, consumption of hardwood for pulp in the United States increased from 31% to 39% of the total. By the year 2020, the USDA Forest Service predicts hardwoods will account for nearly 50% of the total pulpwood consumption in the U.S. Because of increased environmental concerns and the longer rotation period of hardwoods, interest in the U.S. has turned from using SRIC exclusively for energy, to fiber production with secondary energy usage.

Although the potential uses have changed, the challenges associated with SRIC production of woody biomass remain the same. This paper summarizes the status of industrial SRIC programs in the United States, as well a review of research with an emphasis on felling technology for harveasting operations.

SRIC : Research Summary

Species

Commercially viable species for SRIC production have been identified in many growth and yield trials throughout the U.S. The choice of species for a particular site is highly dependent on both regional and local conditions, as well as the intended function of the plantation. Although many species have been identified as feasible, relatively few are currently in use. Consideration in the U.S. is given mainly to the potential for fiber production. The major species in use include black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) on generally poorer soils, sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*) on wetter soils, *Eucalyptus* spp. in warmer climates, and several *Populus* species on more productive soils. Although they tend to yield at lower levels than other species, some nitrogen-fixing *Alnus* species have been identified as appropriate for the U.S. (Hall and Burgess, 1990). There are additional potentially important regional species, but so far none have been used in a significant plantation production system.

Cultural Practices

Stand establishment procedures in the U.S. generally call for soil treatments similar to agricultural production, with significant tillage for seedbed preparation and control of competing vegetation (Hansen et al., 1984, Christopherson et al., 1989). Tillage has been shown to enhance early productivity of SRIC plantations in Sweden (Ledin, 1992), which is important for competition-intolerant species such as *Populus* (Zsuffa and Gambles, 1992). Hansen (1991) reported that stand establishment in the northern U.S. usually includes primary tillage (agricultural equipment) in the fall, with additional broad-spectrum herbicide application if needed. In the spring, the site is disked and a pre-emergence herbicide applied just prior to planting.

From our survey of industrial SRIC fiber plantations in the U.S., trees are planted at a density of about 1800 trees/hectare. This density is typical of energy plantations in the north-central U.S. as well (Hansen, 1991), but for energy production stand densities can be higher. Moran and Nautiyal (1985), for example, recommended 16,000-35,000 stems/ha for *Populus* in Canada under 3-year rotations. Rotations for fiber production are generally long enough to produce 13-25 cm dbh trees, about 5-7 years.

Much research has been done on nutrient requirements in SRIC plantations, especially in *Populus* spp. (Mitchell, 1990; Hansen et al., 1988). Nitrogen is assumed to be limiting in production of SRIC hardwoods (Mitchell, 1992), but factors affecting the uptake of nitrogen are not as well known. Nutrient concentration does not seem to be important, but rather the total root surface area available for uptake, implying that enhancing root development is key to increasing productivity. Since the plant can shift resource allocation dynamically in response to nutrient availability, timing of fertilizer application would also seem important, as well as method and form of application and type of incorporation.

Research is needed into the level of nutrient availability required to optimize production in short rotation forestry (Anderson et al., 1983). Strauss et al. (1988) reported a 21% increase in second rotation yields of poplar using fertilization rates developed for corn production. Costs of the treatment were about 6% higher. With increasing environmental concerns over agricultural sources of water contaminants, there is an acute need for information on the minimum nutritional and chemical vegetative control levels needed to make SRIC plantations profitable. In the Western U.S., concerns over the availability of water will also impact the level of management possible on fiber plantations.

In our survey, the use of mechanical cultivation was widely reported as a means of controlling weeds. Anderson et al. (1983) listed additional benefits of cultivation, including incorporation of surface biomass into the soil for nutrient retention, slowing of soil moisture loss, and preservation of soil tilth. Herbicide is also commonly used with cultivation until canopy close (Anderson et al., 1983). Christopherson et al. (1989) recommended cultivation every 10 days to two weeks to a depth of 5 cm in first year stands. Hansen (1991) reported the common use of a variety of agricultural implements for cultivation, including rotary hoes, sweep cultivators, or disks.

Decision criteria are needed for choosing between regeneration alternatives. The long term effect of multiple harvestings on growth and yield of coppiced vegetation is not quantified

(Zsuffa and Gambles, 1992; Mitchell, 1992; Ferm and Kauppi, 1990), especially for the variety of species and conditions found in the U.S. Coppicing response of short rotation poplar has been documented in the U.S. (e.g., Strong, 1989; Strauss et al., 1988; Ek et al., 1983), but the studies have been geographically limited and don't always report the effect of harvesting method. Research is needed to determine the optimal balance between the economic and productivity tradeoffs arising from harvest treatments, including acceptable levels of stump damage, time of harvest, and soil impacts of trafficking.

Expected Yields

Yields of SRIC biomass plantations in the U.S. vary widely with species, region, and management strategy. Cannell and Smith (1980) give an early review of yields. In a later summary for the Great Lakes region of the U.S. (Meridian Corp., 1986), yields of initial rotations of clonal cottonwood plantations were reported to be from 4.9 to 11.2 dry Mg/ha/yr. Tree spacings ranged from 0.3 m to 2 m apart. Ranney et al. (1985) reported yields of from 1.6 to 5.7 dry Mg/ha/yr at a 2 m spacing, and 4 to 7 dry Mg/ha/yr at 1.2 m spacing. In a recent report, Hall et al. (1992) found yields of 5.5 dry Mg/ha/y from *Populus* hybrids in Minnesota. Small-scale studies in the Midsouth region (Tennessee) found yields of between 9 and 11 Mg/ha/yr of dry matter on first rotations of cottonwood, sycamore, and sweetgum on converted farmland (Energy Performance Systems, 1992). Initial findings on a first-rotation sycamore plantation in southern Alabama indicate yields of about 11 green Mg/ha/y for trees grown an a 1.8 m x 2.7 m spacing.

Harvesting Methods

The financial component of SRIC production having the greatest immediate opportunity to reduce overall system costs is harvest and transport (Strauss et al., 1988). The magnitude of the savings is difficult to gauge, however, since the opportunities to study integrated commercial biomass operations are few. What studies there have been have shown that harvest and transport costs generally account for over 45% of the cost per MJ of energy produced per hectare (Strauss et al., 1988), and can range from 35% (Ranney et al., 1985) to 70% of the total production costs (Zsuffa and Gambles, 1992). On an energy basis, harvest and transport contribute about 30% of the total input (Strauss and Grado, 1992). In a study of irrigated poplar plantations in the North-Central region, Ferguson et al. (1981) found that a 20%-40% change in harvest costs influenced rate of return by about 2%, which was comparable to an 18%-20% change in yield. As planting density and yield of SRIC plantations increase through genetic and cultural improvements, the opportunities to gain significant advantages from improvements in harvest and transport technologies will magnify in importance (Woodfin et al. 1987).

