MODEL

Journal of Forestry Research, Vol. 8, No. 2, Jun. 1997

# Quantification of Spatial Heterogeneity in Old Growth Forests of Korean Pine[1]

Wang Zhengquan(王政权) Wang Qingcheng (王庆成) Zhang Yandong (张颜东)
Forest Resources & Environment College, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, 150040, P. R. China
Harbin Li
USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station

**Abstract** Spatial heterogeneity is a very important issue in studying functions and processes of ecological systems at various scales. Semivariogram analysis is an effective technique to summarize spatial data, and quantification of spatial heterogeneity. In this paper, we propose some principles to use semivariograms to characterize and compare spatial heterogeneity of ecological systems and use an example of old growth forests of Korean pine to demonstrate these principles and to discuss its characteristics of spatial heterogeneity.

**Key words**: Spatial heterogeneity, Semivariogram, Korean pine, Landscape ecology.

## Introduction

Spatial heterogeneity(SH), which is generally defined as the complexity and variability of a system property(e.g., vegetation type, population density, plant biomass, soil nutrients) in space, is of great interest to ecologists studying functions and processes of ecological systems at various scales (Risser et al 1984, Turner 1987, Turner et al 1991, Kolasa et al 1991). However, quantitative analysis of spatial heterogeneity is a very impotence in ecological system and landscape studies( Li et al 1995, Weine 1992). From the studies of spatial heterogeneity, we can get the degrees and changes of spatial heterogeneity in different scales, and understand the complex processes and feedback in ecosystems and landscapes(e.g., Moloney et al 1991).

Li et al(1995) defined two approaches for analyzing heterogeneity in landscapes: spatial characterization and spatial comparison. Spatial characterization involves the use of mathematical descriptors, e.g., semivariograms, information indices, and fractal, to quantify the spatial variability of some properties of landscapes. Spatial characterization is useful to detect patterns, i.e., degrees of spatial heterogeneity and changes in spatial heterogeneity over varying spatial scales. This information, coupled with observations and ecosystem models , can be useful to interpret observed patterns and their effects on functions and processes of ecological systems. Spatial comparison, on the other hand, involves the use of mathematical descriptors to quantify and compare the same variability of landscape properties in the following ways: 1)between the same variable at different sampling times to detect changes in a system,2)between the same variable at different sites to contrast different systems, and 3)between different variables at the same site to establish relationships.

Korean pine(*Pinus koraiensis*), which often mixed with hardwoods, typical old growth forest ecosystem, is a main important species in Northeast part of China. Korean pine forests is high degrees of spatial heterogeneity in landscape, which controlled the function and processes of ecosystem in spatial and temporal. In this paper we use the quantitative method of semivariogram analysis, both spatial characterization and spatial comparison, to discuss the spatial heterogeneity of old growth forests of Korean pine.

Semivariogram analysis is based on the theory of regionlizaed variables, and it examines spatial variation and correlation of natural phenomena (Matheron 1963, Journel et al. 1978, Webster 1985, Cressie 1991). While recent applications of semivariogram analysis in ecological research have

demonstrated its effectiveness to summarize spatial data( Robertson 1987, Robertson et al 1988, Palmer 1988, Fortin et al 1989, Legendre et al 1989, Levin et al 1989, Rossi et al. 1992).In this study, we propose some

[1] This project is supported by Huo Yingdong Science Foundation

principles for ecological interpretations of semivariograms (i.e., spatial characterization ) and a method to compare two spatially autocorelated variables (i.e., spatial comparison) through the example old growth forests of Korean pine.

## Study Site and Data

The study site is the old growth forests of Korean pine, at the Liangshui Natural Reserve of Xaoxingan Mountains, in Northeast parts of China. It is a typical forest vegetation and landscape in this region( Wang et al 1996, Li et al 1993). The total area of Liangshui natural reserve is about 6394 $hm^2$, most stands are mixture between conifer( e.g., pine, spruce, and fir) and hardwoods (e.g., ash, birch, oak, aspen, maple, basswoods, walnuts). There are twelve forest stand types(Li et al. 1993), which age range distribute from 200 to 300 years, based on the composition of dominant species.

The size for this study is 144 $hm^2$, located in undisturbed old growth stand of Korean pine. We established 12 transects from south direction to north, and set up 12 plots in each transects. The total numbers of plots is 144 and each plot size is 30 × 30m. The sampling interval between transects, between plots are 100m (Fig.1). In each plots, we measured and named each trees, height, DBH, base area, age, cover rate, densities, soil, and plants.




