United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

**Southern
Research Station**

Resource Bulletin
SRS-9

The Longleaf Pine Forest: Trends and Current Conditions

Kenneth W. Outcalt and Raymond M. Sheffield

The Authors:

Kenneth W. Outcalt, Research Plant Ecologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Athens, GA; and Raymond M. Sheffield, Resource Analyst, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Asheville, NC.

September 1996

Southern Research Station
P.O. Box 2680
Asheville, NC 28802

Reprinted April 1998
with errata on page 2

The Longleaf Pine Forest: Trends and Current Conditions

Kenneth W. Outcalt and Raymond M. Sheffield

Introduction

Longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) ecosystems once occupied perhaps as much as 60 million acres in the Southeastern United States (fig. 1), stretching from southeastern Virginia south to central Florida and west into eastern Texas (Stout and Marion 1993). These fire-dependent ecosystems covered a wide range of site conditions, from low, wet flatwoods along the coast to dry mountain slopes and ridges in Alabama and northwest Georgia. Longleaf forests have been intensively exploited since colonial times, with little regard for regeneration. Intensive logging of the old-growth forest reached a peak shortly after the turn of the century (Ware and others 1993) and by 1935, only about 20 million acres of longleaf pine forest remained. The amount declined to 12 million acres by 1955 and to 3.8 million acres in 1985 (Kelly and Bechtold 1990).

Longleaf pine is the key tree species in a complex of fire-dependent ecosystems long native to the Southeastern United States. The continuing reduction of this important forest type threatens the myriad of life forms characteristic of, and largely dependent on, longleaf pine ecosystems. The diversity of ground cover plants per unit area places longleaf pine ecosystems among the most species-rich plant communities outside the Tropics. Extreme habitat reduction is the primary cause for the precarious state of at least 19 1 taxa of vascular plants (Hardin and White 1989). This situation concerns conservation and natural resource organizations throughout the South. A committed effort to restore and manage longleaf pine ecosystems will help ensure its future in this Nation's natural heritage. This report is an assessment of the amount, location, ownership, and condition of the remaining longleaf ecosystem.

Methods

This report is based on information gathered by the Forest Inventory and Analysis units of the Southern Research Station, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. The first broad-scale, objective inventories were conducted in the 1930's. The survey cycle, or time between repeat plot measurements, has averaged 6 to 8 years for the States with longleaf pine. Data for 1985 are adapted from Kelly and Bechtold (1990). Data for 1995 are from surveys completed as follows: Georgia, 1989 (Sheffield and Johnson 1993); Alabama, 1990 (McWilliams 1992); North Carolina, 1990 (Brown 1993); Louisiana, 1991 (Rosson 1995); Texas, 1992 (Miller and Hartsell 1992); South Carolina, 1993 (Conner 1993); Mississippi, 1994 (Hartsell and London 1995); and Florida, 1995 (Brown 1996).

These inventories were conducted on permanent sample plots systematically distributed across timberland to obtain a proportionate sample of all major forest types, sites, and ownership classes in the region. Each sample plot represented a specific number of equivalent acres of timberland from the entire population. This number, termed the expansion factor, had an average value of 3,500 acres for sample plots located in the longleaf pine forest type. Acreage totals in this report were obtained by summarizing the expansion factors for all plots where longleaf pine comprised more than 50 percent of the tree cover.

At each sample location, a multipoint cluster plot was used to collect data on a representative sample of trees. Trees ≥ 5.0 inches in diameter were selected using a basal-area factor of 37.5 square feet per acre. Trees smaller than 5.0 inches were tallied on small, fixed plots that shared common point centers with each variable radius point center. Plot-level classifications used in the study were either computed or assigned in the field. Stocking-related items, such as

forest type and stand size, were assigned in the field and verified during data editing and compiling for consistency with actual tree data collected. Variables such as site type and ownership were assigned during the data collection phase.

Results

Trends

The amount of longleaf pine has declined, from 3.77 million acres in 1985 (Kelly and Bechtold 1990) to 2.95 million acres in 1995. This is likely an over estimate because losses have occurred in some States like Georgia since the last survey in 1989. The distribution of the remaining longleaf stands across the South was similar to the original longleaf range except for its elimination from northeastern North Carolina and Southeastern Virginia (fig. 2). The largest concentration of longleaf is in Okaloosa and Santa Rosa counties in the Florida panhandle and the adjacent Escambia County, Alabama (table 1). All of these counties had over 100,000 acres of longleaf pine in 1985, but Santa Rosa had a 36 percent decline to 7 1,600 acres in 1995.

The amount of longleaf pine on public lands has remained relatively stable from 1985 to 1995, with only North Carolina and Florida showing a small decline (fig. 3). Trends in longleaf area on forest industry lands were generally downward (fig. 4). Only Texas showed a small increase in longleaf pine acreage, while all the other States lost longleaf forest from industry lands. The area of longleaf on forest industry lands in North Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Mississippi declined by about 50 percent over the last decade. Overall, forest industry has lost 225,000 acres, which is 27 percent of the total decline in longleaf pine since 1985. The greatest losses in longleaf, however, occurred on private nonindustrial lands (fig. 5). All States except Mississippi show a decline in the amount of longleaf pine on private lands. Georgia, Florida, and Alabama lost over 100,000 acres of longleaf pine from private lands since 1985. The total acreage on private lands declined by 591,200 acres, which is 72 percent of the total decrease in area occupied by longleaf pine.