Research in the area of biomass harvest mechanization has concentrated on integrating biomass utilization technology into conventional harvesting practices (Hudson and Mitchell, 1992; Goulding and Twaddle, 1990; Stokes, 1992). In contrast, because of the unique cultural practices and conditions, early research efforts in SRIC mechanization focused on development of specialized harvesters (Christopherson and Mattson, 1990; Christopherson et al., 1989). Perlack et al. (1986) stated that production costs with specialized harvesting equipment could be lowered by 30% over those incurred using conventional systems.

In general, SRIC-specific systems were designed to take advantage of the structure of the typical stand, i.e. the dense, small, relatively uniform trees, while providing some initial processing to decrease bulk density of the harvested product by either bunching, chipping, or crushing. The VPI/DOE harvester (Stuart et al., 1983) used counter-rotating saws to fell stems, and a belt conveyor to move them to a crusher system. The authors recommended that future versions of the system be designed as towed implements for use with an agricultural tractor. This would eliminate some of the problems the machine had with power requirements and manueverability, but a revised prototype was never built. The U.S. Forest Service developed a prototype felling system for SRIC plantations that used an auger to sever the stems coupled with an accumulating area to bunch them. In theory, the auger has advantages over the saw-type fellers (Curtin and Barnett, 1986) since the auger is less likely to bind and, therefore, should do less damage to stumps and roots. The auger, however, has yet to be field tested.

Despite demonstrated potential with specialized biomass harvesters, U.S.-based research in this area has virtually ceased, mainly because of the lack of a specific market for any developed products. Currently the only on-going biomass harvester development project in the U.S. is being conducted in the state of Hawaii (Paquin et al., 1989). The project is on hold at present because of low funding.

Recent research in SRIC harvest mechanization in the U.S. has adapted to meet industrial needs for integrated production of fiber for pulp furnish with secondary byproducts for energy. The relative scarcity of SRIC plantations has necessitated use of conventional harvest systems, with some instances of adapting components or operational practices for the unique conditions. In a study by Stokes et al. (1986) a feller buncher-type biomass harvesting head was mounted on a tractor and used along with two methods of primary transport systems (tractor and skidder) to produce chips for fuel. Their results indicated the advantages of using a large-capacity bunching felling head. They also reported cost savings were possible using smaller scale transport and chipping equipment in conjunction with the feller buncher.

In the Pacific Northwest, Hartsough et al. (1991) compared a feller buncher/skidder with a small-scale manual fell/cable yarding system, both feeding a chain flail/chipper system, for use in producing clean pulp furnish from SRIC *Populus* stands. The cable yarder was proposed as an alternative to be used when site conditions precluded the use of ground-based equipment. They found that stump-to-truck pulp chip costs were increased over 70% when using the yarder. They also reported that, for the ground-based equipment, the chain flail represented the limiting function in the harvest system and could be adequately supplied using a single feller buncher and skidder. The flail had been modified to accomodate smaller stems.

In a study of the potential for agroforestry operations in the interior of California, Hartsough (1990) examined potential markets and costs associated with harvest and transport of SIRC-grown *Eucalyptus* spp. Large- and small-scale pulp and fuel producing operations, as well as production of firewood for urban markets, were examined for economic viability. They reported the firewood operations, although having higher costs, had a significant advantage in value of product produced and returned the highest annual yield on investment. Of the other operations, chipping for fuel or pulp yielded the greatest returns, with whole tree systems bringing the lowest. Mechanized systems (feller- bunchers / skidders) also tended to have a higher return than manual.

Currently, the majority of SRIC plantations in the U.S. are being used as a source for chips. Other product forms, however, have been investigated. Besides firewood, SRIC biomass has also been converted into fuel in the form of bales after crushing, a form Curtin and Barnett (1986) suggest could offer the best opportunity for making SRIC wood crops economically competetive. Another proposal has been made to retrofit a currently-inactive coal-fired generating facility in Tennessee to use whole trees as a fuel source (Energy Performance Systems, 1992). Myers and Crist (1986) pointed out that the cell structure of SRIC-grown trees makes them suitable for composite wood products as well.

Table 1 summarizes whole-tree felling productivity data covering the last decade of research in the U.S. Several combinations of equipment type, species, and stem size are represented. Unreferenced data are from studies by the U.S. Forest Service Engineering Research Unit, Auburn, AL. The Hydro-Ax 411, in both cases, and the Morbark Mark V were fitted with 16" shear heads. Both the Barko and the John Deere were equipped with 18" shears. The Barko was a drive-to-tree type feller-buncher, the John Deere a swing-type.

The results show that average dbh is the most critical factor in predicting productivity of conventional felling systems in SRIC stands. For stem sizes in the 4 cm dbh range, productivity of conventional feller-bunchers was about 2 times higher than manual felling, but still less than half that for 7 cm dbh trees at a 10% higher stand density. For larger trees (7.6 cm dbh), feller-buncher (Morbark Mark V) productivity was comparable to mechanically-assisted manual felling, although more recent studies under the same conditions with a larger machine (Hydro-Ax 411) indicated significantly higher production rates. Increasing tree size by a factor of 2.6, to 19.8 cm dbh, resulted in a further 2- to 4-fold increase in productivity.

Other factors combined to affect felling productivity of conventional systems. If dbh were the single factor involved, one would expect productivity to increase with the square of stem size. From the table, this does not seem to be the case. The increase in dbh from 7.6 cm to 19.8 cm, for example, would mean a 6-fold change in productivity instead of the 4-fold increase observed. It seems the operator was able to compensate somewhat for the smaller trees by accumulating more trees per cycle, and the slightly higher planting density afforded some advantages in reducing travel and position times. The advantages, however, would likely disappear at very high planting densities.

The conventional felling systems represented in the table were tested at stand densities typical of current SRIC practice in the U.S. It is not clear how well conventional systems would respond if used in stands with planting densities in excess of 10,000 trees/ha. Woodfin et al. (1988) showed plots of productivity for feller-bunchers that dropped exponentially with increasing stand density. The specialized harvesters, on the other hand, did not seem as sensitive to the number of trees per hectare. In stands of comparable tree size, the VPI/DOE machine had nearly the same productivity as a feller-buncher (Hydro Ax 411) operating at one tenth the stand density. This seems intuitively reasonable in that the SRIC-specific harvesters were designed to operate continuously and are limited by forward progress speed rather than the rate at which a sequence of tasks can be performed. This suggests that SRIC-specific harvesters would maintain a relatively high rate of productivity in a coppiced stand, which is indeed the observed result for the VPI/DOE machine. No data were available for the effect of coppiced vegetation on conventional equipment, but it would likely cause significant reductions in productivity.