**Fig.1. Diaram of plot locations sampled in the old growth forests of Korean pine**

In datasheet from 144 plots, we use both base area and age to be as variables to analysis variation of korean pine in apace, and use geostatistics(Journal et al 1978, Weberst 1985, Isaaks et al 1989) to established semivatiogram models and make data analysis. Semivariogram analysis is the effectiveness to summarize spatial data. For the characterization of spatial heterogeneity, the parameters of semivariogram, range(a), sill(C+Co), nugget variance(Co), and fractal dimension(D) are useful tool for quantification of spatial heterogeneity and can be derived from semivariograms. The first three parameters are traditionally used in semivariogram analysis (e.g., Journal et al 1978, Weberst 1985). Using the concept of scale and semivariogram (e.g., Trangmar et al 1985, Burrough 1987), we may decompose the spatial heterogeneity of numeric variable into two quantifiable components: SH=SH(autocorr)+SH(random)(Fig. 2).

This decomposition can help us to understand the true of spatial heterogeneity by mean of semivariograms(Li et al 1995). The fourth parameter, fractal dimension is determined from the relationship between semivariance and lag distance(h) (Burrough 1983,1986). In addition, we also can use anisotropy ratio K(h)to characterize anisotropic structure of variation in space. For the comparison of spatial heterogeneity, standardized semivariograms SS(h) can be used to compare different variables in landscapes. Finally, we use Kriging maps( Issaks et al 1989) to show the spatial pattern of korean pine in sampled area.

## Results and Discussion

### Results

The variables of base area and age of korean pine were examined by semivariogram analysis. Values of the four semivariogram parameters and fitting models are given in Table 1. For each variable, the isotropic semivariogram(Fig.3), two anisotropic semivariograms were constructed(Fig.4a and 4b). The two perpendicular directions used in anisotropic semivariograms coincide with the major environmental gradients: θ 1=0 (west to east, i.e., elevation is commonly the same) and θ 2=90 (south to north,i.e., elevation is from 320m to 360m). The anisotropy ratio is illustrated in Fig.4c, and the standardized semivariograms Of the two maps are displayed in Fig.5. The Kriging maps of bases area and age are illustrated in Fig.6.

**Table 1: Parameters of semivariograms and fitting models for base area and age of Korean pine forests.**

| Variables | Mode # | Co | C0+C | Co/Co+C | a | D | RSS | $R^2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bases area | S | 35.9 | 74.75 | 0.480 | 468 | 1.887 | 233.49 | 0.768 |
| Age | L | 5493.0 | 11750 | 0.467 | 993 | 1.857 | 4800 | 0.980 |

# :S=spherical model; L=linear model.

### Discussion

In an "ideal" semivariogram, r(h) increase with h, the sill is an approximation of the maximum variance of the variables. The curve shapes of semivariograms(Fig.3)

show that spatial heterogeneity varies significantly in Korean pine stands. The ranges of bases area and age are 468 m and 993 m respectively. Its represents the distance beyond which the stands of Korean pine are no longer correlated in space. The nugget variance, non-zero values, expresses the discontinuous variations of a stands at small scale.




Fig. 2. Semivariograms and their parameters(a), decomposition of spatial heterogeneity over scales where ss stands for small scale, ms for mediumscale, and Ls large scale(b)




Fig. 3 The isotropic semivariograms of bass area(a) and age(b) of korean pine

There are a stronger anisotropic structure beyond lag distance 400m (Fig.4a and 4b), and significant spatial heterogeneity between the two directions. Anisotropy ratio is above the isotropic line over 400m of the scales(Fig.4c). This means that spatial variation of the stands is anisotropy beyond 400m, and it is isotropic variations from zero to 400m.

The degrees of spatial heterogeneity changed when different components are examined(Table 1). High sill means high degrees of spatial heterogeneity. The nugget variance to sill ratio (Co/Co+c)show that the spatial heterogeneity of random are 48% and 46.7%, and of autocorrelation are 52% and 53.3% , respectively, for both variables in stands.

SH(autocorr) is the autocorrelated variation and exists at medium scales and is defined by semivariograms within the range of spatial correlation. It is random, but is spatially correlated and change with scale. SH(random) usually occur at small scales, and independent and unknown. We define it as the sum of variations within the scale less than the minimum resolution of observations. Thus, SH(random) can be represented by the nugget variance High nugget variance to sill ratio means high degrees of SH(random). Fractal values are 1.887 and 1.857 indifference due to the Co/Co+C sameness. On the other hand, the isotropic standardized semivariograms (Fig.5), using to compare different variables, display the spatial autocorrelations. These results indicate that SH(autocorr) is dominant in stands of korean pine.