Current Conditions (1995)

Florida has the largest amount of longleaf pine remaining, with nearly three quarters of a million acres or 25 percent of the total (fig. 6). Georgia and Alabama both contain 18 percent of the remaining longleaf acreage. Eighty-five percent of the remaining longleaf was established by natural regeneration; 15 percent by planting. Nearly all planted stands are less than 40 years of age, while natural longleaf stands are predominantly 4 1 years of age and older (fig. 7). Forest industry owns 16 percent of the longleaf acreage (fig. 8). Public agencies control 33 percent of the longleaf acreage, while other private landowners consisting of individuals, farmers, and other corporations own 5 1 percent. Florida is unique because it is the only State where the public sector owns the largest amount of longleaf. The situation is reversed in Georgia, with very little longleaf on public lands.

From 25 to 35 percent of the longleaf remaining in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina occurs in stands of 20 acres or less (figs. 9-12). From 45 to 60 percent of all natural longleaf in these States is in stands of less than 50 acres. In Florida, most small stands of longleaf are in private ownership, while most stands over 100 acres are on public lands. Public ownership is also skewed toward the larger stand sizes in North Carolina.

About 60 percent of all longleaf stands are dominated by trees in the sawtimber size class (table 2). Florida and Georgia have considerable acreage in nonstocked status, which are cutover lands that have regenerated poorly.

Recent surveys have classed sample plots by site type. In North Carolina and South Carolina, distribution of longleaf is relatively equal between xeric and mesic sites (fig. 13). Longleaf in Georgia is primarily on mesic sites, with few longleaf acres on xeric sandhills. In Florida, most longleaf is growing on flatwoods sites, but a fairly large amount occurs on xeric and mesic sites as well (fig. 13). Most natural stands of longleaf in Mississippi are on mesic sites. Longleaf pine acreage on mesic sites in Louisiana is about twice that on xeric sites. Few longleaf occur on hydric savanna sites in any State. Longleaf sites in Alabama and Texas were not classed by site type.

Discussion

The decline of the longleaf ecosystem will continue as more area is converted to other uses. Georgia seems particularly vulnerable because only a very small percentage of the longleaf ecosystem is on public lands. If we wish to maintain and, or restore critical portions of this habitat, we must first prioritize areas so efforts are expended on the best or most vital sites first.

Because the longleaf ecosystem is made up of a number of different community types, a classification scheme will help organize these efforts. Craul and others' proposed a system based on climatic zones, which we have modified by splitting the Carolina zone into two parts (fig. 14). North Carolina has no inventory stands in the Sandhills or the Coastal Plain zones in the northern part of the State. In South Carolina, longleaf is well distributed in both zones. Longleaf distribution is good in the other zones with a number of sites in the Georgia Uplands, the Florida and Georgia Lowlands, the Alabama and Mississippi Lowlands, the Alabama Mountains, and the Texas and Louisiana Coastal Plain. Thus, except for Virginia and northeastern North Carolina, a number of longleaf sites still exist in each of the broad longleaf zones.

Within each zone, ownership, stand size, stand age, and site type could be used to further refine prioritization of sites. Data on stand size reveal that much of the remaining longleaf occurs in small stands, especially in privately owned areas. Because 75 percent of all longleaf grows in stands of less than 100 acres, the resource is becoming a very fragmented habitat. This fragmentation highlights the importance of maintaining the larger areas of longleaf concentration, such as exist in the panhandle area of Florida and adjoining Alabama.

The greatest needs and potential gains exist on private lands, which account for most of the remaining longleaf and most of the recent losses. The dominance of sawtimber-sized trees on these lands coupled with increasing sawtimber prices indicate a potential for significant harvest removals in the near future. If the proper information and incentives are unavailable when harvest occurs, losses of longleaf habitat on private lands could substantially accelerate. Efforts, such as those in North Carolina that increase seedling supply and disseminate information on the potential returns from activities such as pinestraw production, foster the reestablishment of longleaf following harvest.

Although old-growth stands do exist, none were sampled by the inventory plots. The data indicate that few of the remaining sites have trees over 80 years old. Thus, maintaining any existing old growth and fostering the development of old growth should be a priority.

Data on site type indicate that Florida and Louisiana may have little longleaf on very wet areas. This situation needs further investigation to ensure that we are not losing our wet savanna areas because this specialized habitat has a large number of unique species.

The understory communities are also vital components of the longleaf pine ecosystem. However, the condition of these communities could not be obtained from current inventory data. We assumed that most longleaf pine plantations were established on sites previously cultivated or mechanically prepared, which severely reduces the native ground cover (Outcalt 1993, Outcalt and Lewis 1990). Thus, the understory of plantations is probably in poor condition.

The sites that regenerated naturally (85 percent) probably received little significant mechanical disturbance because longleaf rarely invades old field sites, and site preparation was minimal when using natural regeneration. Most longleaf on these sites probably originated from the seedfall of trees left after timber harvest operations. Although harvest operations can cause some damage to the understory, no species are eliminated and it recovers quickly. Therefore, initially the areas regenerated naturally should have had a largely intact understory component. A small number of these sites, primarily on military areas where activities frequently caused growing-season fires and on some national forest lands with an aggressive prescribed burning program, are currently in good condition. Most other sites contain longleaf communities where disruption of the natural fire regime has resulted in an increase in the size and density of the woody understory and a concurrent decline in the herbaceous component.