There appears to be no consensus regarding the future direction of SRIC harvest machinery research. With the trend in the U.S. towards production of fiber rather than fuelwood, piece sizes of SRIC-grown trees will likely remain somewhat larger at harvest than is optimal for biomass production, making conventional harvesting equipment sufficient for the short term. The long-term viability of SRIC energy plantations, however, could require the development of specialized systems with clear advantages for the conditions encountered. Machines will have to be adaptable to the wide variety of rotation lengths and stand densities found in multi-product SRIC plantations. Harvest systems will also have to do minimal damage to stumps and roots, perhaps the most important limitation of conventional harvest systems which are geared toward large, single-purpose machines. The effect of heavy trafficking by these machines on regeneration is not known, but the potential for residual stand damage seems high. Specialized SRIC harvesters, on the other hand, could be used to optimize the total harvest system, perhaps centered around a single, multi-purpose machine that would require only a single pass through the stand, delivering a transportable product to the roadside.

USA SRIC : Plantation Operations Update

Currently there are few SRIC activities in the U.S. All operational ventures are primarily for fiber with some secondary energy products. Most intensively-managed plantations for energy production were experiments conducted in the 1970's and early 1980's. They were established to determine appropriate species and expected yields. In the late 1980's, and into the 1990's, industrial establishment of SRIC plantations increased to provide hardwood fiber resources. The energy recovery from these stands is not known.

James River Corporation (Western Operations) has established about 3,400 hectares of SRIC hybrid cottonwood plantations along the Columbia River in Washington and Oregon. Expected annual harvest will be 400 hectares. Each rotation is from cuttings. The sites are prepared and subsequently cultivated 2-3 times during rotation. Plans are for year-round logging since the mill has only a three day supply of chips on hand. Conventional feller-bunchers (Bell) and rubber-tired skidders (109 cm tire) are used for harvesting. In the winter wet season, November to May, a flexi-track FMC will be used for extraction. The wood is flailed at the deck and chipped. About 6-9 btd of clean chip residues are generated per acre in conjunction with the 29-34 btd of clean chips produced. Currently, the residue (hogfuel) is not being recovered. Plans are to use it for cogeneration beginning in 1995.

The Eastern Operations of James River Corporation have a commercial cottonwood operation in the Mississippi Delta. The plantations are grown for fiber. The rotation age is 8-10 years and at harvest is 20-24 cm in dbh and 25-30 m in height. Growth rate is about 6 tonnes/acre/year with approximately 60 green tonnes removed at harvest. They are planting and harvesting about 1,000 hectares annually. All rotations come from cuttings. Sites are well prepared and cuttings are on 4X4 m spacing. Over the rotation, there is intensive cultivation: 6 the first year and 2 the second year. Conventional feller-buncher and skidder systems are used to harvest the stands as tree-length material.

Scott Paper Company has sycamore plantations in South Alabama that provide hardwood fiber. Original plans were to harvest 400 hectares annually. Current harvest levels seem to be around

90 hectares per year. Conventional harvesting equipment will be used. A chipper will convert the whole trees to chips. The WTC (whole tree chips) are used after screening to make pulp.

Boise Cascade in the Columbia River Basin in Washington has planted 1,740 hectares of cottonwood with a plan to eventually harvest 1,200 hectares annually. The main product will be fiber.

Simpson Paper Company is planting Eucalyptus for fiber and energy. They have not reached the harvesting stage of their plantations.

A fuelwood pilot study is being conducted in Nebraska. The concept is to use SRIC plantations to supply a wood-heating burner for a large conference center at the Arbor Day Foundations. Poplars, silver maple, and green ash are being planted at the rate of 8.1 hectares annually. They will cut on a 7-year rotation, hopefully by farmers as an extra crop. The SRIC plantations will only furnish approximately 12 percent of wood for the boiler; the remaining will be wood waste.

Table 1. Productivity summary of machine and manual felling in short rotation, intensive culture (SRIC) plantations.

Type of Machine	Species	Average DBH (cm)	Spacing (m) (Trees/ha)	Rotation	Productivity[1] (Dry mt/PMH)
Hyd-Mech FB-7[2/3]	Sycamore	6.3	1.5 x 3 (1824)	1	8.7
Hydro-Ax 411[2]	"	4.3	"	1	2.2
Hydro-Ax 411	"	7.6	1.8 x 2.7 (2017)	1	13.0
Morbark Mark V[2]	Sycamore	7.6	"	1	5.3
Chainsaw w/felling frame[2]	"	7.6	"	1	5.1
Chainsaw[2]	"	4.3	"	1	1.3
VPI/DOE Harvester[4]	Poplar	8.0	0.5 x 0.9 (21,607)	3	10.9
John Deere 493D	Cottonwood	19.8	3.9 x 3.9 (670)	1	26.2
Barko 775	"	19.8	"	1	45.4
UH Harvester[5]	Eucalyptus	7.0	1 x 1 (10,116)	1	9.0
USFS Harvester[4]	Poplar	11.4	2.4 x 2.4 (1700)	1	5.8[6]

[1]Productivity converted from green tonnes to dry tonnes assuming 50% moisture content.
[2]Woodfin et al., 1987.
[3]Stokes et al., 1986.
[4]Stuart et al., 1983.
[5]Paquin et al., 1989.
[6]Christopherson et al., 1989. Assumed 15 trees/cycle, & 50% moisture content. Used an average green tree weight of 49 lbs/whole tree from USFS GTR WO-42. Tables of Whole-Tree Weight for Selected U.S. Tree Species. p.6.