Spatial heterogeneity determine the characteristics of spatial pattern in ecological systems. In the block kriging maps based on the semivariogram models(Table 1), we can see clear spatial pattern of Korean pine(Fig.6a) and

its age(Fig.6b).




Fig. 4. Anisotropic semivariograms of base area (a), age(b) and anisotropy ratio(c)




Fig. 5. Standardized semivariograms of base area and age




Fig. 6. Kringing maps of base area(a) and age (b) of old growth forest of korean pine

In south site stands, there are few Korean pine trees with small age, and there are more Korean pine trees with high age in the north site stands. Comparing both kriging maps, the patterns of base area and age are close

on each other. Spatial variability was high and control the ecological functions and processes of stands.These patterns are quantitative. The size and shape demonstrated that spatial heterogeneity in old growth forests of Korean pine is very important in forest ecosystems.

## Conclusion

Spatial heterogeneity is of a very important issues and of great interest to ecologists studying functions and processes of ecological systems at various scales. Quantitative approaches are vital to further development of spatial heterogeneity(Wiens 1992). In this paper, we emphasize quantitative analysis of spatial heterogeneity and use method of semivariogram analysis, which is effectiveness tool, to study spatial heterogeneity in old growth forest of Korean pine. The results show that there are high degrees of spatial heterogeneity in Korean pine forests, which changed with scales and directions. The need to establish relationships between landscape structure, processes and ecosystem management of Korean pine forests merits more quantitative studies of spatial heterogeneity.

## References


Burrough, P.A. 1983. Multiscale sources of spatial variation in soil. I. The application of fractal concepts to nested levels of soil variation. Journal of Soil Science 34: 577-597

Burrough, P.A. 1986. Principles of geographical information systems for land resources assessment. Clarendon Press, Oxford. UK.

Burrough, P.A. 1987. Spatial aspects of ecological data. Pages 89-125 in R.H. Jongman et al editors. Data analysis in community and landscape ecology. Pudoc Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Fortin, L. 1988. A general medol of populations in patchy habitats. Applied Mathematics and Computation 27: 53-66.

IsaaKs, E.H. et al. 1989. An introduction to applied geostatistics. Oxford University Press. New York, New York, USA.

Journel, A et al. 1987. Mining geostatistics. Academic Press, London, UK.

Kolasa, J. et al. 1991. Ecological heterogeneity. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Legendre, P. et al. 1989. Spatial pattern and ecological analysis. Vegentatio 80:107-138.

Levin, S.A. et al. 1989. Dynamical models of ecosystems and epidemics. Future Generation Computer Systems 5: 265-274.

Li, H. et al. 1995. On definition and quantification of heterogeneity. Oikos 73(2):280-284.

Li, J. et al 1993. Forests of Heilongjiang. Forestry Press. Beijing, China.

Matheron, G. 1963. Principles of geostatistics. Economic Geology, 58:1246-1266.

Moloney, K.A. et al 1991. Interpreting ecological patterns generated through simple stochastic processes. Landscape Ecology, 5(3):163-174.

Palmer, M.W. 1988. Fractal geometry: a toll for describing spatial patterns of plant communities. Vegetation, 75: 91-102.

Risser, P.G. 1984. Landscape ecology: directions and approaches. Illinois Natural History Survey Special Publication No.2. Illinois Natural history Survey, Champaign, Illinois, USA.

Robertson, G.P. 1987. Geostatistics in ecology: interpolating with known variance. Ecology, 68:744-748.

Robertson, G.P. et al 1988. Spatial variability in a successional plant community: patterns of nitrogen availability. Ecology, 69:1517-1524.

Rossi, R.E. et al. 1992. Geostatistical tools for modeling and interpreting ecological spatial dependence. Ecological Monographs 62:277-314.

Trangmar, B.B. et al. 1985. Application of geostatistics to spatial studies of soil properties. Advanced Agronomy 38. 44-94

Turner, M.G.1987 Landscape heterogeneity and disturbance. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Turner, M.G. et al. 1991. Quantitative methods in landscape ecology. The analysis and interpretation of landscape heterogeneity. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Webster, R. 1985. Quantitative spatial analysis of soil in the field. Advance in Soil Science, 3:1-70.

Wiens, J.A. 1992. What is landscape ecology, really? Landscape Ecology, 7(3):149-150.

Wang, Y. et al. 1996. Korean Pine Forests. Northeast Forestry University Press. Harbin, China


(**Responsible Editor**: Chai Ruihai)