The severity of the change in understory conditions depends on the site and fire history. Sandhills (xeric) sites are infertile and droughty. Thus, even in the absence of fire, the woody component increases relatively slowly. Many flatwoods sites have been periodically burned during the dormant season. Although this burning results in an increase in woody shrub density, it does prevent these shrubs from establishing a midstory layer. Periodic fires also maintain conditions open enough for the continued existence of most of the herbaceous component. On fertile upland (mesic) areas, the understory is probably much more degraded. Without fire, increased fertility leads to a rapid increase in woody growth. This results in a large increase in woody species and a subsequent loss or severe reduction of herbaceous species. Very wet sites also are in poor condition because they are too wet to burn most years. This results in the accumulation of large amounts of fuel, making the sites very difficult to prescribe burn. Therefore, most have not

[1] Craul, P.J.; Croker, T.C.; Brendemuehl, R.H. 1965. Longleaf pine site zones. 58 p. Unpublished final report. On file with: Southern Research Station, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 320 Green Street, Athens, GA 30602-2044.

been burned; the woody component of the understory has become dominant; and very little of the herbaceous component remains.

Summary

The area occupied by longleaf pine, once the dominant tree species of the Southern Coastal Plains, has been drastically reduced over the last 200 years. In all States except Florida, the private sector owns the majority of the remaining longleaf pine. The private sector is also where most of the losses in longleaf acreage occurred from 1985 to 1995. The potential for future losses is high because much of the longleaf controlled by the private nonindustrial owner is, or will soon reach, sawtimber size. Harvest levels will probably increase due to rising prices for this product. If we wish to reverse the loss of longleaf, we must provide information and incentives to the private sector to encourage growing longleaf pine.

Although acreage in public ownership is relatively stable, other conditions need attention. Fortunately, most of the remaining longleaf pine originated from natural regeneration, and much of the understory remains on these sites. More normal fire regimes are needed, however, to improve the condition of the understory. Public lands will also have to provide most of the old-growth longleaf areas and the large contiguous blocks of longleaf type necessary for some species and landscape scale process.

Literature Cited

Brown, Mark J. 1993. North Carolina's forests, 1990. Resour. Bull. SE 142. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. 101 p.

Brown, Mark J. 1996. Forest statistics for Florida, 1995. Resour. Bull. SRS-6. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 48 p.

Conner, Roger C. 1993. Forest statistics for South Carolina, 1993. Resour. Bull. SE-141. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture+ Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. 52 p.

Hardin, E.D.; White, D.L. 1989. Rare vascular plant taxa associated with wiregrass (*Aristida stricta*) in the Southeastern United States. Natural *Areas Journal.* 9(4): *234-245.*

Hartsell, Andrew J.; London, Jack D. 1995. Forest statistics for Mississippi counties-1994. Resour. Bull. SO-190. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 89 p.

Kelly, J.F.; Bechtold, W.A. 1990. The longleafpine resource. In: Proceedings of symposium on the management of longleaf pine, 1989 April 4-6; Long Beach, MS. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-75. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 1 l-22.

McWilliams, William H. 1992. Forest resources of Alabama. Resour. Bull. SO-170. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 71 p.

Miller, Patrick E.; Hartsell, Andrew J. 1992. Forest statistics for east Texas counties-1992. Resour. Bull. SO-173. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 55 p.

Outcalt, K.W. 1993. Wiigrass cover following site preparation of sandhills. In: Gjerstad, D.H., ed. Proceedings international conference on forest vegetation management; 1992 April 27-May 1; Auburn, AL. Auburn, AL: Auburn University School of Forestry Report 1993: 1, 198-201.

Outcalt, K.W.; Lewis, C.E. 1990. Response of wiregrass (*Aristida stricta*) to mechanical site preparation. In: Duever, L.C.; Noss, R.F., eds. Wiregrass biology and management., symposium proceedings; 1988 October 13; Valdosta, GA. Gainesville, FL: KBN Engineering & Applied Sciences. 12 p.

Rosson, James F., Jr. 1995. Forest resources of Louisiana, 1991. Resour. Bull. SO-192. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture., Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 71 p.

Sheffield, Raymond M.; Johnson, Tony G. 1993. Georgia's forests, 1989. Resour. Bull. SE-133. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. 97 p.

Stout, I.J.; Marion, W.R. 1993. Pine flatwoods and xeric pine forests of the southern (lower) coastal plain. In: Biodiversity of the Southeastern United States: lowland terrestrial communities. Martin, W.H.; Boyce, S.G.; Echternacht, A.C., eds. New York: John Wiley. 373-446.

Ware, S.; Frost, C.; Doerr, PD. 1993. Southern mixed hardwood forest: The former longleaf pine forest. In: Biodiversity of the Southeastern United States: lowland terrestrial communities. Martin, W.H.; Boyce, S.G.; Echternacht, A.C., eds. New York: John Wiley. 447493.