Table 2. Commercial Status of Short-Rotation Woody Crops in the U.S.[1,2]

INSTITUTION	STATE/REGION	PLANTING YEARS	ACRES PLANTED	ACRES PLANNED
Westvaco Corporation	Southeast	1975-92	5,700	>5,700
James River Corporation	Mississippi	1976-92	7,300	8,100
C. Brewer Subsidiaries	Hawaii	1976-92	290	?3,200 [3]
Union Camp Corporation	Southeast	1980-92	400+ [4]	>400
Reynolds Metal Company	New York	1981-88	120	120
James River Corporation	Oregon	1982-92	3,400	>3,400
William Heckrodt	Wisconsin	1986-92	8	>8
Edenton (municipality)	North Carolina	1987-88	150	150
DOE/USDA scale-up	Minnesota	1887-88	80	80
Amana Corporation	Iowa	1987-91	20	20
Federal Paper Board	North Carolina	1987-88	120	>120
Woodland (municipality)	North Carolina	1988-89	20	20
Conservation Reserve Land	Minnesota	1988-92	400	>600
Conservation Reserve Land	Michigan	1988-92	120	?>120 [5]
Simpson Timber	California	1988-92	2,000	4,000
Scott Paper Corporation	Alabama	1988-92	490	>810
MacMillan Bloedel	Washington	1988-92	160	>160
Scott Paper Corporation	Washington	1991-91	40	>40
Boise Cascade	Washington	1991-92	1,700	8,000
			22,518	35,048

[1]Information courtesy of L. Wright, DOE, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.

[2]Short-rotation woody crops are defined as intensively managed hardwoods with an expected rotation age of 10 years or less.

[3]Sugar industry land becoming available in 1993, discussions of planting to woody crops is being considered.

[4]Union Camp Corporation has 7,300 hectares of plantation hardwoods that will be harvested between ages 10 and 20.

[5]Location of acreage and future plans are unknown, number is based on hybrid poplar planting stock sold by a Michigan nursery.

Literature Cited

Anderson, H.W.; Papadopol, C.S.; Zsuffa, L. 1983. Wood energy plantations in temperate climates. *Forest Ecology Management.* 6: 281-306.

Cannell, M.G.R.; Smith, R.I. 1980. Yields of minirotation closely spaced hardwoods in temperate regions. *Forest Science.* 26(3):415-428.

Christopherson, N.S., B.A. Barkley, S. Ledin, C.P. Mitchell. 1989. Production technology for short rotation forestry. IEA Information Report 89:1. Bioenergy Agreement, International Energy Agency.

Christopherson, N. S.; Mattson, J.A. 1990. Mechanization of the operational aspects of short-rotation forestry. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986-1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 123-134.

Curtin, D.T.; Barnett, P.E. 1986. Development of forest harvesting technology: Applications in short rotation intensive culture (SRIC) woody biomass. TN B-58; TVA/ONRED/LER-86/7. Tennessee Valley Authority, Office of Agriculture and Chemical Development. Muscle Shoals, Alabama: 29-45.

Ek, A.R.; Lenarx, J.E.; Dudek, A. 1983. Growth and yield of *Populus* coppice stands under intensive culture. In: Intensive plantation culture: 12 years of research. NCFES General Technical Report NC-91. USDA Forest Service: p. 64-71.

Energy Information Agency. 1992. Annual energy review 1991. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 20005.

Energy Performance Systems, Inc. 1992. Watts Bar Conversion to Whole Tree Energy: Final Report. TVA SERBEP, Muscle Shoals, Alabama 35660: 156 p.

Ferm, A.; Kauppi, A. 1990. Coppicing as a means for increasing hardwood biomass production. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 107-121.

Ferguson, K.D.; Rose, D.W.; Lothner, D.C.; Zavitkovski, J. 1981. Hybrid poplar plantations in the Lake States - A financial analysis. *Journal of Forestry.* 79(10):664-667.

Goulding, C.J.; Twaddle, A.A. 1988. Harvesting whole trees with processing and log allocation (in the forest) to conventional energy products. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986-1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 145-158.

Hall, R.B.; Ostry, M.E.; Nordh, N.E. 1992. IEA joint trials: New lessons from old plantations. In: *Biomass and Bioenergy Special Issue*. IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 85-94.

Hall, R.B.; Burgess, D. 1990. Evaluation of *alnus* species and hybrids. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986-1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 21-33.

Hansen, E.A.; Netzer, D.; Rietveld, W.J. 1984. Site preperation for intensively cultured hybrid poplar plantations. USDA Forest Service Research Note NC-320: 4 p.

Hansen, E.A. 1991. Energy plantations in North Central United States: status of research and development plantations. *Energy Sources*. 13: 105-110.

Hansen, E.A.; McLaughlin, R.A.; Pope, P.E. 1988. Biomass and nitrogen dynamics of hybrid poplar on two different soils: implications for fertilization strategy. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*. 18(2): 223-230.

Hartsough, B.R., B.J. Stokes, and C. Kaiser. 1991. Short rotation poplar: a harvesting trial. *Forest Products Journal*. 42(10):59-64.

Hartsough, B.R. 1990. Production harvesting operations for agroforestry plantations in the San Joaquin Valley, California. In: Presentation at ASAE International Meeting 1990; Chicago, Illinois, December 18-19. ASAE Paper No. 90-7546: 23 p.

Hudson, J.B.; Mitchell, C.P. 1992. Integrated harvesting systems. In: *Biomass and Bioenergy Special Issue*. IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 121-130.

Ledin, S. 1992. The energy forestry production systems. In: *Biomass and Bioenergy Special Issue*. IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 17-24.

Meridian Corp. 1985. Short-rotation intensive culture of woody crops for energy: Principles and practices for the Great Lakes Region. Great Lakes Regional Biomass Energy Program, Madison, Wisconsin: 85 p.

Mitchell, C.P. 1990. Nutrients and growth relations in short-rotation forestry. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 91-105.

Mitchell, C.P. 1992. Ecophysiology of short rotation forestry crops. In: *Biomass and Bioenergy Special Issue*. IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 25-37.

Moran, L.A., and J.C. Nautiyal. 1985. Present and future feasibility of short-rotation energy farms in Ontario. *Forest Ecology Management. 10: 323-338.*

Myers, G.C., and J.B. Crist. 1986. Feasibility of manufacturing hardboard from short-rotation intensively cultured *Populus*. *Forest Products Journal*. 30(6):42-48.

Paquin, D.; Singh, D.; Liang, T. 1989. Development of a biomass harvester. Presentation at ASAE and CSAE International Meeting, June 22-28; Quebec, PQ, Canada. ASAE Paper No. 89-7062: 12 p.

Perlack, R.D.; Ranney, J.W.; Barron, W.F.; Cushman, J.H.; Trimble, J.L. 1986. Short-rotation intensive culture for the production of energy feedstocks in the US: A review of experimental results and remaining obstacles to commercialization. *Biomass*. 9:145-159.

Ranney, J.W.; Wright, L.L.; Trimble, J.L.; Perlack, R.D.; Dawson, D.H.; Wenzel, C.R.; Curtin, D.T. 1985. Short rotation woody crops program: Annual progress report for 1984. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division Publication Number 2541. ORNL. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: 80 p.

Stokes, B.J. 1992. Harvesting small trees and forest residues. In: *Biomass. and Bioenergy Special Issue.* IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 131-147.

Stokes, B.J.; Fredrick, D.J.; Curtin, D.T. 1986. Field trials of a short-rotation biomass feller-buncher and selected harvesting systems. Elsevier Applied Science; England: *Biomass.* 11(1986): 185-204.

Strauss, C.H.; Grado,S.C. 1992. Input-output analysis of energy requirements for short rotations, intensive culture, woody biomass. *Solar Energy.* 48(1):45-51.

Strauss, C.H.; Grado, S.C.; Blankenhorn, P.R.; Bowersox, T.W. 1988. Economic evaluations of multiple rotation SRIC biomass plantations. *Solar Energy.* 41(2):207-214.

Strong, T. 1989. Rotation length and repeated harvesting influences on *Populus* coppice production. NCFES Research Note NC-350. USDA Forest Service: p. 1-4.

Stuart, W.B.; Marley, D.S.; Teel, J.B. 1983. A prototype short rotation harvester. In: Proceedings of the 7th international FPRS industrial wood energy forum, 1983; September 19-21. Nashville, Tennessee. FPRS: 167-174.

Woodfin, S.L.; Wright, L.L.; Curtin, D.T. 1987. SRIC: Integration of production and harvesting system costs. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 115-150.

Zsuffa, L.; Gambles, R.L. 1992. Improvement of energy-dedicated biomass production systems. In: *Biomass and Bioenergy Special Issue.* IEA Bioenergy Agreement Progress and Achievement 1989-1991. Mitchell et al., eds. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom: p. 11-15.

SHORT-ROTATION WOODY CROPS

LITERATURE REVIEW - 1992 UPDATE

Ager, A.; Nordh, N.E.; Ledin, S.; Ostry, M.; Carlson, M.; Ronnberg-Wastljung, A. 1990. International transfer of *alnus, populus,* and *salix* germplasm: Early test results. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion:* 49-62.

Akinyemiju, O.A.; Isebrands, J.G.; Nelson, N.D.; Dickman, D.I. 1982. Use of glyphosate in the establishment of *Populus* in short rotation intensive culture. In: Zavitkovski, J.; Hansen, E.A.; eds. Proceedings of the North American Poplar Council meeting, July 20-22, 1982, Rhinelander, Wisconsin. Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas: p. 161-169.

Anon. 1986. SRIC harvesting. DOE Annual Report; 825B.

Badger, P.C.; Morkin, K. 1986. Southeastern Regional Biomass Energy Program. Muscle Shoals, Alabama; Tennessee Valley Authority: 5 p.

Barron, W.; Perlack, R.D.; Kroll, P.; Cushman, J.H.; Ranney, J.W. 1983. FIRSTCUT: a preliminary assessment model for short-rotation intensive silviculture, model description and user's guide. ORNL/TM-8566. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: 65 p.

Blake, T.J., ed. 1981. Growth - related problems of aging senescence in fast growing trees grown on short rotations. IEA. International Energy Agency No. NW 1981: 21.

BonneMann, A. 1978. Performance and properties of poplars as indicators of suitability for short rotations. *Holzzucht.* 32(1/2): 4-10.

Bowersox, T.W.; Stover, L.R.; Blakenhorn, P.R.; Strauss, C.H. 1983. Biomass yields from dense plantations. In: Proceedings of the 7th international FPRS industrial wood energy forum, 1983; September 19-21. Nashville, Tennessee. FPRS: 129-133.

Bowersox, T.W.; Ward, W.W. 1976. Short rotation fiber production system for hybrid poplar. Research Briefs, 10(1): 1-4. School of Forest Resources, Pennsylvania State University.

Brenoe, P.T.; Kofman, P.D. 1988. Harvesting early thinnings for energy. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986-1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 159-169.

Briscoe, C.B. 1969. Establishment and early care of sycamore plantations. USDA Forest Service Research Paper SO-50: 18 p.

Burk, T.E.; Nelson, N.D.; Isebrands, J.G. 1983. Crown architecture of short- rotation, intensively cultured *Populus,* III. A model of first-order branch architecture. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research.* 13(6): 1107-1116.

Chapman, G.W.; Allan, T.G. 1978. Establishment techniques for forest plantations. FAO, Rome.

Chappelle, D.E. 1987. Regional economic impacts using input-output analysis. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August. 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 177-189.

Cheliak, W.M.; Klimaszewska, K. 1990. Cell, protoplast and tissue culture of a short-rotation species. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 63-73.

Christopherson, N.S. 1989. Mechanization of fast-growing forests: Worldwide progress. Presentation at ASAE International Winter Meeting; December 12-15, New Orleans, Louisiana. ASAE Paper No. 89-7604.

Christopherson, N.S.; Mattson, J.A. 1987. Engineering issues--The hidden challenge in SRIC forestry. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 190-196.

Crist, J.B. 1987. Potential SRIC products and markets. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 253-257.

Cullen, D.E.; Barr, W.J. 1980. Harvesting of close-spaced short-rotation woody biomass. DOE/ET/23133-T1, DE81025861. National Technology Information Service, Springfield, Virginia: 99 p.

Curtin, D.T.; Barnett, P.E. 1985. Development of forest harvesting technology: application in short rotation intensive culture woody biomass(SRICWB). Tennessee Valley Authority Technical Report. Muscle Shoals, Alabama: 89 p.

Cushman, J.H.; Ranney, J.W. 1982. Short rotation growth of hardwoods for energy applications across the United States--field results and economics. In: Proceedings of the 6th institute of gas technology symposium on energy from biomass and wastes, Lake Buena Vista, Florida.

Cushman, J.H.; Wright, L.L.; Trimble, J.L. 1983. Short rotation woody crops program: annual progress report for 1982. ORNL-5973. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: 128 p.

Dawson, D.H.; Zavitkowski, J. 1978. Intensively cultured plantations. *TAPPI Journal.* 61(6).

Debell, D.S. 1975. Short rotation culture of hardwoods in the Pacific Northwest. *Iowa State Journal of Research.* 49(3, Pt.2): 345-352.

Debell, D.S. 1976. Identification of cost factors associated with intensive culture of short rotation forest crops. *Iowa State Journal of Research.* 50: 295-300.

Drennen, T.E.; Ostlie, L.D. 1987. The whole tree burner: A new technology in power generation. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 74-81.

Dutrow, G.F. 1971. Economic implications of silage sycamore. USDA Forest Service Research Paper SO-66: 9 p.