List of Tables and Figures

Page

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership 6
Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class 12

Figure l-Natural range of longleaf pine 18
Figure 2—Current distribution of longleaf pine 19
Figure 3-Area of longleaf pine on public lands 20
Figure 4—Area of longleaf pine on forest industry lands 20
Figure 5—Area of longleaf pine on private lands 20
Figure 6-Total longleaf acreage by State and origin 20
Figure 7-Distribution of longleaf stands by origin and age class 21
Figure &Distribution of longleaf stands by State and ownership 21
Figure 9—Distribution of natural longleaf stands in Florida by size class and ownership 21
Figure 10—Distribution of natural longleaf stands in Georgia by size class and ownership 21
Figure 11—Distribution of natural longleaf stands in South Carolina by size class and ownership 22
Figure 12-Distribution of natural longleaf stands in North Carolina by size class and ownership 22
Figure 13—Distribution of natural longleaf stands by site type 22
Figure 14—Site zones for longleaf communities (adapted from Craul and others 1965) 23

Table I-Area of **longleaf pine stands by State, county,** and ownership

County	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			North Carolina			
Moore	30.2	—	—	—	—	30.2
Hoke	28.3	—	24.3	—	—	4.0
Richmond	25.8	—	2.5	10.6	—	12.7
Bladen	25.4	—	—	10.9	2.9	11.6
Brunswick	25.2	—	5.1	—	3.0	17.1
Cumberland	22.6	—	10.6	—	—	12.0
Pender	18.6	—	—	5.0	6.1	7.5
Scotland	17.9	—	3.2	7.4	—	7.3
Onslow	17.8	—	10.4	2.3	—	5.1
Carteret	10.8	8.8	—	—	2.0	—
New Hanover	8.1			1.4	3.5	3.2
Sampson	5.8	—	—	—	—	5.8
Craven	5.2	3.5	1.7	—	—	
Pitt	3.1				—	3.1
Columbus	2.8	—	—	—	2.8	
Harnett	2.8				—	2.8
Robeson	2.5	—	—	—	—	2.5
Jones	2.2	—	—	—	—	2.2
Lenoir	.4	—	—	.4	—	—
Total	255.5	12.3	57.8	38.0	20.3	127.1
			South Carolina			
Aiken	78.6	—	11.5	—	5.7	61.4
Chesterfield	56.2	—	33.2	16.0	—	7.0
Lexington	30.5	—	—	—	—	30.5
Barnwell	29.8	—	18.6	—	2.9	8.3
Kershaw	22.6	—	—	—	—	22.6
Berkeley	19.9	12.4	—	2.6	—	4.9
Richland	17.6	—	8.4	—	—	9.2
Jasper	17.2	—	—	—	—	17.2
Charleston	15.1	6.3	—	4.6	—	4.2
Williamsburg	13.2	—	—	—	—	13.2
Georgetown	12.0	—	—	—	—	12.0
Dorchester	10.1	—	—	—	—	10.1
Horry	8.6	—	1.7	—	2.0	4.9
Hampton	8.4	—	—	—		8.4
Colleton	7.9	—	—	—	—	7.9
Calhoun	5.7	—	—	—	—	5.7
Orangeburg	5.4	—	—	—	—	5.4
Lancaster	3.5	—	—	—	—	3.5
Florence	3.2	—	—	—	3.2	—
Beaufort	1.9	—	—	—	—	1.9
Marlboro	1.6	—	—	—	1.6	—
Total	369.0	18.7	73.4	23.2	15.4	238.3

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership (continued)

County	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			Georgia			
Decatur	42.5	—	3.4	—	8.8	30.3
Thomas	29.4	—	—	—	3.3	26.1
Charlton	26.9	—	5.5	—	—	21.4
Worth	20.9	—	—	—	—	20.9
Liberty	18.8	—	15.4	—	—	3.4
Emanuel	17.0		—	—	2.4	14.6
Baker	14.3	—	—	—	—	14.3
Grady	14.2	—	—	—	—	14.2
Bryan	14.1	—	5.6	—	—	8.5
Wayne	13.8	—	—	—	—	13.8
Dougherty	13.7	—	.8	—	—	12.9
Bulloch	12.4	—	—	—	—	12.4
Ben Hill	11.7	—	—	—	—	11.7
Taylor	9.8	—	—	—	—	9.8
Richmond	9.7	—	6.6	—	—	3.1
Effingham	9.4	—		—		9.4
Chattahoochee	9.4	—	9.4	—	—	—
Tattnall	9.2	—	—	—	—	9.2
Brooks	9.0	—	—	—	3.6	5.4
Mitchell	9.0	—	—	—	—	9.0
Jenkins	8.7	—	—	—	—	8.7
Appling	8.2	—	—	—	—	8.2
Laurens	8.2	—	—	—	—	8.2
Long	8.1	—	4.9	—	—	3.2
Coffee	8.0	—	—	—	—	8.0
Ware	7.5	—	—	—	—	7.5
Turner	7.0	—	—	—	—	7.0
Calhoun	7.0	—	—	—		7.0
Burke	6.4	—	—	—	—	6.4
Echols	6.1	—	—	—	3.3	2.8
Seminole	5.9	—	—	—	—	5.9
Colquitt	5.6	—	—	—	—	5.6
Lowndes	5.5	—	—	—	2.8	2.7
Brantley	5.4	—	—	3.0	—	2.4
Treutlen	5.4	—	—	—	—	5.4
Irwin	5.4	—	—	—	—	5.4
Pierce	5.1	—	—	—	—	5.1
Lee	5.1	—	—	—	1.6	3.5
Dodge	5.0	—	—	—	—	5.0
Atkinson	4.9	—	—	—	4.9	—
Jeff Davis	4.8	—	—	—	—	4.8
Cook	4.6	—	—	—	—	4.6
Webster	4.4	—	—	—	—	4.4
Telfair	4.3	—	—	—	—	4.3
Jefferson	4.0	—	—	—	—	4.0