Dutrow, G.F. 1976. Cottonwood plantations and the question of profit. In: Thiegles, B.A.; Land, S.B., Jr.; eds. Proceedings of the symposium on east cottonwood and related species. North American Poplar Council, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana: p. 432-438.

Dutrow, G.F.; Saucier, J.R. 1976. Economics of short-rotation sycamore. Research Paper SO-RP-114. New Orleans, Louisiana: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 16 p.

Earley, S.B.; Rose, D.; Blinn, C. 1987. Database management system for investment analysis of short rotation, intensive culture forestry. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 151-159.

Ehrenshaft, A.R. 1989. Short rotation woody crops program publications and presentations. Oak Ridge National Laboratory; ORNL/TM-10848: 92 p.

Einspahr, D.W. 1972. Wood and fiber production from short rotation stands. In: Proceedings of the aspen symposium; USDA Forest Servive General Technical Report NC-1: p. 45-51.

Ek, A.R.; Brodie, J.D. 1975. A preliminary analysis of short rotation aspen management. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research.* 5: 245-258.

Evans, R.S. 1974. Energy plantations - should we grow trees for power plant fuel? Canadian Forestry Service, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Farnham, R.S.; Garton, S.; Reed, P.E.; Louis, K.A. 1982. Propagation and establishment of bioenergy plantations. In: Proceedings of the 2nd international seminar of energy conservation and use of renewable energies in the bio-industries. [Publisher unknown]

Fege, A.S. 1986. Research evaluation techniques applied to a case study of short-rotation forestry. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 9 p.

Francis, J.K. 1982. Fallowing for cottonwood plantations: benefit's carry to rotation's end. In: Proceedings of the 19th annual North American Poplar Council meeting, Rhinelander, Wisconsin, July 20-22, 1982: p. 1-7.

Geyer, W.A. 1978. Spacing and cutting cycle influence of short rotation silver maple yield. *Tree Planters' Notes.* 29(1): 5-7, 26.

Geyer, W.A.; Melichar, M.W. 1982. Energy cost budgets for short rotation forest systems. In: Baldwin, V.C., Jr.; Lohrey, R.E.; eds. Southern Forest Biomass Working Group Workshop, Alexandria, Louisiana, June 16-18, 1982: 4 p.

Geyer, W.A.; Naughton, G.G. 1981. Short rotation foretry biomass yields and and cost analysis in Eastern Kansas. In: Baldwin, V.C., Jr.; Lohrey, R.E.; eds. Proceedings of the 1981 southern forest biomass workshop: p. 7-19. [Publisher unknown]

Golob, T.B. 1986. Analysis of short rotation forest operations. Division of Energy, National Research Council of Canada. Ottawa, Canada: 127 p.

Hakkila, P.; Leikola, M.; Salakari, M. 1979. Production, harvesting, and utilization of small-sized trees: final report on the research project on the production and utilization of short rotation wood. Finnish National Fund for Research and Development, Helsinki: 162 p.

Hansen, E.A.; et al. 1979. Short rotation intensive culture practices for northern Wisconsin. In: Proceedings of the 16th annual meeting, North American Poplar Council, Thomasville, Michigan, August 14-17: p. 47-63.

Hansen, E.A. 1987. SRIC yields: a look to the future. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 197-207.

Hansen, E.A.; Morin, M.J. 1984. Short rotation plantation tending: biological needs and mechanization. In: Nillson, O.; Zsuffa, L.; eds. Short Rotation Forest Biomass-Production, Technology and Mechanization: Proceedings of a Workshop held by the IEA Forest Energy Programme, October 11, 1982, Vettre, Norway. International Report No. 229: p. 37-42.

Hartsough, B.R., and G. Makamura. 1990. Harvesting *Eucalyptus* for fuel chips. *California Agriculture*. 44(1):7-8.

Heilman, P.E.; Peabody, D.V.; DeBell, D.S.; Strand, R.F. 1972. A test of closed-spaced short-rotation cultre of black cottonwood. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research.* 2: 465-459.

Hoganson, H.M.; Lothner, D.C. 1987. Short-rotation intensive culture systems as part of a forest-wide production system. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 160-176.

Hubbes, M. 1990. Development of biotechnology programmes for energy forestry. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 75-89.

Insley, H. 1987. The economies of coppice as an alternative farm crop in Great Britain.In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 293-302.

Isebrands, J.G.; Nelson, N.D. 1980. Photosynthate distribution within short-rotation, intensively cultured *populous* clones during the establishment year. [Abstract]. In: Proceedings of the 6th North American Forest Biology Workshop, Aug. 11-13, 1980, Edmonton, Alberta. University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta: p. 150.

Isebrands, J.G.; Nelson, N.D.; Dickman, D.I.; Micheal, D.A. 1983. Yield physiology of SRIC poplars. In: Intensive Plantation Culture: 12 Years Research. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report NC-91: p. 77-93.

Isebrands, J.G.; Sturos, J.A.; Crist, J.B. 1979. Integrated utilization of biomass a case study of short-rotation intensively cultured *populus* raw material. Atlanta, Georgia: *TAPPI Journal.* 62(7): 67-70.

Isebrands, J.G.; Sturos, J.A.; Crist, J.B. 1979. Alternatives for the utilization of short rotation intensively cultured *populus* raw material. In: Proceedings of the TAPPI annual meeting, New York: p. 181-187.

Johnson, J.E.; Pope, P.E.; Mroz, G.D.; Payne, N.F. 1987. Environmental impacts of harvesting wood for energy. Great Lakes Regional Biomass Program: 169 p.

Jones, P.C.; Shen, S-Y. 1982. A framework for evaluating the economics of short -rotation forestry research and development. Argonne National Laboratory. ANL/CNSV-35. U.S. Department of Energy: 56 p.

Kennedy, H.E., Jr.; Henderson, W.H. 1976. Cultivation in cottonwood plantations - practices and equipment. In: Thiegles, B.A.; Land, S.B., Jr.; eds. Proceedings of the symposium on East Cottonwood and Related Species. North American Poplar Council, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana: p. 379-384.

Keville, B.J.; Devenish, E.J. 1983. Harvesting developments for short rotation forest crops. In: Proceedings the 7th international FPRS industrial wood energy forum, 1983; September 19-21. Nashville, Tennessee. FPRS: 51-58.

Kolster, H.W. 1982. The production of poplar wood with short rotation. Netherlands, *Busbouwtijdschrift.* 54(7/8): 214-220.