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership (continued)

County	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			Georgia			
Crawford	3.9				—	3.9
Dooly	3.8	—	—	—	—	3.8
Glynn	3.6	—	.9	—	—	2.7
Crisp	3.5	—	—	—	3.5	—
Camden	3.2	—	—	.5	—	2.7
Screven	3.1	—	—	—	—	3.1
Bacon	3.0	—	—	—	—	3.0
Wilcox	3.0				—	3.0
Early	2.9	—	—	—	—	2.9
Marion	2.9				2.9	—
Upson	2.9	—	—	—	2.9	—
Muscogee	2.8	—	2.8			—
Berrien	2.5	—	—	—	—	2.5
Bibb	2.5				2.5	—
Toombs	2.4	—	—	—	—	2.4
Miller	2.3				—	2.3
Clinch	2.2	—	—	—	2.2	—
Lanier	2.2	—	—	—	—	2.2
McIntosh	1.7	—	—	—	—	1.7
Total	520.2	—	55.3	3.5	44.7	416.7
			Florida			
Okaloosa	100.5	—	54.9	25.7	4.7	15.2
Santa Rosa	71.6	—	16.1	35.6	12.9	7.0
Wakulla	50.8	41.3	9.5	—	—	—
Liberty	43.9	38.5	—	—	2.5	2.9
Walton	39.9	—	22.5	3.0	—	14.4
Leon	39.4	33.7	—	—	—	5.7
Escambia	39.1	—	—	.1	5.3	33.7
Marion	35.6	18.6	—	3.2	2.4	11.4
Levy	26.3	—	—	15.6	—	10.7
Citrus	24.1	—	—	10.4	—	13.7
Putnam	23.6	5.0	—	—	3.2	15.4
Columbia	18.5	16.0	—	—	2.5	—
Lake	15.5	10.7	—	—	—	4.8
Bay	13.3	—	—	3.0	—	10.3
Suwannee	13.2	—	—	—	—	13.2
Madison	13.2	—	—	—	8.1	5.1
Sumter	10.4	—	—	2.0	—	8.4
De Soto	9.9	—	—	—	—	9.9
Gulf	9.5	—	—	—	9.5	—
Volusia	8.7	—	—	—	—	8.7
Baker	8.5	8.5	—	—	—	—

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership (continued)

county	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			Thousand acres			
			Florida			
Duval	7.5	—	—	—	2.1	5.4
Washington	7.4	—	—	—	—	7.4
Jackson	7.4	—	5.4	—	2.0	—
Osceola	7.2	—	—	4.5	—	2.7
St. Johns	6.8	—	—	—	—	6.8
Clay	6.5	—	—	—	4.0	2.5
Orange	5.9	—			—	5.9
Glades	5.7	—	—	—	—	5.7
Pasco	5.6		—	3.1	—	2.5
Flagler	5.5	—	—	—	3.0	2.5
Hernando	5.3	—			—	5.3
Nassau	5.1	—	—	—	—	5.1
Highlands	5.0	—	5.0	—	—	—
Calhoun	4.8	—	—	—	4.8	—
Brevard	4.8	—	—	—	—	4.8
Seminole	3.9	—	—	—	—	3.9
Taylor	3.8	—	—	2.7	—	1.1
Franklin	3.6	3.6	—	—	—	—
Hardee	3.6	—	—	—	—	3.6
Bradford	3.0	—	—	—	3.0	—
Manatee	2.9	—	—	2.9	—	—
Polk	2.8	—	—	—	—	2.8
Gadsden	2.8	—	—	—	—	2.8
Alachua	2.6	—			—	2.6
Hillsborough	2.6	—	—	—	—	2.6
Hamilton	2.5	—	—	—	2.5	—
Holmes	.4	—	—	.4	—	—
Total	740.5	175.9	113.4	112.2	72.5	266.5
			Alabama			
Escambia	110.7	8.1	—	—	68.4	34.2
Mobile	96.6	—	—	10.7	5.4	80.5
Covington	74.7	18.0	—	—	34.0	22.7
Baldwin	66.7	—			25.7	41.0
Washington	24.9	—			6.2	18.7
Shelby	24.2	—	—	—	12.1	12.1
Monroe	20.8	—			10.4	10.4
Talladega	20.5	*10.1*	—	—	—	10.4
Coosa	11.5	—			11.5	—
Autauga	11.4	—	—	5.7	5.7	—
Bibb	11.4	11.4	—	—	—	—
Clarke	10.9	—			10.9	
Clay	10.4	10.4	—	—	—	—
Cleburne	10.0	10.0				
Perry	6.9	6.9	—	—	—	
Dale	5.8	—	5.8	—		

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership (continued)