Kormanik, P.P.; Tyre, G.L.; Belanger, R.P. 1973. A case history of two short-rotation coppice plantations of sycamore on southern Piedmont bottomlands. In: International Union of Forest Research Organization biomass studies, Collge of Life Science and Agriculture, University of Maine, Orono, Maine: p. 351-260 [sic].

Land, S.B., Jr. 1982. Genetic selection of American sycamore for biomass production in the mid-south. Final report for the period March 9, 1979 - May 31, 1982. ORNL/Sub/81-9051/1. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge Tennessee: 118 p.

Lichty, R.W.; Bradley, D.P. 1987. Measuring regional economic impact of SRIC programs using input-output analysis. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic

Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 208-218.

Long, C.; Geyer, W. 1977. Weed control and growth response of selected species in short rotation tree crops for rapid fiber production. In: Proceedings of the North Central Weed Control conference. 32: 122.

Lothner, D.C. 1987. A framework for evaluating short-rotation biomass projects from the perspective of society and the individual firm. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 2-10.

Lothner, D.C. 1988. Economic evaluations for short-rotation biomass production systems. The IEA Bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 135-144.

Lothner, D.C. 1991. Short-rotation energy plantations in North Central United States: An economic analysis. *Energy Sources*. 13: 111-117.

Lothner, D.C.; Hoganson, H.M.; Rubin, P.A. 1986. Examining short - rotation hybrid poplar investments by using stochastic simulation. *Journal of Forest Research*. 16(6): 1202-1207.

Louden, L. 1976. Short rotation trees. Bibliographic Series of Paper chemistry, No. 273: 174 p.

Magnusson, L. 1980. Harvesting of biomass from short-rotation forestry -requirements and proposed machinery systems. SIKOBAB. Scollentuna, Sweden.

Marley, D.S. 1982. An evaluation of existing and conceptual short rotation energy plantation harvesting machinery and systems. School of Forestry and Wildlife Resources, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.

Matthews, J.D. An experimental study of short rotation forestry for energy. In: Energy in the Rural Communities of Third World Countries. CEGET-Bordeaux, May 5-10, 1980: p. 30-34.

Mattson, J.A. [N.D.] Harvesting forest residues for energy - potentials and problems. In: Proceedings of the joint IEA/IUFRO forestry energy workshop and study tour; October 2, 1980, Garpenberg, Sweden. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Operational Efficiency: 74-81.

Mattson, J.A.; Christopherson, N.S. 1986. *Harvesting system developments for biomass plantations*. Elsvier Applied Science Publishers, London: 187 p.

Mattson, J.A.; Miyata, E.S. 1982. A time study of planting a short-rotation intensively cultured plantations. USDA Forest Service Research Note NC-278: 4 p.

Mattson, J.A.; Wehr, M.A. [n.d.]. A prototype harvester for short - rotation plantations. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; North Central Forest Experiment Station: 6 p.

McAlpine, R.G.; Brown, C.L.; Herrick, W.M.; Ruark, H.E. 1966. 'Silage' sycamore. *Forest Farmer*. 26(1): 6-7, 16.

Miller, R.O.; Hanover, J.W.; Howe, G.T. 1983. Species and genotype screening for biomass production in Michigan: A survey of field performance for 28 species. In: Proceedings of the 7th international FPRS industrial wood energy forum, 1983; September 19-21. Nashville, Tennessee. FPRS: 116-121.

Mittlehauser Corporation 1982. Standard economic analysis methodology for short rotation woody crops. Reported under ORNL/SUB-81//69788/1 MC 410-W, Downers Grove, Illinois.

Moseley, F.C. 1989. Solving the fiber flow equation. In: A speech before The American Paper Institute. Simpson Paper Company.

Parker, J.K. 1987. Woody biomass in the global economy. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 11-22.

Parkham, R.A.; Robinson,K.W.; Isebands, J.G. 1977. Effects of tension wood on kraft paper from a short rotation hardwood *(Populus 'Tristis #1')*. *Wood Science and Technology*. 11: 291-303.

Perala, D.A. 1976. Regeneration and productivity of aspen grown on repeated short rotations. USDA Forest Service Research Paper No. NC 176: 7 p.

Perlack, R.D.; Ranney, J.W. 1987. Cost objective overview for short rotation woody crops. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 224-229.

Person, R.A.; Hallgren, A.R.; Hubbard, J.W. 1971. Yields from short rotation aspen suckers. Minnesota Forest Research Note No. 224.: 4 p.

Ranney, J.W.; Barkley, B.A.; Turhollow, A.F.; Granger, C. 1987. The economics of short-rotation intensive culture for producing energy feedstocks in North America. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 23-39.

Ranney, J.W.; Trimble, J.L.; Wright, L.L.; Layton, P.A.; Perlack, R.D.; Wenzel, C.R.; Curtin, D.T. 1986. Short rotation woody crops program: annual progress report for 1985. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division Publication Number 2647. ORNL. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: 79 p.

Ranney, J.W.; Wright, L.L.; Layton, P.A. 1987. Hardwood energy crops: the technology of intensive culture. *Jounal of Forestry*. 85(9): 17-28.

Ribe, J.H. 1974. A review of short rotation forestry with comments on the prospect of meeting future demands for forest products. Miscellaneous Report #160. Life Sciences and Agriculture Experiment Station; University of Maine at Orono: 52 p.

Rockwood, D.L.; Dippon, D.R.; Lesney, M.S. 1988. Woody species for biomass production in Florida. Final Report. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Gainesville, Florida: 153 p.

Rose, D.W. 1987. Economic evaluations of short rotation biomass energy systems discounted cash flow models including risk assessment. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 82-91.

Rose, D.W.; Debell, D.S. 1978. Economic assessment of intensive culture of short - rotation hardwood crops. *Journal of Forestry.* 76: 706-711.

Sall, H. 1980. Optimizing establishment, management and harvesting energy crops from a technical standpoint. In: Proceedings of the joint IEA/IUFRO forestry energy workshop and study tour; October 2, 1980, Garpenberg, Sweden. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Operational Efficiency: 64-73.

Saucier, J.R.; Clark, A., III; McAlpine, R.G. 1972. Above ground biomass yields of short-rotation sycamore. *Wood Sciences.* 5(1): 1-6.

Schonoau, A.P.G. 1982. The planned production period for short rotation *Eucalyptis Grandis. South African Forestry Journal.* 122:10-13.

Schreiner, E.J. 1970. Minirotation forestry. USDA Forest Service Resource Paper NW-174: 31 p.

Settler, R.F.; Heilman, P.E. 1984. Genetic Improvement of *Populous Trichocarpa* for short rotation coppice culture. Abstract submitted to Biomass-84 Energy Conference. Gotenburg, Sweden, June, 1984.