County	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			Alabama			
Crenshaw	5.6	—	—	—	—	5.6
Lee	5.2	—	—	—	—	5.2
Hale	2.6	2.6	—	—	—	—
Tuscaloosa	2.5	2.5	—	—	—	—
Macon	1.8	1.8	—	—	—	—
Total	535.1	81.8	5.8	16.4	190.3	240.8
			Mississippi			
Perry	42.3	29.5	—	—	—	12.8
Forrest	40.0	17.5	—	—	—	22.5
Lamar	35.5	—	—	—	5.9	29.6
Harrison	25.3	14.4	—	—	—	10.9
Stone	22.4	7.7	—	4.9	4.9	4.9
Jones	19.8	7.0	—	—	—	12.8
Wayne	17.7	11.2	—	—	—	6.5
Pearl River	13.0	—	—	—	—	13.0
George	12.8	—	—	—	6.4	6.4
Greene	8.5	3.0	—	—	—	5.5
Jackson	6.3	—	—	—	—	6.3
Hancock	6.0	—	—	—	—	6.0
Marion	5.7	—	—	5.7	—	—
Total	255.3	90.3	—	10.6	17.2	137.2
			Louisiana			
Vernon	63.0	29.0	5.7	—	28.3	—
Beauregard	38.7	—	—	—	5.5	33.2
Calcasieu	24.8	—	—	—	12.4	12.4
Rapides	22.4	3.7	—	—	6.2	12.5
Natchitoches	15.7	—	—	—	—	—
St. Tammany	13.0	—	—	—	—	13.0
Grant	11.3	3.8	—	—	—	7.5
Evangeline	11.0	—		—	11.0	—
Winn	9.4	9.4	—	—	—	—
St. Helena	6.7	—	—	—	6.7	—
Sabine	6.3	—	6.3	—	—	—
Allen	5.4	—	—	—	5.4	—
Tangipahoa	5.2	—	—	—	—	5.2
Total	232.9	61.6	12.0	—	75.5	83.8

Table l-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and ownership (continued)

County	Total	National forest	Other Federal	State and county	Forest industry	Other private
			Texas			
Tyler	23.3	—	—	—	17.5	5.8
Newton	10.6	—	—	—	5.3	5.3
Jasper	7.3	7.3	—	—	—	—
Sabine	3.8	3.8	—	—	—	—
Total	45.0	11.1	—	—	22.8	11.1
Total, all areas	2,953.5	451.7	317.7	203.9	458.7	1,521.5

Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class

County	Total	Nonstocked areas”	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Thousand acres		
			North Carolina		
Moore	30.2	—	5.5	2.7	22.0
Hoke	28.2	—	—	4.0	24.2
Richmond	25.8	—	7.6	—	18.2
Bladen	25.4	—	9.4	10.2	5.8
Brunswick	25.1	—	4.1	6.6	14.4
Cumberland	22.7	—	—	—	22.7
Pender	18.5	—	4.3	6.1	8.1
Onslow	17.9	—	2.6	2.6	12.7
Scotland	17.9	—	10.5	—	7.4
Carteret	10.7	—	2.9	2.9	4.9
New Hanover	8.1	—	—	3.5	4.6
Sampson	5.8	—	—	5.8	—
Craven	5.2	—	—	—	5.2
Pitt	3.1	—	3.1	—	—
Columbus	2.8	—	2.8	—	—
Harnett	2.8	—	—	—	2.8
Robeson	2.5	—	—	—	2.5
Jones	2.2	—	—	—	2.2
Lenoir	.4	—	—	.4	
Total	255.3	—	52.8	44.8	157.7
			South Carolina		
Aiken	78.6	—	27.0	17.0	34.6
Chesterfield	56.1	—	6.9	17.9	31.3
Lexington	30.4	—	9.1	9.1	12.2
Barnwell	29.9	2.7	13.5	2.8	10.9
Kershaw	22.6	—	12.6	7.5	2.5
Berkeley	19.9	—	7.4	—	12.5
Richland	17.6	—	2.3	7.9	7.4
Jasper	17.2	—	2.9	—	14.3
Charleston	15.1	2.1	2.1	—	10.9
Williamsburg	13.2	—	5.3	2.6	5.3
Georgetown	12.0	—	4.8	—	7.2
Dorchester	10.1	—	2.5	—	7.6
Horry	8.6	—	—	—	8.6
Hampton	8.4	2.8	—	—	5.6
Colleton	7.9	—	—	—	7.9
Calhoun	5.8	—	2.9	—	2.9
Orangeburg	5.4	—	—	2.7	2.7
Lancaster	3.5	—	3.5	—	—
Florence	3.2	—	3.2		
Beaufort	1.9	—	—	—	1.9
Marlboro	1.6	1.6	—	—	—
Total	369.0	9.2	106.0	67.5	186.3

Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class (continued)