Shen, S-Y.; Jones, P.C.; Vyas, A.D. 1982. Economic analysis of short rotation forestry. Presented at ORSA/TIMS meeting, San Diego, California (October 1982).

Siegel, W.C. 1987. The financial implications of the 1986 tax reform act for short-rotation forest plantations. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 268-279.

Siren, G. 1974. 'Mini-rotation' forestry. *Skogen.* 61(5): 182-183.

Solar Energy Research Institute. 1982. FY 1982 Biomass Energy Technology Annual Technical Progress Report; Volume II, Technical Summary; U.S. Department of Energy: 6 p.

Staaf, H.; Bjorkroth, G. [N.D.] Complete tree utilization and soil fertility in Swedish Forests. In: Proceedings of the joint IEA/IUFRO forestry energy workshop and study tour; October 2, 1980, Garpenberg, Sweden. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Operational Efficiency: 82-101.

Stahl, H.; Axberg, G.N. [n.d.]. Determining optimum yield from forest plantations. World Wood/Forest Industries: S15-S16.

Steinbeck, K. 1979. Increasing the biomass production of short rotation coppice forests. In: Proceedings of the 3rd annual biomass energy systems conference. The National Biomass Program. Department of Energy, Solar Energy Research Institute, No. SERI/TP-33-285: p. 47-51.

Steenackers, V.; Strobl, S.; Steenackers, M. 1988. Collection and distribution of poplar species, hybrids, and clones. The IEA bioenergy Agreement (1986 -1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 1-20.

Stone, R.N.; Bradley, D.P. 1987. Externalties from fuelwood production by short rotation intensive culture forestry. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 219-223.

Strauss, C.H.; Blankenhorn, P.R.; Bowersox, T.W.; Grado, S.C. 1987. Setting standards for the economic analysis of woody biomass: Total costs systems. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short - rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 238-252.

Strauss, C.H.; Grado, S.C. 1991. Financial and energy costs for SRIC woody biomass. Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry, Southern Biomass Conference; January 7-10. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Strauss, C.H.; Grado, S.C.; Blakenhorn, P.R.; Bowersox, T.W. 1983. Costs of establishing dense plantations for short rotation management systems. In: Proceedings of the 7th international FPRS industrial wood energy forum, 1983; September 19-21. Nashville, Tennessee. FPRS: 122-128.

Strauss, C.H.; Grado, S.C.; Blankenhorn, P.R.; Bowersox, T.W. 1987. Microeconomic accounting model for woody biomass systems. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 92-107.

Stuart, B. 1984. Design and development of the short-rotation harvesting system. Virginia Polytechnic Institute: 101 p.

Teel, J.B. 1983. The design and development of a prototype short - rotation harvesting system. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University: 168 p.

Tillman, D.A. 1978. The resource base for wood fuel. In: *Wood as an*

energy resource. Academic Press; New York. Chapter 6: 159-185 p.

Timmons, J.F. 1987. Complementary opportunities for forestry in cropland management, energy production and environmental quality. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 258-267.

Tolay, U.; Ayberk, S.; Zoralio_lu, T.; Bul, M. 1988. Effects of land preparation methods on the Growth of *P. radiata, D. Don, and P. pinaster Ait.* plantations on degraded hardwood sites. In: Güler, N.; ed., 1988. *Poplar and Fast Growing Exotic Forest Trees Research Institute Annual Bulletin* No. 24: 16 p.

Trimble, J.L. 1983. Short rotation woody crops program. In: Daniels, R.F.; Dunham, P.H.; eds., 1984. Proceedings of the 1983 southern forest biomass workshop; 1983 June 15-17; Charleston, South Carolinia. Asheville, North Carolina: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 2 p.

Van Veen, J.A., et al. 1981. Feasibility study of short rotation forestry for combined pulp and energy purposes and sparsely populated areas. Report, Commission of the European Communities No. EUR 7561 EN.: 19 p.

Vyas, A.D.; Shen, S-Y. 1982. An economic analysis method for short - rotation forestry. Prepared for presentation at 2nd National Conference on Renewable Energy Technologies, San Juan, Puerto Rico: 16 p.

Watson, W.F.; Miller, D.E.; Stokes, B.J.; Broussard, M.L. 1986. Energy budget for an energy wood harvesting system. In: Proceedings of the 8th annual southern forest biomass workshop, 1986 June 16-19; Knoxville, Tennessee: 103-107.

White, E.H.; Hook, D.D. 1975. Establishment and regeneration of silage plantings. *Iowa State Journal of Research.* 49: 287-296.

Woodfin, S, D. Frederick, and B. Stokes. 1987. Selected harvesting machine for short rotation intensive culture biomass plantations. ASAE Paper no. 87-1567. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI 49085-9659.

Wright, L.L.; Cushman, J.H.; Layton, P.A. 1989. Expanding the market by improving the resource. *Biologue:* 12-19.

Wright, L.L.; Ranney, J.W. 1987. Validation and standardization if SRIC production costs. In: Lothner, D.C.; Bradley, D.P.; Gambles, R.L.; eds. Economic Evaluations of Short-rotation Biomass Energy Systems; 1987 August 11-13; Duluth, Minnesota. IEA/BA: 230-237.

Young, H.E. 1978. Forest biomass inventory. In: A symposium: Complete Tree Utilization of Southern Pine; 1978 April 17-19; New Orleans, Louisiana. Orono, Maine: University of Maine: 10 p.

Young, H.E. 1980. A balanced view of the forest as a source of energy material. In: Proceedings of the joint IEA/IUFRO forestry energy workshop and study tour; October 2, 1980, Garpenberg, Sweden. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Operational Efficiency: 59-63.

Zavitkovski, J. 1980. SRIC plantations for biomass and energy production. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Energy Agency, Rhinelander, Wisconsin, March 11-13, 1980.

Zobel, B.; Jett, J.B.; Hutto, R. 1978. Improving wood density of short -rotation southern pine. *TAPPI Journal.* 61(3): 41-44: 1978 March.

Zobel, B.; Kellison, R.C. 1972. Short-rotation forestry in the southeast. TAPPI Journal. 55(8): 1205-1208: 1972, August.

Zsuffa, L. 1988. Genetic improvement of willows for energy plantations. The IEA bioenergy Agreement (1986 - 1989) Summary Reports. In: Mitchell, C.P.; et al. *Forestry, forest biomass and biomass conversion*: 35-47.

Zsuffa, L.; Balatinecz, J.J. 1975. Poplar pulpwood production with a one - year rotation. *Populier.* 12(1): 6-8.