County	Total	Nonstocked areas”	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Thousand acres		
			Georgia		
Decatur	42.5	3.0	—	13.5	26.0
Thomas	29.4	—	—	—	29.4
Charlton	26.9	3.6	—	—	23.3
Worth	20.9	2.6	—	2.6	15.7
Liberty	18.7	—	—	5.1	13.6
Emanuel	17.2	—		5.9	11.3
Baker	14.4	2.9	2.9	—	8.6
Grady	14.2	2.8	—	—	11.4
Bryan	14.1	—	2.8	—	11.3
Wayne	13.8	—	2.8	5.5	5.5
Dougherty	13.7	—	—	—	13.7
Bulloch	12.4	—	—	—	12.4
Ben Hill	11.6	2.9	5.8	—	2.9
Taylor	9.8	—	9.8	—	—
Richmond	9.7	—	—	6.6	3.1
Chattahoochee	9.5	—	—	2.4	7.1
Effingham	9.4	—	—	3.1	6.3
Tattnall	9.2	3.1	—	—	6.1
Brooks	9.1	—	—	—	9.1
Mitchell	9.0	3.0	—	—	6.0
Jenkins	8.7	—	2.9	—	5.8
Appling	8.2	—	—	—	8.2
Laurens	8.2	2.7	—	—	5.5
Coffee	8.0	—	—	5.3	2.7
Long	8.0	—	2.4	—	5.6
Ware	7.4	—	4.9	—	2.5
Turner	7.0	—	—	—	7.0
Calhoun	7.0	—	—	3.5	3.5
Burke	6.4	—	—	3.2	3.2
Echols	6.1	2.8	—	—	3.3
Seminole	5.9	—	—	—	5.9
Colquitt	5.6	2.8	2.8	—	—
Lowndes	5.5	—	—	—	5.5
Brantley	5.4	—	5.4	—	—
Treutlen	5.4	2.7	—	—	2.7
Irwin	5.3	—	—	—	5.3
Pierce	5.1	—	—	—	5.1
Lee	5.1	—	1.6	—	3.5
Atkinson	5.0	—	2.5	—	2.5
Dodge	4.9	—	—	—	4.9
Jeff Davis	4.9	—	—	—	4.9
Cook	4.6	—	2.3	—	2.3
Webster	4.4	—	—	—	4.4
Telfair	4.3	—	—	—	4.3
Jefferson	4.0	—	—	—	4.0

Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class (continued)

County	Total	Nonstocked areas"	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Thousand acres		
			Georgia		
Crawford	3.9	—	—	—	3.9
Dooly	3.8	—	—	—	3.8
Glynn	3.6	—	3.6	—	—
Crisp	3.5	—	—	—	3.5
Camden	3.2	—	—	—	3.2
Screven	3.1	—	3.1	—	—
Bacon	3.0	—	—	—	3.0
Wilcox	3.0	—	—	—	3.0
Early	*2.9*	—	—	—	*2.9*
Marion	*2.9*	—	*2.9*	—	—
Upson	*2.9*	—	—	—	*2.9*
Muscogee	2.8	—	—	—	2.8
Berrien	2.5	—	—	—	2.5
Bibb	2.5	—	2.5	—	—
Toombs	2.4	—	—		2.4
Miller	2.3	—	—	—	2.3
Clinch	2.2	—	2.2	—	—
Lanier	2.2	—	2.2	—	—
McIntosh	1.7	—	—	1.7	—
Total	520.3	*34.9*	65.4	58.4	361.6
			Florida		
Okaloosa	100.5	—	13.5	15.3	71.7
Santa Rosa	71.6	—	27.7	2.4	41.5
Wakulla	50.8	—	16.5	5.5	28.8
Liberty	*43.9*	—	10.8	—	33.1
Walton	*39.9*	—	11.6	—	28.3
Leon	*39.4*	2.6	16.1	2.6	18.1
Escambia	39.1	—	8.4	5.6	25.1
Marion	35.6	—	12.9	3.8	18.9
Levy	26.3	—	5.4	2.7	18.2
Citrus	24.1	—	10.7	3.5	*9.9*
Putnam	23.6	2.6	18.4	—	2.6
Columbia	18.5	—	5.4	—	13.1
Lake	15.5	—	12.8	—	2.7
Bay	13.4	2.6	4.7	—	6.1
Suwannee	13.2	—	2.7	2.6	*7.9*
Madison	13.2	—	8.1	2.6	2.5
Sumter	10.4	—	4.2	—	6.2
De Soto	*9.9*	7.4	—	—	2.5
Gulf	*9.5*	—	3.2	—	6.3
Volusia	8.7	*2.9*	—	—	5.8
Baker	8.5	2.1	—	2.1	4.3

Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class (continued)

County	Total	Nonstocked areas"	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Thousand acres		
			Florida		
Duval	7.5	—	2.7	4.8	—
Washington	7.4	—	2.4	—	5.0
Jackson	7.4	—	—	—	7.4
Osceola	7.2	—	4.5	2.7	—
St. Johns	6.8	—	—	4.5	2.3
Clay	6.5	—	6.5	—	—
Orange	5.9	—	—	—	5.9
Glades	5.7	—	—	5.7	—
Pasco	5.6	—	—	—	5.6
Flagler	5.5	—	—	—	5.5
Hemando	5.3	—	5.3	—	—
Nassau	5.1	—	2.5	—	2.6
Highlands	5.0	2.5	—	—	2.5
Calhoun	4.8	—	4.8	—	—
Brevard	4.8	2.4	2.4	—	—
Seminole	3.9	—	—	—	3.9
Taylor	3.8	—	—	3.8	—
Franklin	3.6	—	—	1.8	1.8
Hardee	3.6	—	—	—	3.6
Bradford	3.0	—	—	3.0	—
Manatee	2.9	—	2.9	—	—
Polk	2.8	—	—	2.8	—
Gadsden	2.8	—	2.8	—	—
Alachua	2.6	—	2.6	—	—
Hillsborough	2.6	—	—	—	2.6
Hamilton	2.5	—	2.5	—	—
Holmes	.4	—	—	—	.4
Total	740.6	25.1	235.0	77.8	402.7
			Alabama		
Escambia	110.8	—	11.4	22.8	76.6
Mobile	96.6	—	59.0	21.5	16.1
Covington	74.7	—	2.6	19.6	52.5
Baldwin	66.7	—	10.3	20.5	35.9
Washington	24.8	—	—	12.4	12.4
Shelby	24.3	—	—	6.1	18.2
Monroe	20.8	—	10.4	—	10.4
Talladega	20.5	—	—	—	20.5
Autauga	11.4	—	5.7	—	5.7
Bibb	11.4	—	—	—	11.4
Coosa	11.4	—	—	5.7	5.7
Clarke	10.8	—	—	5.4	5.4
Clay	10.4	—	—	—	10.4
Clebume	10.0	—	—	—	10.0
Perry	6.9	—	—	—	6.9
Dale	5.8	—	—	—	5.8

Table 2-Area of **longleaf** pine stands by State, county, and size class (continued)

County	Total	Nonstocked areas"	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Alabama		
Crenshaw	5.6	—	—	—	5.6
Lee	5.2	—	—	—	5.2
Hale	2.6	—	—	—	2.6
Tuscaloosa	2.5	—	—	—	2.5
Macon	1.8	—	.9	.9	—
Total	535.0	—	100.3	114.9	319.8
			Mississippi		
Perry	42.3	—	—	10.1	32.2
Forrest	40.0	—	5.6	—	34.4
Lamar	35.5	—	5.9	5.9	23.7
Harrison	25.4	—	—	15.1	10.3
Stone	22.5	—	2.6	—	19.9
Jones	19.7	—	—	—	19.7
Wayne	17.7	—	—	6.5	11.2
Pearl River	13.0	—	6.5	—	6.5
George	12.8	—	6.4	6.4	—
Greene	8.5	—	8.5	—	—
Jackson	6.3	—	—	—	6.3
Hancock	6.0	—	—	6.0	—
Marion	5.7	—	—	—	5.7
Total	255.4	—	35.5	50.0	169.9
			Louisiana		
Vernon	63.0	—	9.8	—	53.2
Beauregard	38.8	—	11.1	—	27.7
Calcasieu	24.8	—	6.2	6.2	12.4
Rapides	22.4	—	—	6.2	16.2
Natchitoches	15.7	—	—	—	15.7
St. Tammany	12.9	—	12.9	—	—
Grant	11.3	—	—	—	11.3
Evangeline	11.0	—		—	11.0
Winn	9.4	—		—	9.4
St. Helena	6.7	—	—	6.7	—
Sabine	6.3	—	—	—	6.3
Allen	5.4	—	—	—	5.4
Tangipahoa	5.2	—	5.2	—	—
Total	232.9	—	45.2	19.1	168.6

Table 2-Area of longleaf pine stands by State, county, and size class (continued)

County	Total	Nonstocked areas[7]	Sapling-seedling	Poletimber	Sawtimber
			Texas		
Tyler	23.3	—	—	5.8	17.5
Newton	10.6	—	5.3	5.3	—
Jasper	7.3	—	—	—	7.3
Sabine	3.8	—	—	—	3.8
Total	45.0	—	5.3	11.1	28.6
Total, all areas	2,953.5	69.2	645.5	443.6	1,795.2

[7] Nonstocked areas are those with stocking less than 16.7 percent. Trees less than 5 inches d.b.h. are saplings and seedlings, trees between 5.0 and 8.9 inches d.b.h. are poletimber and trees 9.0 inches d.b.h. and larger are sawtimber. Each area is classified according to predominant size class.



Figure L-Natural range of **longleaf** pine.



gure 2—Current distribution eaf



Figure 3-Area of longleaf pine on public lands.



Figure 4—Area of longleaf pine on forest industry lands.



Figure 5—Area of longleaf pine on private lands.



Figure 6—Total longleaf acreage by State and origin.



Figure 7—Distribution of longleaf stands by origin and age class.



Figure 8—Distribution of longleaf stands by State and ownership.



Figure 9—Distribution of natural longleaf stands in Florida by size class and ownership.



Figure 10—Distribution of natural longleaf stands in Georgia by size class and ownership.



Figure 11-Distribution of natural longleaf stands in South Carolina by size class and ownership.



Figure 12-Distribution of natural longleaf stands in North Carolina by size class and ownership.



Figure 13—Distribution of natural longleaf stands by site type.



1. Carolina Coastal Plain
2. Carolina Sand hills
3. Georgia Uplands
4. Florida and Georgia Lowlands
5. Alabama and Mississippi Coastal Plain
6. Alabama Mountains
7. Texas and Louisiana Coastal Plain

Figure 14—Site zones for longleaf communities (adapted from Craul and others 1965).

Outcalt, Kenneth W.; Sheffield, Raymond M. 1996. The longleaf pine forest: trends and current conditions. Resour. Bull. SRS-9. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 23 p.

Longleaf pine communities were once the most prevalent ecosystem in the Southeastern United States. Conversions of land to agricultural and urban uses and replacement of longleaf with other pine species following logging have drastically reduced the area occupied by longleaf pine. Although longleaf communities can still be found over most of its original range, the species occupies less than 5 percent of its historical acreage. Private individuals own most of the remaining longleaf pine, except in Florida. The private sector is where most losses in longleaf acreage have occurred over the last decade. Because prices and the number of sawtimber-sized trees are increasing, potential harvests in the near future will probably be high. If appropriate information and incentives are not in place, losses of longleaf from private lands could substantially increase.

Keywords: Ecosystem, habitat, longleaf pine, nonindustrial private owner, resources.

The Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the National Forests and National Grasslands, it strives-as directed by Congress-to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA Office of Communications at (202) 720-588 1. To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, or call (202) 720-7327. USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.